



HEALTH IMPACT OF TRANSPORT NOISE IN THE DENSELY POPULATED ZONE OF ILE-DE-FRANCE REGION FEBRUARY 2019



#### **BRUIT**PARIF / **REPORT**

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUMMARY	1
INTRODUCTION	8
CONTEXT	9
METHODOLOGY	11
Recognised health impact of noise	11
Noise indicators	11
Reference values	12
Disability adjusted life years (DALY)	12
Uncertainty factors	16
Results produced	18
PART 1: ROAD TRAFFIC NOISE	19
Noise levels	20
Statistics by range of noise levels	21
Statistics per agglomeration by range of noise levels	22
Exceedance of limit values	24
Exceedance of WHO guidelines	28
Statistics - Comparison with the reference values	31
Statistics per agglomeration - Comparison with the reference values	32
Health impact – Indicator DALY	34
Health impact – Individual health risks	38
The 500 priority grids	42
In brief	43
PART 2: RAIL TRAFFIC NOISE	45
Noise levels	46
Statistics by range of noise levels	47
Statistics per agglomeration by range of noise levels	48
Exceedance of limit values	50
Exceedance of WHO guidelines	54
Statistics - Comparison with the reference values	57
Statistics per agglomeration - Comparison with the reference values	58
Health impact – Indicator DALY	60
Health impact – Individual health risks	64

The 500 priority grids	68
In brief	69
PART 3: AIR TRAFFIC NOISE	71
Noise levels	72
Statistics by range of noise levels	73
Statistics per agglomeration by range of noise levels	74
Exceedance of limit values	76
Exceedance of WHO guidelines	80
Statistics - Comparison with the reference values	83
Statistics per agglomeration - Comparison with the reference values	84
Health impact – Indicator DALY	86
Health impact – Individual health risks	90
The 500 priority grids	94
In brief	95
PART 4: CUMULATED TRANSPORT NOISE	97
Noise levels	98
Statistics by range of noise levels	99
Statistics per agglomeration by range of noise levels	100
Exceedance of limit values	102
Exceedance of WHO guidelines	106
Statistics - Comparison with the reference values	109
Statistics per agglomeration - Comparison with the reference values	110
Health impact – Indicator DALY	112
Health impact – Individual health risks	116
The 1500 priority grids	120
In brief	121
ECONOMIC COST	124
EVOLUTIONS	126
CONCLUSION	127
APPENDIX – RESULTS PER COMMUNE	129

# SUMMARY

Thanks to various studies that have been published on the topic at international level, the health impact of noise is now well and truly established. It goes beyond just the annoyance caused. Beyond the effects on the auditory system observed for high noise levels, several extra-auditory effects have also been identified, including sleep disturbance, cardiovascular disease and diminished learning capacity. Studies have also shown that noise is a factor that reinforces social inequality, with underprivileged populations also generally being those most exposed.

In order to raise awareness of this major public health issue, we need to collect and publish quantified data for the region. That is why Bruitparif has evaluated morbidity<sup>1</sup> connected to transport noise within the densely populated zone of Ile-de-France.

#### **Context and objectives**

European directive 2002/49/EC pertaining to the evaluation and management of environmental noise requires all urban communities of more than 100,000 inhabitants to produce a strategic noise map for their territory and update it every five years, as well as adopting an environmental noise action plan. This directive has been transposed into French law and written into the environmental code. The noises taken into account are those related to road, rail, and airport infrastructures, as well as classified industrial facilities.

Within the Ile-de-France region, 14 urban agglomerations representing a total of 436 communes and 10.1 inhabitants are concerned: the Grand Paris Métropole (131 communes, nearly 7 million inhabitants) as well as 13 "communautés d'agglomération" (agglomeration communities) or "communautés urbaines" (urban communities) (see map opposite).

The so-called third-phase strategic noise maps were produced and supplied to each urban agglomeration concerned in 2018 by Bruitparif, Ile-de-France's technical evaluation centre for environmental noise, with a view to their approval and publication.

These strategic noise maps must also serve as a reference document for these local authorities to prepare their environmental noise action plans.

was based on level of annoyance and sleep disturbance caused by exposure to transport noise that can be estimated in the population.



## 14 urban agglomerations representing the densely populated zone of Ile-de-France region

To this end, and in order to help identify key priorities, Bruitparif has conducted an additional territorial diagnostic to evaluate the health impact of transport noise within all 14 urban agglomerations that make up the densely populated zone of lle-de-France.

Bruitparif used the methodology recommended by the World Health Organisation (WHO), based on the use of the indicator of healthy life-years (DALYs -Disability-Adjusted Life-Years) lost, as well as the latest guidelines on environmental noise published by the WHO in October 2018. These guidelines define the recommended values for exposure to transport noise, as well as new exposure-response relationships that make it possible to compare levels of exposure to noise, as estimated by strategic noise maps, and the main health effects of noise.

Maps produced with a 250 m<sup>2</sup> grid, as well as at the level of the commune, demonstrate the health impact of transport noise for the whole territory mapped as per European directive 2002/49/EC. Statistical results were provided for the area of study as a whole, as well as for each urban agglomeration, and for each commune.

#### Main results

People within the densely populated zone of Ile-de-France are highly exposed to transport noise throughout the day since nearly 90% of inhabitants (more than 9 million people) are exposed to noise levels that exceed those recommended by the World Health Organisation to avoid the health effects of noise.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The morbidity of a population is defined as the number of sick people or the number of diseases within a given population, at a given time. In this report, the evaluation

#### **BRUIT**PARIF / **REPORT**

This trend can be seen through the many people who are subjected to noise levels that exceed the regulatory limit values for France in application of the noise directive: Nearly 1.5 million inhabitants (14.8% of the population) are exposed to noise levels that exceed at least one limit value for the Lden indicator. Road traffic is the main cause, with 10.8% of inhabitants exposed to excessive road traffic noise. Exposure to noise levels exceeding limit values for aircraft and rail traffic is down (respectively 3.7% and 0.5%), but these two types of nuisances have proportionally higher health risks due to their eventrelated nature (succession of noise peaks).

Noise levels generated by transport at night are falling. However, nearly 87% of the population still lives in accommodation exposed to outside noise levels that exceed one of the nocturnal quality objectives set by the WHO and 510,900 inhabitants (5.1% of the population) are even concerned by nocturnal levels that exceed one the regulatory limit values set for road or rail noise where the value of 50 dB(A) is believed to be critical for aircraft noise.

The majority of people exposed to transport noise (all sources) live in the Grand Paris Métropole, and 71 to 74% of people are exposed to noise levels that exceed the limit values for the Lden and Ln indicators respectively. T3 (Grand Paris Seine Ouest), T6 (Plaine Commune), and T12 (Grand Orly Seine Bièvre) are the territories with the highest proportion of exposed inhabitants within the Grand Paris Métropole, with respectively 26%, 23%, and 22% of their populations exposed to noise levels that exceed one of the limit values for the Lden indicator. In terms of nocturnal exposure to noise, T12 (Grand Orly Seine Bièvre) comes first with 10% of its population exposed to values considered to be critical.

Outside of the Grand Paris Métropole, urban areas significantly affected by airport noise are home to the highest levels of people exposed to noise levels that exceed one of the limit values for Lden. The two urban agglomerations of Roissy-Pays de France and Plaine Vallée have respectively 57% of 39% of their populations exposed to excessive noise levels. Next comes the agglomeration community of Versailles Grand Parc, where the level is 13% due to its high exposure to road noise, just ahead of the agglomeration community of Paris Saclay (12%), which is highly exposed to aircraft noise from the Paris-Orly airport.

In terms of the health impact, transport noise is responsible for the loss of 107,766 disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs) every year within the densely populated zone of the lle-de-France region, distributed between the DALYs lost due to annoyance (46,837, 43% of the total) and the DALYs lost due to sleep disturbance (60,929, 57% of the total). Road noise is responsible for 61% of the health impact (65,607 DALYs), followed by rail noise (23,440 DALYs, and 22%), and aircraft noise (18,718, 17%).

63% of these health impacts come from the Grand Paris Métropole, with 68,216 DALY.

A majority of the communes with the highest numbers of healthy life-years lost due to cumulated transport noise are located in the Grand Paris Métropole, but there is also the commune of Versailles, as well as communes in the Val d'Oise department that combine very densely populated areas and significant aircraft noise. The areas where the impact is greatest are the town of Argenteuil, and the 15<sup>th</sup> and 18<sup>th</sup> districts of Paris (cf. map of cumulated health impacts by commune, page 3).

At individual level, the evaluations show an average statistical value of 10.7 healthy life-months lost during a lifetime per individual due to cumulated transport noise, within the densely populated zone of lle-de-France.

There are, however, significant territorial variations, with the impact on healthy life-months lost per inhabitant ranging from 7.1 months to 24.5 months (a ratio of 1 to 3.45) depending on the territory or urban community, and varying from 2.6 months to 38.1 months (a ratio of 1 to 14.65) depending on the commune. These significant variations highlight the impact of aircraft noise. For example, the healthy lifeyears lost in the agglomeration communities of Plaine Vallée, Roissy Pays de France, and Val Parisis are twice as high as the territorial average (24.5 and 20.9 healthy life-months lost per individual versus 10.7 months). On average, inhabitants of the Grand Paris Métropole lose 10.1 healthy life-months over their lifetimes - the health impacts per inhabitant ultimately being more moderate in areas concerned only by noise pollution from land transport - with values varying between 8.5 months (T1 - Paris) and 10.3 months (for T3 - Grand Paris Seine Ouest and T10 - Paris Est Marne et Bois). The territories of the Grand Paris Métropole that have the highest individual risks are T6 - Plaine Commune (15 months), T5 - Boucle Nord de Seine (14 months), and T12 -Grand Orly Seine Bièvre (12.2 months).

The individual risk can even reach or exceed three healthy life-years lost for communes that combine high exposure to aircraft noise and marked exposure to other noise pollution generated by land transport. This is the case, for example, of the towns of Compans (38.1 months), Ablon-sur-Seine (37.8 months), and Villeneuve-le-Roi (34.3 months). There are also many inhabited 250 m<sup>2</sup> grids in the vicinity of airports and/or along major rail or road infrastructures, where the individual risk can reach or exceed three years (cf. map of individual health risks, page 3).

#### **CUMULATED TRANSPORT NOISE – COLLECTIVE HEALTH IMPACT**

## NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-YEARS LOST PER YEAR

PER GRID OF 250 m

PER COMMUNE LEVEL





The 1,500 priority grids (500 for road noise, 500 for rail noise, and 500 for aircraft noise) were selected (cf. figure below) by choosing the grids that showed the highest combined collective health impacts and individual risk levels.

Unsurprisingly, these grids reveal the issue related to high exposure to noise generated by road traffic in Paris, along the Paris ring-road, as well as along major motorways (the A4 motorway at Charenton-le-Pont, Saint-Maurice, and Joinville; the A6a and A6b interchanges; the A13 at Pont de Saint-Cloud; the A3 interchange at porte de Bagnolet; along the A86 in Créteil and Vélizy-Villacoublay), and along very busy A-roads and B-roads: the RD910 in Boulogne-Billancourt, the RN13 in Neuilly-sur-Seine, the RD906 in Malakoff and Châtillon, the RD920 in Montrouge and Bourg-la-Reine, the RD7 in Kremlin-Bicêtre and in Villejuif, the RD5 in lvry-sur-Seine, Vitry-sur-Seine and Choisy-le-Roi, the RD120 in Saint-Mandé and Vincennes, the RD302 in Montreuil, the RN3 in Pantin and Bondy, the RN2 in Pantin and Le Bourget, the RN1 in Saint-Denis, the RD20 in Saint-Ouen, the RD911 in Clichy-la-Garenne, the RD902 in Levallois-Perret, the RD106 in Courbevoie and Colombes, the RD7 along the banks of the Seine in Puteaux, the RN406 in Créteil, the RD316 in Villiers-le-Bel, the RD30 in Poissy, and the RD91 and the RN7 in Ris-Orangis.

Regarding rail noise, the priority grids highlight high levels of noise pollution generated by the dense rail network (in particular the Transilien network, and RER lines C, D, and E) over the whole region, as well as within the Paris city limits, up to the main stations. The priority grids are distributed fairly evenly along all overland rail lines when they cross highly urbanised areas.

And finally, the health impact of air traffic has a strong impact on the communes of Val d'Oise located on the flight path of Paris-CDG airport, but is also high in the Seine-Saint-Denis department, in the northern sector of T6 (Plaine Commune), in Epinay-sur-Seine, Villetaneuse, Pierrefitte-sur-Seine, and Stains, due to the noise pollution generated by aircraft overflight to and from Paris-Le-Bourget and Paris-CDG. It is worth noting that some of these zones are located outside of the airports' noise pollution maps. The southern part of Val-de-Marne (Ablon-sur-Seine, Villeneuve-le-Roi, Villeneuve-Saint-Georges, Valenton, Limeil-Brévannes, Boissy-Saint-Léger) also contains a high number of priority grids. And finally, there are some more dispersed zones located in Essonne (Paray Vieille Poste, Wissous, Chilly-Mazarin, Champlan, Longjumeau, Les Ulis) due to the activity of Paris-Orly airport, and in Seine-et-Marne due to overflights to and from Paris-CDG.

Furthermore, sectors that are highly impacted by noise pollution from airports are not always exempted from problems posed by rail and road traffic, and they sometimes accumulate high levels of all three sources of environmental noise, as is the case in Ablon-sur-Seine, Villeneuve-le-Roi, Villeneuve-Saint-Georges, Epinay-sur-Seine, Sarcelles, and Compans.



#### BRUITPARIF / REPORT

Although it must, of course, be completed by fieldwork, and compared with qualitative surveys with the population, and the consideration of other the sources of noise pollution (very noisy motorised two-wheeled vehicles, horns, building sites, lively neighbourhoods, etc.), the diagnostic prepared by Bruitparif provides policymakers with a precise and prioritised overview of the challenges in terms of environmental noise from transport within the densely populated zone of Ile-de-France.

With nearly 108,000 disability-adjusted life-years lost every year within the densely populated zone of Ilede-France, at an economic cost of €5.4 billion per year, the results obtained confirm the trends highlighted by the WHO at European level. Noise pollution is the second-highest cause of morbidity among environmental risk factors in urban environments, behind atmospheric pollution.

These results have been compared with the previous evaluations carried out by Bruitparif and the Ile-de-France regional health watchdog between 2011 and 2015. Estimations have been reviewed significantly upwards (from 75,000 to 108,000 DALYs, +43%), especially for aircraft noise (multiplied by a factor of 3.7) and rail noise (multiplied by a factor of 3.5), due to the use of new exposure-response relationships recommended by the WHO.

Adjusted to the level of an average citizen living within the densely populated zone of Ile-de-France, healthy life-months lost over a lifetime now reach 10.7 compared to 7.3 for the 2015 estimation. Regional differences are also significantly exacerbated, with the individual health risk now reaching more than three healthy life-years lost in sectors that suffer from exposure to multiple aircraft and land sources, compared to 18 months in the 2015 estimation.

The study conducted by Bruitparif has therefore reevaluated upwards the health and economic impact of noise in the densely populated zone of lle-de-France and, above all, has provided essential new information for public policymakers: The provision of results by territory, as well as the determination of priority areas. The ambition of this study is therefore to fully contribute to anticipating where to focus resources in the fight against noise pollution, by creating a reference document to help stakeholders prepare the various environmental noise action plans that the competent authorities will have to produce in 2019.

#### Detailed results for road traffic noise

The population of the densely populated zone of Ilede-France faces high exposure to road noise throughout the day since 85% of inhabitants (8.6 million people) are exposed to levels exceeding 53 dB(A) using the Lden indicator, which is the World Health Organisation's threshold value to avoid consequences on health due to road noise.

This trend can be seen through the many people who are subjected to noise levels that exceed the regulatory limit values for France in application of the noise directive: 1,091,000 people (10.8% of the population) are exposed to levels exceeding 68 dB(A) using the Lden indicator.

At night, exposure to road noise drops. However, 80% of the population still live in accommodation where noise levels in front of the building exceed 45 dB(A), which is the night-time threshold value according to the WHO, and 331,100 inhabitants (3.3% of the population) are exposed to night-time levels that exceed the regulatory limit value of 62 dB(A) using the Ln indicator.

The majority of people exposed to road noise live in the Grand Paris Métropole, with between 86 and 91% of people exposed to noise levels that exceed the limit values for the Lden and Ln indicators respectively. T3 (Grand Paris Seine Ouest) seems to be the territory with the highest proportion of its population exposed within the Grand Paris Métropole: Exactly ¼ of its population is exposed to values that exceed the 68 dB(A) limit for the Lden indicator. T6 (Plaine Commune) comes second. In terms of night-time exposure to road noise, T10 (Paris Est Marne et Bois), T12 (Grand Orly Seine Bièvre), T6 (Plaine Commune), and T1 (Paris) are the territories with the highest proportion of their populations exposed to values exceeding the 62 dB(A) limit value using the Ln indicator: more than 5% of their populations are concerned. Outside the Grand Paris Métropole, it is the agglomeration community of Versailles Grand Parc that has the highest proportion of its population (12%) subjected to noise levels exceeding limit values using the Lden indicator.

In terms of the health impact, road noise is responsible for 65,607 disability-adjusted life-years lost every year within the densely populated zone of lle-de-France, with a fairly even distribution between the DALYs lost due to annoyance (31,994 DALYs or 49% of the total) and the DALYs lost due to sleep disturbance (33,613 DALYs, or 51% of the total).

Due to its high population density, the Grand Paris Métropole alone contains 73% of these health risks, with 47,738 DALY. The 50 communes with the highest number of disability-adjusted life-years lost due to road noise are all located within the Grand Paris Métropole, except for Versailles. The highest values are recorded in the peripheral districts of Paris (especially the 15<sup>th</sup>, the 18<sup>th</sup>, and the 20<sup>th</sup>).

At individual level, the evaluations show an average statistical value of 6.5 healthy life-months lost due to road noise by individuals during a lifetime, within the

densely populated zone of Ile-de-France. This value can reach as high as 10 months on average for communes where the individual risk is highest (Saint-Maurice, Vaudherland, Charenton-le-Pont) and up to 18 months within the zones most exposed to noise pollution from road infrastructure.

#### Detailed results for rail traffic noise

Rail noise affects a non-negligible proportion of inhabitants within the densely populated zone of Ilede-France. However, far fewer inhabitants are exposed to this source than to road noise. 16% of inhabitants (1.6 million people) are exposed to levels that exceed 54 dB(A) using the Lden indicator, which is the World Health Organisation's threshold value to avoid consequences on health due to rail noise.

Approximately 51,000 people (0.5% of the population) are exposed to noise levels that exceed one of the regulatory limit values using the Lden indicator (73 dB(A) for conventional lines and 68 dB(A) for high-speed rail lines).

Rail noise falls at night along passenger transport lines but can remain a high along certain freight lines. Approximately 82,600 people (2.8% of the population) are exposed to noise levels that exceed one of the regulatory limit values using the Ln indicator (65 dB(A) for conventional lines and 62 dB(A) for high-speed rail lines), which is more than for the Lden indicator.

The majority of people exposed to rail noise live in the Grand Paris Métropole, with around 62% of people exposed to noise levels that exceed regulatory limit values. The territories most affected by noise pollution from railways are (in descending order): T5 (Boucle Nord de Seine), T9 (Grand Paris Grand Est), T10 (Paris Est Marne et Bois), T12 (Grand Orly Seine Bièvre), T4 (Paris Ouest La Défense), T6 (Plaine Commune), and T3 (Grand Paris Seine Ouest). It is worth noting that more people are affected by noise levels exceeding limit values at night.

Outside the Grand Paris Métropole, it is the Grand Paris Seine et Oise urban community that has the highest proportions of people exposed to noise levels exceeding limit values using Lden (1.1%) and Ln (1.8%), followed by the agglomeration communities of Versailles Grand Parc (1.1% and 1.6% respectively) and Plaine Vallée (1.1% and 1.5%).

In terms of the health impact, rail noise is responsible for 23,440 disability-adjusted life-years lost every year within the densely populated zone of lle-de-France, distributed between the DALYs lost due to annoyance (8,352 DALYs or 36% of the total) and the DALYs lost due to sleep disturbance (15,088 DALYs, or 64% of the total).

63% of these health impacts come from the Grand

Paris Métropole, with 13,809 DALY.

The communes that have the highest level of disability-adjusted life-years lost due to rail noise are the 18<sup>th</sup> district of Paris, Saint-Denis, and Versailles.

At an individual level, the evaluations show an average statistical value of 2.3 healthy life-months lost by individual due to rail noise during a lifetime, within the densely populated zone of Ile-de-France. This value can reach as high as 12 months in communes where the average individual risk is highest (Marolles-en-Hurepoix, La Verrière) and up to 24 months within the grids most exposed to noise pollution from rail infrastructure.

#### Detailed results for air traffic noise

Aircraft noise affects a non-negligible proportion of inhabitants within the densely populated zone of Ilede-France. A similar proportion of the population is exposed to aircraft noise as to rail noise. Just over 15% of inhabitants (nearly 1.6 million people) are exposed to levels that exceed 45 dB(A) using the Lden indicator, which is the World Health Organisation's threshold value to avoid consequences on health due to aircraft noise.

375,000 people (3.7% of the population) in the densely populated zone of Ile-de-France are exposed to noise levels that exceed the regulatory limit value of 55 dB(A) using the Lden indicator. This is around seven times higher than the number of people affected by rail noise using the same indicator. However, it is also around one third of the number of people exposed to road noise levels exceeding the limit value.

At night-time, exposure to aircraft noise decreases, especially around Paris-Orly airport, which has a curfew between 11:30 PM and 6 AM, and around airfields (no night-time flights, except in exceptional cases). However, 11% of the population still lives in housing exposed to aircraft noise levels that exceed 40 dB(A), which is the WHO's night-time noise threshold value for aircraft noise. Although France does not have any regulations on limit values for night-time aircraft noise, more than 102,000 inhabitants (1% of the population) are concerned by night-time levels that exceed 50 dB(A) using the Ln indicator, a value that is considered critical for quality of sleep according to the exposure-response curves recently published by the WHO. Even around Paris-Orly airport, nearly 31,000 people are subjected to noise levels that exceed 50 dB(A) on average between 10 PM and 6 AM, due to noise pollution generated by overflights that occur between 10 PM and the start of the curfew at 11:30 PM.

In terms of the health impact, aircraft noise is responsible for 18,718 disability-adjusted life-years

#### **BRUIT**PARIF / **REPORT**

lost every year within the densely populated zone of Ile-de-France, distributed between the DALYs lost due to annoyance (6,491 DALYs, or 35% of the total) and the DALYs lost due to sleep disturbance (12,227 DALYs, or 65% of the total).

Contrary to road and rail noise, which are relatively evenly distributed around the territory, air traffic noise is very unevenly distributed. It is concentrated in certain sectors: This is the case in the agglomeration communities of Roissy Pays de France (4,612 DALYs, 25% of the total), Plaine Vallée (2,814 DALYs, 15% of the total), Val Parisis (2,793 DALYs, 15%), Paris-Saclay (1,194 DALYs, 6%), and the territories of T6 - Plaine Commune (2,283 DALYs, 12%), T5 - Boucle Nord de Seine (1,563 DALYs, 8%), T12 - Grand Orly Seine Bièvre (1,526 DALYs, 8%), T11 - Grand Paris Sud Est Avenir (751 DALYs, 4%), and T7 - Paris Terres d'Envol (447 DALYs, 2%).

The cumulated noise pollution from Paris-CDG and Paris-Le Bourget is responsible for 15,008 DALYs lost (80% of the total DALYs), compared with 3,581 DALYs (19%) for Paris-Orly airport and 1% for the other airfields (Paris-Issy-les Moulineaux heliport, Toussusle-Noble airfield, etc.). Disturbances caused by Paris-CDG represent 85% of the DALYs lost from sleep disturbance (compared with 15% for Paris-Orly) due to night-time flights. 71% of DALYs lost due to annoyance come from the northern zone (Paris-CDG and Paris-Le Bourget), with 27% from the southern zone (Paris-Orly), and 2% for the other airfields.

Due to their high population density, it is the communes of Argenteuil, Sarcelles, Epinay-Sur-Seine, and Garges-lès-Gonesse that have the highest levels of healthy life-years lost due to traffic noise per year.

At individual level, the evaluations show an average statistical value of 1.9 healthy life-months lost due to air traffic noise per individual during a lifetime, within the densely populated zone of Ile-de-France. But disparities are very high; this value can reach as much as two years for communes where the average individual risk is the highest (Le Mesnil Amelot, Thieux, Bouqueval) and more than three years within the grids of the territory that have the highest exposure to aircraft noise.

# INTRODUCTION

European directive 2002/49/EC of 25 June 2002 pertaining to the evaluation and management of environmental noise requires all urban communities of more than 100,000 inhabitants to produce a strategic noise map for their territory and update it every five years, as well as adopting an environmental noise action plan. This directive has been transposed into French law and written into the environmental code. The noises taken into account are those related to road, rail, and airport infrastructures, as well as classified industrial facilities.

Within the Ile-de-France region, 14 urban agglomerations representing a total of 436 communes and 10.1 inhabitants are concerned: the Grand Paris Métropole (131 communes, nearly 7 million inhabitants) as well as 13 "*communautés d'agglomération*" (agglomeration communities) or "*communautés urbaines*" (urban communities).

These 14 agglomerations are:

- Métropole du Grand Paris
- CU Grand Paris Seine et Oise
- CA Paris Vallée de la Marne
- CA Saint Germain Boucles de Seine
- CA Versailles Grand Parc
- CA Saint Quentin en Yvelines
- CA Cœur d'Essonne Agglomération
- CA Paris Saclay
- CA Grand Paris Sud
- CA Val d'Yerres Val de Seine
- CA Cergy Pontoise
- CA Plaine Vallée
- CA Roissy Pays de France
- CA Val Parisis.



14 urban agglomerations representing the densely populated zone of Ile-de-France region

The so-called third-phase strategic noise maps were produced and supplied to each urban agglomeration concerned in 2018 by Bruitparif, Ile-de-France's technical evaluation centre for environmental noise, with a view to their approval and publication.

These strategic noise maps must also serve as a reference document for these local authorities to prepare their environmental noise action plans.

To this end, and in order to help identify key priorities, Bruitparif has conducted an additional territorial diagnostic to evaluate the health impact of transport noise within all 14 urban agglomerations that make up the densely populated zone of lle-de-France.

Bruitparif used the methodology recommended by the World Health Organisation (WHO), based on the use of the indicator of healthy life-years (DALYs -Disability-Adjusted Life-Years) lost, as well as the latest guidelines on environmental noise published by the WHO in October 2018. These guidelines define the recommended values for exposure to transport noise, as well as new exposure-response relationships that make it possible to compare levels of exposure to noise, as estimated by strategic noise maps, and the main health effects of noise.

Maps produced with a 250  $m^2$  grid, as well as at the level of the commune, demonstrate the health impact of transport noise for the whole territory mapped as per European directive 2002/49/EC. Statistical results were provided for the area of study as a whole, as well as for each urban agglomeration, and for each commune.

# CONTEXT

Noise is a major source of annoyance in Ile-de-France due to the high population density and the dense transport infrastructure. Noise is one of the main nuisances cited as impacting quality of life.

Ile-de-France is the most populous region of France with 12 million inhabitants in a surface area of 12,000 km<sup>2</sup>, mainly concentrated in the region's densely populated zone, which has a population of 10.1 million.

The majority of exposure to noise is caused by transport infrastructures, which are very highly developed and dense:

- France's largest road network, with more than 40,000 km of roads (including more than 1,000 km of motorways and expressways).
- A first-class public transport network that records approximately 32 billion passenger kilometres every year. The region has more than 1,800 km of railway lines, 1,519 bus lines (source: Key figures for Ile-de-France region in 2017, IAU INSEE Chamber of Commerce and industry of Paris Ile-de-France). By the year 2030, there will be an additional 200 km of self-driving metro lines (the Grand Paris Express).
- An airport system that is unparalleled in Europe, with two international airports (Paris-Orly and Paris-Charles de Gaulle), which generate more than 707,000 flights per year (473,000 for Paris-CDG and 234,000 for Paris-Orly), as well as 25 other airfields (civilian, military and private) including Le Bourget airport (approximately 53,000 flights), and the Paris Issy-les-Moulineaux heliport (number of flights limited to 12,000 per year) (source for traffic data: ADP, 2016). By 2037, there could be an additional 170,000 flights per year (+36% compared to Paris-CDG's current traffic, source: ADP) if the proposed construction of a fourth terminal at Paris-CDG goes ahead.<sup>2</sup>

There is, therefore, great concern related to noise in Ile-de-France. According to the results of one survey<sup>3</sup> on 3,000 Ile-de-France residents, three-quarters of the population of Ile-de-France (76%) claim to be concerned about noise pollution (25% very concerned and 51% somewhat concerned), and one in three residents believe that noise is a major disadvantage of living in Ile-de-France. One in four people even claim to have thought about moving

<sup>2</sup> Consultation file for terminal 4, ADP group, January 2019.

house due to noise (24%).

Noise is the second-biggest environmental nuisance cited by residents of Ile-de-France, behind air pollution. It is a legitimate concern since the impact on health (hearing disorders, annoyance, fatigue, stress, sleep disturbance, increased cardiovascular risk, including high blood-pressure, and myocardial infarction, learning difficulties, etc.) are proven and recognised by the highest international health organisations.

However, not all areas of the region are equally affected, and sensitivity to noise also depends on a variety of factors, including age, type of accommodation, and socio-professional category.

54% of Ile-de-France residents claim to be annoyed by noise at home, with this annoyance growing with the degree of urbanisation, on average 42% in the Seine-et-Marne department and 62% in Paris.

Transport, in particular road traffic, is the main source of annoyance cited by people who complain of noise in their home (cited first by 43% of people who claim to be annoyed), with the second source of annoyance being neighbours, for 31% of people. Next comes noise from construction sites (6%), the activity of bars, restaurants, concert halls, and discos (4%), garbage removal (3%), the maintenance of parks and gardens (3%), and other industrial activities (1%).

Noise is also a nuisance that reinforces social inequality, as people with the lowest revenues are more concerned by noise in their homes due to their housing conditions (small homes or poorly insulated urban collective housing, proximity to noisy transport infrastructure or facilities). The people with the lowest incomes are also those with the noisiest conditions (workshops, working factories. construction work). We also see an increase in exposure to noise at home and in the workplace for these people. Noise also tends to generate a pauperisation phenomenon in certain neighbourhoods, with more affluent populations more able to move house to avoid the noise.

Exposure to noise has an impact on health. The population of the lle-de-France region is convinced of this, with 82% of the population perceiving the health risk related to noise as somewhat high. Four in ten people (41%) have already felt the effects on their own health. Fatigue (29%) and irritability (28%) are

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Crédoc survey for Bruitparif: "Qualité de vie et nuisances

sonores : opinions et comportements des Franciliens, September 2016".

the most commonly described signs. One in four residents of Ile-de-France acknowledges the impact on their sleep. 23% cite the need to speak more loudly and 22% evoke difficulty concentrating or learning. 20% of people questioned believe that noise causes headaches and 17% say they are concerned about hearing problems.

Despite constantly increasing awareness, the consideration of environmental noise and the extraauditory effects of noise are apparently still underestimated by the authorities compared to other environmental factors like air quality. In order to guide the public authorities' efforts to reduce environmental noise levels, quantitative methods for evaluating the health risks were developed, making it possible to evaluate the health impact attributable to this exposure.

The WHO has believed for decades that noise is one of the environmental factors with the highest risks for health. A report published in 2011<sup>4</sup> by the WHO's Europe bureau and the European Union's centre for joint research evaluated the impact of environmental noise pollution at more than 1.5 million disabilityadjusted life-years lost every year in Western Europe, taking into account sleep disturbance (903,000 years) and annoyance (564,000 years), as well as cardiovascular disease, cognitive impairment, and tinnitus.

A first estimation of the number of healthy life-years lost within the Paris urban area was also published in 2011 by Bruitparif in partnership with ORS ÎdF (the lle-de-France's regional health watchdog) with the help of the WHO's European bureau, <sup>5 6</sup>based on data on exposure to noise from so-called first-phase

strategic noise maps. It was updated in 2015<sup>7</sup> using partial second-phase data, taking into account adjustments to the methodology recommended by the WHO. These first evaluations enabled us to quantify the burden of disease from environmental noise due to transport in the Paris urban area.

The results of the strategic noise maps indicated first of all that within the Paris urban area, 22% of the population (2.2 million people) was potentially exposed to excessive noise levels generated by transport in front of their homes, in view of the limit values chosen by France in application of European directive 2002/49/EC: 1.7 million people (17.1%) due to road noise, 350,000 (3.5%) due to aircraft noise, and 115,000 (1.1%) due to rail noise.

It is estimated that this high exposure to transport noise was responsible for 75,000 healthy life-years lost every year within the Paris urban area, based on the understanding of the health impact of noise at the time. This represented an average loss per individual of 7.3 months of life in good health over an entire lifetime. The economic cost of this noiserelated disease was initially estimated at €3.8 billion per year.

With the publication<sup>8</sup> of new WHO guidelines for environmental noise in October 2018, and in light of the finalisation of the third-phase noise maps, Bruitparif wanted to update its evaluation using the latest available knowledge and data. The approach chosen uses the same one used in 2011 by Bruitparif and the lle-de-France regional health watchdog, adding two essential new dimensions for policymakers: the calculation of results by territory, as well as the determination of priority grids.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Burden of disease from environmental noise -Quantification of healthy life-years lost in Europe, WHO 2011 / Charge de morbidité imputable au bruit environnemental : quantification du nombre d'années de vie en bonne santé perdues en Europe, published on 30 March 2011.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Impact sanitaire du bruit dans l'agglomération parisienne : quantification des années de vie en bonne santé perdues - Note de synthèse sur l'application à l'agglomération parisienne de la méthode de l'OMS pour la détermination de la morbidité liée au bruit, ORS Ile-de-France, Bruitparif, with the support of the WHO's European bureau, November 2011.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> F. Mietlicki, S. Host, R. Kim, R. Da Silva, C. Ribeiro, E. Chatignoux, "Health impact of noise in the Paris agglomeration: assessment of healthy life-years lost", Internoise 2013, Innsbruck, Austria (2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Impact sanitaire du bruit des transports dans l'agglomération parisienne : quantification des années de vie en bonne santé perdues. Application à l'agglomération parisienne de la méthode de l'OMS pour la détermination de la morbidité liée au bruit, Bruitparif, ORS Ile-de-France, September 2015.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Environmental Noise Guidelines, WHO, Bureau Europe, October 2018.

# METHODOLOGY

The diagnostic was developed based on the latest knowledge on the effects of noise on health, published by the World Health Organisation (WHO) in October 2018<sup>9</sup> and using the methodology recommended by the WHO<sup>10</sup> for quantifying the number of healthy life-years (DALYs - Disability-Adjusted Life-Years) lost.

### RECOGNISED HEALTH IMPACT OF NOISE

Based on a wide review of the scientific literature, in its most recent publication in October 2018, the WHO claims that there is a robust and proven exposureresponse relationships between populations' levels of exposure to noise and the rates of people who claim to be highly annoyed or have highly disturbed sleep. The strength of association for these relationships has been significantly upgraded (by a factor of 2 to 3) compared to the previous relationships available, especially for event-based noises like air traffic and rail traffic. The graphs opposite show the exposure-response curves now available for these effects and their variation compared to previous curves.

Other health effects of noise are considered critical by the WHO, in particular cardiovascular risk (coronary heart disease, high blood pressure, myocardial infarction) and learning difficulties. However, we do not currently have sufficiently robust exposure-response curves for these three sources of transport noise cumulated. Cardiovascular risk, for example, is well described for exposure to road noise but not yet sufficiently for rail and aircraft noise. As for learning difficulties, the available studies have mainly focused on young student populations subjected to aircraft noise pollution.

For questions of homogeneity and coherence in the treatment of different noise sources, for this study, Bruitparif chose to only process the two best-documented effects, namely annoyance and sleep disturbance.

The levels of exposure to noise used for these exposure-response curves are expressed using the indicators used in the strategic noise maps, namely Lden (noise weighted over 24h) and Ln (night-time noise for the 10 PM-6 AM period).





Exposure-response functions for annoyance and sleep disturbance

#### **NOISE INDICATORS**

The Lden indicator (which stands for Level day evening night) is an indicator of overall noise perceived over 24 hours which takes into account individuals' heightened sensitivity to noise in the evenings and at night. The Lden indicator is calculated using equivalent average noise levels during the day (6AM-6 PM), in the evening (6 PM-10 PM), and at night (10 PM-6 AM) applying a weighting of +5 dB(A) and +10 dB(A) to noise in the evening and at night. It is calculated as an average over the year.

The Ln indicator (Level night) is the average noise energy over the night-time period (10 PM-6 AM). It is calculated as an average over the year.

<sup>10</sup> Burden of disease from environmental noise, WHO bureau for Europe, April 2011.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region, WHO bureau for Europe, October 2018.

### **REFERENCE VALUES**

#### **WHO recommendations**

To protect the health of populations, in its October 2018 report, the WHO published guidelines concerning environmental noise, strongly recommending reducing exposure to transport noise to the levels below:

WHO recommendations in dB(A)	Lden	Ln
Road traffic noise	53	45
Rail traffic noise	54	44
Air traffic noise	45	40

The WHO's recommendations must be considered as targets to reach in order to minimise the negative effects of noise on populations.

#### **Regulatory limit values**

France adopted regulatory limit values based on the framework of the transposition of European directive 2002/49/EC.

Limit values are defined in European directive as "a value of Lden or Lnight, and where appropriate Lday and Levening, as determined by the Member State, the exceeding of which causes competent authorities to consider or enforce mitigation measures; limit values may be different for different types of noise (road-, rail-, aircraft noise, industrial noise, etc.), different surroundings and different noise sensitiveness of the populations; they may also be different for existing situations and for new situations (where there is a change in the situation regarding the noise source or the use of the surrounding)".

The limit values set by France in application of the European directive are mentioned in the decree of 24 March 2006 and the order of 4 April 2006, pertaining to the creation of noise maps and environmental noise prevention action plans. For the sources of transport, they are as follows:

French limit values in dB(A)	Lden	Ln
Road traffic noise	68	62
Rail traffic noise Conventional railways High speed railways	73 68	65 62
Air traffic noise	55	No limit value

It should be noted that France did not define a limit value for aircraft noise at night.

Following this report, in the absence of a limit value for night-time traffic, we used the value of 50 dB (A). This value may be considered as critical considering the exposure-response curves provided by the WHO for sleep disturbance related to aircraft noise. 20% of people exposed to night-time noise levels of 50 dB (A) claim that their sleep is highly disturbed.

### DISABILITY ADJUSTED LIFE-YEARS (DALY)

BASED on the data from strategic noise maps expressed using the Lden and Ln indicators, it was possible to calculate the number of people affected and people whose sleep was disturbed at any point in the territory, depending on the level of exposure to transport noise, using the previously mentioned dose-effect relationships recommended by the WHO.

This work continued with the evaluation of healthy life-years (disability-adjusted life-years, DALY) lost due to annoyance and sleep disturbance caused by transport noise. The DALY is a metric recommended by the WHO<sup>11</sup> which quantifies the deterioration of populations' health due to disease or by exposure to environmental factors.

The WHO estimates that annoyance can be translated by a health deterioration coefficient (also called disability weight) of 0.02, and 0.07 for sleep disturbance. The disability weights related to each health impact vary on a scale of 0 (undeteriorated health) to 1 (death). They were calculated based on expert opinions collected by the WHO.

It is thereby possible to convert the previously presented exposure-response relationships (cf. page 11) into graphs that show the potential individual health risk depending on the level of exposure to noise. For this, the DALYs lost due to annoyance or sleep disturbance are calculated applying the corresponding disability weights and then applied to an individual whose exposure to noise would remain stable throughout their life. The figures provided are for an average individual with a life expectancy of 83.4 years, which is the average life expectancy for men and women living in Ile-de-France (85.8 years for women and 80.9 years for men – source: INSEE 2014).

The following figures show the results obtained in numbers of healthy life-months lost due to noise during a lifetime for annoyance and sleep disturbance for exposure to each source of transport noise.

bureau for Europe, April 2011.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Burden of disease from environmental noise, WHO







By using these relationships, it is possible to convert strategic noise maps into potential individual health risk maps for the noise from each mode of transport and the cumulated transport noise. These maps, on pages 14 and 15, give an idea of the scale of inconvenience caused by transport noise for an individual who lives their whole life within one part of the region.

We provide below a few examples of concrete cases of situations of high exposure to noise that can be encountered:

#### High exposure to road traffic noise

 Case of an average individual exposed to dayand night-time road noise levels close to the regulatory limit values, namely Lden = 68 dB(A) and Ln = 62 dB (A) (a so-called "super blackspot" for road noise): 12 months of healthy life lost due to noise (five months due to the annoyance and seven months due to sleep disturbance).

#### High exposure to rail traffic noise

 Case of an average individual exposed to dayand night-time rail noise levels close to the regulatory limit values, namely Lden = 73 dB(A) and Ln = 65 dB (A) (a so-called "super blackspot" for rail noise) 26 months of healthy life lost due to noise (8 months due to the annoyance and 18 months due to sleep disturbance).

#### High exposure to air traffic noise

- Case of an average individual living on the edge of zone III of the Paris-CDG noise pollution map, i.e. exposed to Lden air traffic noise levels of 55 dB(A) and around 47 dB (A) at night: 17 months of healthy life lost due to noise (5 months due to the annoyance and 12 months due to sleep disturbance).
- Case of an average individual living on the edge of zone II of the Paris-CDG noise pollution map, i.e. exposed to Lden air traffic noise levels of 65 dB(A) and around 57 dB (A) at night: 29 months of healthy life lost due to noise (9 months due to the annoyance and 20 months due to sleep disturbance).
- Case of an average individual living on the edge of zone I of the Paris-CDG noise pollution map, i.e. exposed to Lden air traffic noise levels of 70 dB(A) and around 62 dB (A) at night: 36 months of healthy life lost due to noise (11 months due to the annoyance and 25 months due to sleep disturbance).
- Case of an average individual living on the edge of zone III of the Paris-Orly noise pollution map, i.e. exposed to Lden air traffic noise levels of 55 dB(A) and around 43 dB (A) at night: 15 months of healthy life lost due to noise (5 months due to the annoyance and 10 months due to sleep disturbance).
- Case of an average individual living on the edge of zone II of the Paris-Orly noise pollution map, i.e. exposed to Lden air traffic noise levels of 65 dB(A) and Around 53 dB (A) at night: 25 months of healthy life lost due to noise (9 months due to the annoyance and 16 months due to sleep disturbance).
- Case of an average individual living on the edge of zone I of the Paris-Orly noise pollution map, i.e. exposed to Lden air traffic noise levels of 70 dB(A) and around 58 dB (A) at night: 32 months of healthy life lost due to noise (11 months due to the annoyance and 21 months due to sleep disturbance).

# POTENTIAL OF HEALTH RISKS FOR AN INDIVIDUAL DUE TO CUMULATED TRANSPORT NOISE EXPOSURE

NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-MONTHS LOST DURING A LIFETIME



POTENTIAL OF HEALTH RISKS FOR AN INDIVIDUAL DUE TO ROAD TRAFFIC NOISE EXPOSURE NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-MONTHS LOST DURING A LIFETIME



POTENTIAL OF HEALTH RISKS FOR AN INDIVIDUAL DUE TO RAIL TRAFFIC NOISE EXPOSURE NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-MONTHS LOST DURING A LIFETIME



POTENTIAL OF HEALTH RISKS FOR AN INDIVIDUAL DUE TO AIR TRAFFIC NOISE EXPOSURE NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-MONTHS LOST DURING A LIFETIME



### **UNCERTAINTY FACTORS**

There are uncertainties at every step of this method for assessing health impact. Several uncertainty factors have been identified. They are described below.

# Uncertainties related to the noise exposure data

The estimations for healthy life-years lost due to noise are based on data from the first noise maps produced under European directive 2002/49/EC.

The quality of estimations is highly dependent on input data (traffic, speed, road surface, noise barriers, topography, etc.). There are, therefore, many potential sources of imprecision. Comparisons of the data from strategic noise maps and the measurements carried out in the field generally show variations of +/-3 dB (A), which corresponds to a multiplication/division of the sound energy by 2.

Furthermore, the method for calculating the population's exposure consists in attributing residents of a given building with the highest noise levels estimated at 4 m from the ground and 2 m in front of the building's façade (having previously subtracted 3 dB (A) from the values calculated in order to account for the sound's reflection off the building's façade). This method of allocation appears to overestimate the values insofar as that it does not take into account the layout of homes, the presence or absence of a quiet façade, the vertical variation of noise levels, or the varying performance levels of acoustic insulation between different housing.

A theoretical calculation was carried out on the consequences of an error of +/-3 dB (A) on levels of exposure to noise, on the evaluation of disability-adjusted life-years lost. The results indicate that the number of disability-adjusted life-years lost within the densely populated zone of IIe-de-France varies between 81,000 (if we apply -3dB (A) everywhere) and 135,000 years (if we apply +3 dB (A) everywhere), so a range of +/-25% for the value calculated in this study.

# Uncertainties related to the health effects considered

As previously explained, it was decided to only focus on the best-known and documented health effects of noise, namely annoyance and sleep disturbance, although the WHO also considers the effects of cardiovascular risk and learning difficulties to be critical.

This approach leads to a probable underestimation of the health impact of noise. However, the WHO's 2011 qualification of the burden of disease from transport noise in Western Europe indicates that sleep disturbance and annoyance alone represented a total of 92% of the 1.62 million disability-adjusted lifeyears lost calculated.

Not taking into account the effects of cardiovascular risks and learning difficulties in the evaluation therefore leads to a probable underestimation of the burden of disease from noise in the densely populated zone of Ile-de-France of around 10%.

# Uncertainties related to the exposure-response relationship

In order to prepare its new guidelines published in October 2018, the WHO conducted a full review of the scientific literature concerning the effects of noise, systematically evaluating the results of various research and the epidemiological studies taken into account and their uncertainties thanks to a robust standardised method (PICOS/PEECOS)<sup>12</sup>.

The dose-effect relationships come from the results of epidemiological studies. The validity of these relationships was evaluated using the following criteria:

- The quality of studies used (the choice of criteria must be clearly stated);
- The exhaustiveness of the collection of studies;
- The quality of the estimation of exposure;
- The convergence of results;
- The possibility of transposing the results to the population to which one wants to apply the exposure-risk relationship;
- Biological plausibility.

Using these criteria, the WHO selected dose-effect relationships for the various noise sources, when the scientific evidence was solid enough, for each health effect chosen. These relationships include uncertainties related to the confidence intervals as a result of the variability of results from epidemiological studies. However, the WHO believes that these relationships can be applied in order to estimate the percentage of people affected based on their level of exposure.

The WHO mentions in its report that the review of the scientific evidence does not show a generalisable exposure-response relationship for annoyance due to the high heterogeneity of studies and the fact that the concept of annoyance is context- and culture-dependent. Therefore, it encourages the use of

study design/Population, exposure, comparator, confounders, outcome and study design.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> *Population, intervention, comparator, outcome and* 

exposure-response curves from local studies wherever possible. The WHO nevertheless believes that the exposure-response relationships recommended in its report can be used by default. These relationships must be adjusted in the future based on a more complete meta-analysis. This additional research is particularly highly anticipated for aircraft and rail noise, for which the new studies indicate levels of annoyance that are far higher than the previous data.

It is also important to specify that dose-effect relationships were established for noise levels estimated outside buildings, considering that the majority of epidemiological studies examined the relationships between the health effects caused to populations with noise levels measured or modelled inside their homes. The average differences between noise levels inside and outside homes are around 10 dB when the windows are open, 15 dB when the windows are ajar, and 25 dB when they're closed. The dose-effect relationships are based on the indirect integration of these average statistical values on exposure differences between the inside and outside of buildings.

However, for homes that have more effective acoustic insulation (30 to 35 dB), in particular those that have received subsidies for sound insulation within the airports' noise pollution maps, the application of exposure-response curves as recommended by the WHO probably over-inflates the health effects.

In the absence of precise data allowing us to identify precisely which buildings have acoustic insulation, it was decided to apply the dose-effect relationships uniformly. We therefore draw the readers' attention to this point, which may lead to the potential overestimation of the effects, in particular within zones that were part of the sound insulation programme.

# Uncertainties related to the choice of disability weight

The WHO recommends evaluating disease caused by environmental noise using the Disability-Adjusted Life-Year (DALY) metric, using the recommended Global Burden of Disease (GBD) method.

In this calculation, the choice of disability weight has a strong influence on the results. Several coefficient values can be suggested, as shown in the following table (the value chosen for the calculation made according to WHO recommendations is in bold):

Disability weight (DW)	DWinf	DW	DWsup
Annoyance	0.01	0.02	0.12
Sleep Disturbance	0.04	0.07	0.10

In the case of annoyance, although there are relatively few studies devoted to the determination of the disability weight (DW), the WHO recommends using a DW value of 0.02 with a high range of uncertainty (between 0.01 and 0.12). The value of 0.02 leads to a "conservative" approach, where the emphasis is on underestimating disease.

Based on an exhaustive study of several research projects carried out by the WHO's group of experts, the DW disability coefficient due to sleep disturbance was set at 0.07 to calculate DALY. The value chosen takes into consideration the statistical distribution of DW observed in various research projects studied whose variations translate an uncertainty interval of between 0.04 and 0.1.

In their October 2018 report, the WHO indicates that the effects of annoyance and sleep disturbance due to a single noise source must be considered to be cumulative and that it is therefore possible to add the DALY values calculated for both annoyance and sleep disturbance for a single noise source.

# Uncertainty related to the consideration of exposure to multiple sources of noise

In its October 2018 report, the WHO noted a relative lack of understanding of the effects of exposure to multiple sources of noise simultaneously, or the exposure to noise combined with other environmental nuisances (air pollution, for example), as most epidemiological and biological studies only address the health impacts of exposure to a single source of noise.

Yet many people within the densely populated zone of Ile-de-France are concerned by exposure to multiple sources of transport noise. The WHO indicates that, in this type of case, there is a risk of potential double counting. However, it does not suggest an operational method for dealing with the combined health effects of exposure to multiple sources of noise (addition of effects or consideration of a masking phenomenon by the noise source with the most marked effect).

To present the results in this study, we decided to cumulate the health impacts of the three noise sources in the knowledge that this probably overevaluates the effect. We therefore draw the reader's attention to this point.

#### **BRUIT**PARIF / **REPORT**

The health impact of noise was calculated in parallel using the maximum health effect of the three noise sources, for annoyance and sleep disturbance, for each population associated with a building. The result of this calculation makes it possible to determine the health impact of noise within the population, without taking into account potentially cumulative effects related to exposure to multiple noise sources, and therefore underestimates the effect. The result of this calculation is 85,065 disability-adjusted life-years lost within the densely populated zone of lle-de-France; 21% less than the estimation made in this study by cumulating the health impact of three noise sources. The "true" value probably lies somewhere between these two figures.

#### **RESULTS PRODUCED**

This report produces a certain number of results pertaining to the population's exposure to transport noise and its health impact, in the form of maps and statistics.

It was decided to present the results by noise source (road noise, rail noise, and aircraft noise) and cumulatively for the three sources.

For each source, after a reminder of the main results from the strategic noise maps (population distribution by range of noise level and comparison with the different reference values), the health impacts are presented.

By adding the values of disability-adjusted life-years lost combined with the annoyance and sleep disturbance values, with a 250 m<sup>2</sup> grid, as well as at commune level, these maps illustrate the territorial distribution of disease caused by noise as well as the potential individual risks.

There are statistics presenting results for the entire territory and for each agglomeration, as well as for the 50 communes with the highest values, bearing in mind that results for every commune are provided in the appendix. The data is provided for each territorial unit as a total number of disability-adjusted life-years lost each year as well as the average individual risk (healthy life-months lost per individual over a lifetime).

These two types of information seem complementary in terms of the selection of the territory's priority sectors. The figure on disabilityadjusted life-years lost per territorial unit provides information on the collective scale of disturbance, whereas the figure on healthy life-months lost at individual level over a lifetime represents the individual risk.

In order to take into consideration both of these aspects of health risks (collective and individual),

Bruitparif has produced additional maps that highlight the territory's grids that have high values for both aspects. This ranking of grids was done using the so-called Euclidean distance technique. The grids that had the total DALY and individual risk values that were closest to the highest values observed were sorted in ascending order of Euclidean distance. The figure below illustrates the process used.



Process using Euclidean distance.

Once the ranking was completed, the 500 grids with the highest values were selected for each noise source. This ranking highlights the neighbourhoods that should be the priority in terms of public action to reduce noise pollution.

And finally, the results of the health impact and the related economic costs were compared with those obtained during the first evaluations conducted between 2011 and 2015 by Bruitparif and the lle-de-France's regional health watchdog.



### **ROAD TRAFFIC NOISE - NOISE LEVELS**

#### **INDICATOR LDEN**



#### **INDICATOR LN**

B



## ROAD TRAFFIC NOISE - STATISTICS BY RANGE OF NOISE LEVELS INDICATOR LDEN

#### **POPULATION**

	Nombre d'habitants (à la centaine près)	%
> 75	30 500	0,3%
70-75	479 400	4,7%
65-70	1 764 400	17,5%
60-65	2 231 300	22,1%
55-60	3 309 600	32,8%
50-55	1 476 400	14,6%
45-50	508 500	5,0%
< 45	304 100	3,0%



#### **INDICATOR LN**

#### POPULATION

	Nombre d'habitants (à la centaine près)	%
> 70	5 000	0,0%
65-70	59 200	0,6%
60-65	724 800	7,2%
55-60	1 894 600	18,8%
50-55	2 185 400	21,6%
45-50	3 256 300	32,2%
40-45	1 294 300	12,8%
< 40	684 500	6,8%



## ROAD TRAFFIC NOISE - STATISTICS PER AGGLOMERATION BY RANGE OF NOISE LEVELS

#### **INDICATOR LDEN**

#### POPULATION

Nombre d'habitants (à la centaine près)	< 45	45-50	50-55	55-60	60-65	65-70	70-75	> 75
Métropole du Grand Paris	228 000	247 500	714 400	1 945 500	1 699 900	1 498 400	416 100	26 200
T1 - Paris	171 200	63 500	80 300	504 200	626 300	633 700	106 200	3 800
T2 - Vallee Sud Grand Paris	2 600	12 800	42 100	136 600	85 100	73 000	28 900	600
T3 - Grand Paris Seine Ouest	5 600	13 800	34 100	78 600	45 500	87 900	30 800	1 500
T4 - Paris Ouest La Defense	7 600	20 400	52 900	137 700	160 600	134 600	32 900	4 600
T5 - Boucle Nord de Seine	8 300	16 600	43 200	127 400	99 400	85 900	35 300	1 500
T6 - Plaine Commune	8 100	18 000	84 900	112 400	65 900	60 400	43 200	2 600
T7 - Paris Terres d'envol	3 300	16 800	57 100	167 200	60 800	24 400	10 100	-
T8 - Est Ensemble	6 400	14 800	56 200	145 100	90 800	51 500	25 400	200
T9 - Grand Paris Grand Est	6 500	21 200	59 500	162 800	58 700	42 300	18 000	100
T10 - Paris Est Marne & Bois	4 100	14 500	59 200	110 600	146 800	118 300	32 700	6 500
T11 - Grand Paris Sud Est Avenir	2 500	17 600	55 500	78 200	80 500	56 900	6 400	100
T12 - Grand-Orly Seine Bievre	1 600	17 600	89 400	184 600	179 400	129 600	46 100	4 600
Cergy Pontoise	6 400	18 700	45 500	81 500	32 600	9 900	1 500	200
Coeur d'Essonne Agglomeration	2 700	17 400	51 100	76 400	24 200	10 700	1 700	200
Communaute Paris-Saclay	2 500	17 500	64 500	134 300	44 500	17 500	4 300	500
Grand Paris Seine & Oise	8 500	29 900	88 800	149 600	70 200	33 500	10 000	700
Grand Paris Sud	8 000	25 800	65 900	134 200	65 000	15 700	2 500	200
Paris Vallee de la Marne	6 100	15 700	<b>51 900</b>	106 600	27 300	8 600	1 600	-
Plaine Vallee	4 300	17 700	38 300	68 800	23 900	17 700	3 700	100
Roissy Pays de France	7 300	24 800	76 000	149 300	49 500	20 500	4 600	500
Saint Germain Boucles de Seine	13 000	36 100	84 700	111 700	39 600	34 300	9 400	400
Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines	2 300	10 600	54 300	91 500	43 900	19 200	1 800	300
Val d'Yerres Val de Seine	1 900	14 200	45 800	71 300	17 000	12 300	3 800	-
Val Parisis	3 400	18 300	45 100	105 200	48 300	24 700	3 700	100
Versailles Grand Parc	9 700	14 300	50 000	83 900	45 700	41 200	14 700	1 100

#### **INDICATOR LN**

#### POPULATION

Nombre d'habitants (à la centaine près)	< 40	40-45	45-50	50-55	55-60	60-65	65-70	> 70
Métropole du Grand Paris	415 800	633 700	1 851 000	1 574 200	1 597 200	645 000	54 500	4 600
T1 - Paris	217 100	75 300	442 000	449 100	707 600	275 800	20 600	1 700
T2 - Vallee Sud Grand Paris	11 800	36 600	132 800	91 200	75 400	33 400	500	200
T3 - Grand Paris Seine Ouest	16 100	28 700	79 200	47 000	86 200	37 900	2 900	-
T4 - Paris Ouest La Defense	23 700	49 600	127 400	168 100	137 300	40 200	5 000	100
T5 - Boucle Nord de Seine	22 200	36 500	122 900	103 900	88 700	40 400	2 700	200
T6 - Plaine Commune	22 500	77 400	115 700	67 900	59 000	49 400	3 300	300
T7 - Paris Terres d'envol	16 500	49 700	164 600	72 000	25 300	11 700	300	-
T8 - Est Ensemble	17 700	49 600	137 400	101 400	54 800	28 200	1 300	-
T9 - Grand Paris Grand Est	23 700	52 900	162 200	67 600	42 900	19 600	300	-
T10 - Paris Est Marne & Bois	14 500	52 600	105 800	140 200	123 700	45 600	8 700	1 600
T11 - Grand Paris Sud Est Avenir	16 400	54 000	77 500	84 000	57 500	7 700	600	-
T12 - Grand-Orly Seine Bievre	13 700	70 800	183 800	181 800	139 000	55 000	8 300	600
Cergy Pontoise	19 800	44 000	82 300	36 600	11 600	1 800	200	-
Coeur d'Essonne Agglomeration	14 600	44 800	81 600	27 600	12 400	3 300	100	100
Communaute Paris-Saclay	14 000	55 200	136 000	53 000	20 700	5 600	900	100
Grand Paris Seine & Oise	32 200	78 200	159 800	77 700	33 200	9 300	700	-
Grand Paris Sud	24 400	49 900	119 800	76 600	38 500	7 700	400	100
Paris Vallee de la Marne	17 900	42 100	101 900	44 300	9 500	2 100	-	-
Plaine Vallee	17 400	34 500	72 500	25 400	17 900	6 600	200	-
Roissy Pays de France	23 600	65 700	155 600	56 500	24 400	5 600	800	-
Saint Germain Boucles de Seine	43 700	76 400	125 300	43 400	30 800	9 300	400	-
Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines	11 200	45 700	101 300	48 900	14 600	1 700	300	100
Val d'Yerres Val de Seine	10 800	40 400	78 300	18 300	12 100	6 200	200	-
Val Parisis	17 600	39 800	103 900	52 800	28 400	6 200	100	-
Versailles Grand Parc	21 500	44 100	87 000	50 100	43 400	14 300	400	-

### ROAD TRAFFIC NOISE - STATISTICS PER AGGLOMERATION BY RANGE OF NOISE LEVELS



#### **INDICATOR LN**

#### **PERCENTAGE OF POPULATION**



# ROAD TRAFFIC NOISE - EXCEEDANCE OF LIMIT VALUESINDICATOR LDEN > 68 dB(A)AREAS OF EXCEEDANCE



INDICATOR LN > 62 dB(A)

**AREAS OF EXCEEDANCE** 



### **ROAD TRAFFIC NOISE - EXCEEDANCE OF LIMIT VALUES**

INDICATOR LDEN > 68 dB(A)

**POPULATION PER GRID OF 250 m** 



### **ROAD TRAFFIC NOISE - EXCEEDANCE OF LIMIT VALUES**

INDICATOR LDEN > 68 dB(A)

POPULATION PER COMMUNE LEVEL









## ROAD TRAFFIC NOISE - EXCEEDANCE OF WHO GUIDELINES

INDICATOR LDEN > 53 dB(A)

**POPULATION PER COMMUNE LEVEL** 





## **ROAD TRAFFIC NOISE - STATISTICS COMPARISON WITH THE REFERENCE VALUES INDICATOR LDEN**

	Nombre d'habitants (à la centaine près)	%
< 53 dB(A) (objectif OMS)	1 515 700	15,0%
53-68 dB(A)	7 497 000	74,2%
> 68 dB(A) (valeur limite)	1 091 700	10,8%



#### **INDICATOR LN**

#### **POPULATION**

	Nombre d'habitants (à la centaine près)	%
< 45 dB(A) (objectif OMS)	1 978 800	19,6%
45-62 dB(A)	7 794 400	77,1%
> 62 dB(A) (valeur limite)	331 100	3,3%



## ROAD TRAFFIC NOISE - STATISTICS PER AGGLOMERATION COMPARISON WITH THE REFERENCE VALUES

#### **INDICATOR LDEN**

#### **POPULATION**

Nombre d'habitants (à la centaine près)	< 53 dB(A) (objectif OMS)	53-68 dB(A)	> 68 dB(A) (valeur limite)
Métropole du Grand Paris	813 800	5 021 200	941 000
T1 - Paris	275 000	1 637 200	277 100
T2 - Vallee Sud Grand Paris	34 800	289 800	57 200
T3 - Grand Paris Seine Ouest	34 700	188 500	74 600
T4 - Paris Ouest La Defense	53 000	409 500	<u>88 900</u>
T5 - Boucle Nord de Seine	43 300	305 000	69 200
T6 - Plaine Commune	69 500	251 100	74 800
T7 - Paris Terres d'envol	45 200	274 400	20 200
T8 - Est Ensemble	46 100	298 400	45 800
T9 - Grand Paris Grand Est	55 300	279 300	34 400
T10 - Paris Est Marne & Bois	46 900	366 300	79 400
T11 - Grand Paris Sud Est Avenir	50 400	219 200	28 300
T12 - Grand-Orly Seine Bievre	59 600	502 500	90 900
Cergy Pontoise	50 500	142 200	3 600
Coeur d'Essonne Agglomeration	46 100	133 300	5 100
Communaute Paris-Saclay	49 300	226 500	9 800
Grand Paris Seine & Oise	80 700	288 300	22 100
Grand Paris Sud	67 200	244 300	5 700
Paris Vallee de la Marne	45 800	166 300	5 700
Plaine Vallee	42 500	122 500	9 600
Roissy Pays de France	67 300	255 800	9 200
Saint Germain Boucles de Seine	89 800	216 000	23 400
Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines	33 900	183 100	6 900
Val d'Yerres Val de Seine	37 700	120 500	8 100
Val Parisis	43 900	195 200	9 700
Versailles Grand Parc	47 100	181 800	31 800

#### **INDICATOR LN**

#### POPULATION

Nombre d'habitants (à la centaine près)	< 45 dB(A) (objectif OMS)	45-62 dB(A)	>62 dB(A) (valeur limite)
Métropole du Grand Paris	1 049 400	5 425 300	301 300
T1 - Paris	292 500	1 766 600	130 200
T2 - Vallee Sud Grand Paris	48 300	318 400	15 100
T3 - Grand Paris Seine Ouest	44 800	239 100	14 000
T4 - Paris Ouest La Defense	73 300	461 900	16 300
T5 - Boude Nord de Seine	58 700	345 100	13 700
T6 - Plaine Commune	99 900	274 600	20 900
T7 - Paris Terres d'envol	66 100	267 700	6 000
T8 - Est Ensemble	67 200	310 100	13 000
T9 - Grand Paris Grand Est	76 600	285 100	7 400
T10 - Paris Est Marne & Bois	67 100	398 400	27 100
T11 - Grand Paris Sud Est Avenir	70 400	225 300	2 100
T12 - Grand-Orly Seine Bievre	84 500	533 000	35 600
Cergy Pontoise	63 800	131 500	1 000
Coeur d'Essonne Agglomeration	59 400	123 800	1 200
Communaute Paris-Saclay	69 200	213 400	2 900
Grand Paris Seine & Oise	110 400	277 800	3 000
Grand Paris Sud	74 300	240 100	2 900
Paris Vallee de la Marne	59 900	157 400	500
Plaine Vallee	51 900	120 600	2 100
Roissy Pays de France	89 300	239 100	3 800
Saint Germain Boucles de Seine	120 100	206 700	2 400
Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines	57 000	166 000	1 000
Val d'Yerres Val de Seine	51 200	112 600	2 600
Val Parisis	57 400	189 000	2 400
Versailles Grand Parc	65 600	191 200	4 000
# ROAD TRAFFIC NOISE - STATISTICS PER AGGLOMERATION COMPARISON WITH THE REFERENCE VALUES

### **INDICATOR LDEN**

### **PERCENTAGE OF POPULATION**



### **INDICATOR LN**

### PERCENTAGE OF POPULATION





### **INDICATOR DALY**

NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-YEARS LOST PER YEAR

#### PER GRID OF 250 m



**STATISTICS** 

#### NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-YEARS LOST PER YEAR

ANNÉES DE VIE EN BONNE SANTÉ PERDUE Par an	Du fait de la gêne	Du fait des troubles du sommeil	TOTAL
Métropole du Grand Paris	23 033	24 706	47 738
T1 - Paris	7 621	8 683	16 304
T2 - Vallee Sud Grand Paris	1 299	1 355	2 654
T3 - Grand Paris Seine Ouest	1 095	1 157	2 252
T4 - Paris Ouest La Defense	1 966	2 042	4 008
T5 - Boucle Nord de Seine	1 443	1 506	2 949
T6 - Plaine Commune	1 309	1 347	2 656
T7 - Paris Terres d'envol	967	966	1 932
T8 - Est Ensemble	1 243	1 287	2 530
T9 - Grand Paris Grand Est	1 093	1 098	2 191
T10 - Paris Est Marne & Bois	1 786	1 901	3 687
T11 - Grand Paris Sud Est Avenir	939	945	1 884
T12 - Grand-Orly Seine Bievre	2 273	2 419	4 692
Cergy Pontoise	499	485	984
Coeur d'Essonne Agglomeration	470	463	933
Communaute Paris-Saclay	766	774	1 540
Grand Paris Seine & Oise	1 084	1 050	2 133
Grand Paris Sud	831	909	1 740
Paris Vallee de la Marne	547	546	1 093
Plaine Vallee	470	469	939
Roissy Pays de France	869	865	1 733
Saint Germain Boucles de Seine	876	823	1 698
Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines	618	593	1 212
Val d'Yerres Val de Seine	434	433	867
Val Parisis	697	703	1 400
Versailles Grand Parc	799	796	1 595
ZONE DENSE DE LA RÉGION ÎLE-DE-ERANCE	31 994	33 613	65 607



### **INDICATOR DALY**

NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-YEARS LOST PER YEAR

### PER COMMUNE LEVEL



### THE 50 COMMUNES WITH THE HIGHEST VALUES NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-YEARS LOST PER YEAR



# INDIVIDUAL HEALTH RISKS FOR ANNOYANCE PER GRID OF 250 m

NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-MONTHS LOST PER INDIVIDUAL DURING A LIFETIME



INDIVIDUAL HEALTH RISKS FOR SLEEP DISTURBANCE PER GRID OF 250 m NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-MONTHS LOST PER INDIVIDUAL DURING A LIFETIME



### **INDIVIDUAL HEALTH RISKS**

PER GRID OF 250 m

NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-MONTHS LOST PER INDIVIDUAL DURING A LIFETIME



### **STATISTICS** NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-MONTHS LOST PER INDIVIDUAL DURING A LIFETIME

MOIS DE VIE EN BONNE SANTÉ PERDUE PAR INDIVIDU AU COURS D'UNE VIE ENTIÈRE	Du fait de la gêne	Du fait des troubles du sommeil	TOTAL
Métropole du Grand Paris	3,4	3,6	7,1
T1 - Paris	3,5	4,0	7,5
T2 - Vallee Sud Grand Paris	3,4	3,6	7,0
T3 - Grand Paris Seine Ouest	3,7	3,9	7,6
T4 - Paris Ouest La Defense	3,6	3,7	7,3
T5 - Boude Nord de Seine	3,5	3,6	7,1
T6 - Plaine Commune	3,3	3,4	6,7
T7 - Paris Terres d'envol	2,8	2,8	5,7
T8 - Est Ensemble	3,2	3,3	6,5
T9 - Grand Paris Grand Est	3,0	3,0	5,9
T10 - Paris Est Marne & Bois	3,6	3,9	7,5
T11 - Grand Paris Sud Est Avenir	3,2	3,2	6,3
T12 - Grand-Orly Seine Bievre	3,5	3,7	7,2
Cergy Pontoise	2,5	2,5	5,0
Coeur d'Essonne Agglomeration	2,5	2,5	5,1
Communaute Paris-Saclay	2,7	2,7	5,4
Grand Paris Seine & Oise	2,8	2,7	5,5
Grand Paris Sud	2,6	2,9	5,5
Paris Vallee de la Marne	2,5	2,5	5,0
Plaine Vallee	2,7	2,7	5,4
Roissy Pays de France	2,6	2,6	5,2
Saint Germain Boucles de Seine	2,7	2,5	5,2
Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines	2,8	2,7	5,4
Val d'Yerres Val de Seine	2,6	2,6	5,2
Val Parisis	2,8	2,8	5,6
Versailles Grand Parc	3,1	3,1	6,1
ZONE DENSE DE LA RÉGION ÎLE-DE-FRANCE	3,2	3,3	6,5

### INDIVIDUAL HEALTH RISKS FOR ANNOYANCE PER COMMUNE LEVEL

NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-MONTHS LOST PER INDIVIDUAL DURING A LIFETIME



**INDIVIDUAL HEALTH RISKS FOR SLEEP DISTURBANCE PER COMMUNE LEVEL** NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-MONTHS LOST PER INDIVIDUAL DURING A LIFETIME



### **INDIVIDUAL HEALTH RISKS**

PER COMMUNE LEVEL

NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-MONTHS LOST PER INDIVIDUAL DURING A LIFETIME



### THE 50 COMMUNES WITH THE HIGHEST VALUES

NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-MONTHS LOST PER INDIVIDUAL DURING A LIFETIME



# **ROAD TRAFFIC NOISE – THE 500 PRIORITY GRIDS**

HIGHEST VALUES FOR COMBINED RISKS (COLLECTIVE AND INDIVIDUAL)



# **ROAD TRAFFIC NOISE – IN BRIEF**

The population of the densely populated zone of Ilede-France faces high exposure to road noise throughout the day since 85% of inhabitants (8.6 million people) are exposed to levels exceeding 53 dB(A) using the Lden indicator, which is the World Health Organisation's threshold value to avoid consequences on health due to road noise.

This trend can be seen through the many people who are subjected to noise levels that exceed the regulatory limit values for France in application of the noise directive: 1,091,000 people (10.8% of the population) are exposed to levels exceeding 68 dB(A) using the Lden indicator.

At night, exposure to road noise drops. However, 80% of the population still live in accommodation where noise levels in front of the building exceed 45 dB(A), which is the night-time threshold value according to the WHO, and 331,100 inhabitants (3.3% of the population) are exposed to night-time levels that exceed the regulatory limit value of 62 dB(A) using the Ln indicator.

The majority of people exposed to road noise live in the Grand Paris Métropole, with between 86 and 91% of people exposed to noise levels that exceed the limit values for the Lden and Ln indicators respectively. T3 (Grand Paris Seine Ouest) seems to be the territory with the highest proportion of its population exposed within the Grand Paris Métropole: Exactly ¼ of its population is exposed to values that exceed the 68 dB(A) limit for the Lden indicator. T6 (Plaine Commune) comes second. In terms of night-time exposure to road noise, T10 (Paris Est Marne et Bois), T12 (Grand Orly Seine Bièvre), T6 (Plaine Commune), and T1 (Paris) are the territories with the highest proportion of their populations exposed to values exceeding the 62 dB(A) limit value using the Ln indicator: more than 5% of their populations are concerned. Outside the Grand Paris Métropole, it is the agglomeration community of Versailles Grand Parc that has the highest proportion of its population (12%) subjected to noise levels exceeding limit values using the Lden indicator.

In terms of the health impact, road noise is responsible for 65,607 disability-adjusted life-years

lost every year within the densely populated zone of Ile-de-France, with a fairly even distribution between the DALYs lost due to annoyance (31,994 DALYs or 49% of the total) and the DALYs lost due to sleep disturbance (33,613 DALYs, or 51% of the total).

Due to its high population density, the Grand Paris Métropole alone contains 73% of these health risks, with 47,738 DALY. The 50 communes with the highest number of disability-adjusted life-years lost due to road noise are all located within the Grand Paris Métropole, except for Versailles. The highest values are recorded in the peripheral districts of Paris (especially the 15<sup>th</sup>, the 18<sup>th</sup>, and the 20<sup>th</sup>).

At individual level, the evaluations show an average statistical value of 6.5 healthy life-months lost due to road noise by individuals during a lifetime, within the densely populated zone of Ile-de-France. This value can reach as high as 10 months on average for communes where the individual risk is highest (Saint-Maurice, Vaudherland, Charenton-le-Pont) and up to 18 months within the zones most exposed to noise pollution from road infrastructure (cf. maps of individual health risks, next page).

Unsurprisingly, these grids reveal the issue related to high exposure to noise generated by road traffic in Paris, along the Paris ring-road, as well as along major motorways (the A4 motorway at Charenton-le-Pont, Saint-Maurice, and Joinville; the A6a and A6b interchanges; the A13 at Pont de Saint-Cloud; the A3 interchange at porte de Bagnolet; along the A86 in Créteil and Vélizy-Villacoublay), and along very busy A-roads and B-roads: the RD910 in Boulogne-Billancourt, the RN13 in Neuilly-sur-Seine, the RD906 in Malakoff and Châtillon, the RD920 in Montrouge and Bourg-la-Reine, the RD7 in Kremlin-Bicêtre and in Villejuif, the RD5 in Ivry-sur-Seine, Vitry-sur-Seine and Choisy-le-Roi, the RD120 in Saint-Mandé and Vincennes, the RD302 in Montreuil, the RN3 in Pantin and Bondy, the RN2 in Pantin and Le Bourget, the RN1 in Saint-Denis, the RD20 in Saint-Ouen, the RD911 in Clichy-la-Garenne, the RD902 in Levallois-Perret, the RD106 in Courbevoie and Colombes, the RD7 along the banks of the Seine in Puteaux, the RN406 in Créteil, the RD316 in Villiers-le-Bel, the RD30 in Poissy, and the RD91 and the RN7 in Ris-Orangis....





# **RAIL TRAFFIC NOISE - NOISE LEVELS**

### **INDICATOR LDEN**



**INDICATOR LN** 

B



# RAIL TRAFFIC NOISE - STATISTICS BY RANGE OF NOISE LEVELS INDICATOR LDEN

#### **POPULATION**

	Nombre d'habitants (à la centaine près)	%
> 75	27 100	0,3%
70-75	85 800	0,8%
65-70	202 500	2,0%
60-65	392 500	3,9%
55-60	718 400	7,1%
50-55	949 600	9,4%
45-50	979 500	9,7%
< 45	6 748 900	66,8%



### **INDICATOR LN**

### POPULATION

	Nombre d'habitants (à la centaine près)	%
> 70	17 100	0,2%
65-70	65 600	0,6%
60-65	160 700	1,6%
55-60	323 500	3,2%
50-55	622 400	6,2%
45-50	894 100	8,8%
40-45	981 900	9,7%
< 40	7 039 100	69,7%



# RAIL TRAFFIC NOISE - STATISTICS PER AGGLOMERATION BY RANGE OF NOISE LEVELS

### **INDICATOR LDEN**

#### POPULATION

Nombre d'habitants (à la centaine près)	< 45	45-50	50-55	55-60	60-65	65-70	70-75	> 75
Métropole du Grand Paris	4 762 800	615 600	558 200	420 600	223 500	125 500	51 700	18 000
T1 - Paris	1 818 400	128 400	98 300	78 600	36 100	18 400	<del>9</del> 500	1 600
T2 - Vallee Sud Grand Paris	283 800	37 000	27 100	18 600	8 600	5 200	1 200	200
T3 - Grand Paris Seine Ouest	181 200	37 700	35 100	21 300	11 100	7 400	3 500	600
T4 - Paris Ouest La Defense	356 100	78 200	49 900	31 000	17 400	12 000	4 300	2 400
T5 - Boucle Nord de Seine	235 900	51 800	<u>53 600</u>	40 200	14 700	11 200	5 500	4 700
T6 - Plaine Commune	239 900	53 300	45 300	26 100	19 000	7 600	2 100	2 200
T7 - Paris Terres d'envol	208 700	38 200	45 000	28 100	13 300	4 400	1 400	700
T8 - Est Ensemble	289 200	22 800	22 900	23 000	19 300	9 700	2 200	1 400
T9 - Grand Paris Grand Est	202 800	36 300	47 000	40 600	22 100	13 000	6 500	800
T10 - Paris Est Marne & Bois	295 000	58 000	56 900	40 000	19 500	15 200	7 000	1 200
T11 - Grand Paris Sud Est Avenir	213 900	23 600	25 500	18 100	8 500	5 900	2 000	300
T12 - Grand-Orly Seine Bievre	438 000	50 200	51 800	55 000	33 900	15 500	6 700	2 000
Cergy Pontoise	149 200	14 500	13 800	8 800	4 700	4 100	700	500
Coeur d'Essonne Agglomeration	110 200	14 600	17 000	20 300	14 100	5 600	2 300	400
Communaute Paris-Saclay	181 700	30 100	36 100	23 600	10 300	2 900	800	-
Grand Paris Seine & Oise	193 300	49 700	55 700	44 500	26 300	12 800	6 500	2 400
Grand Paris Sud	200 200	27 700	34 600	30 300	17 600	5 400	1 300	200
Paris Vallee de la Marne	124 400	21 000	27 700	23 200	13 000	5 800	2 500	200
Plaine Vallee	89 100	35 000	23 300	14 100	6 800	3 200	2 700	400
Roissy Pays de France	218 100	41 100	33 900	19 200	13 200	4 700	1 800	200
Saint Germain Boucles de Seine	214 900	28 100	31 000	25 700	17 200	8 200	2 700	1 300
Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines	149 000	19 200	22 600	17 000	9 300	3 700	2 800	300
Val d'Yerres Val de Seine	94 000	15 100	23 000	15 600	11 700	4 600	2 000	500
Val Parisis	99 300	43 700	49 300	33 400	11 100	7 200	3 900	1 000
Versailles Grand Parc	162 700	24 100	23 200	22 100	13 800	8 900	4 100	1 800

### **INDICATOR LN**

### POPULATION

Nombre d'habitants (à la centaine près)	< 40	40-45	45-50	50-55	55-60	60-65	65-70	> 70
Métropole du Grand Paris	4 966 800	604 400	522 200	352 600	182 800	96 800	39 700	10 800
T1 - Paris	1 869 800	125 100	93 400	56 500	24 000	14 100	5 700	700
T2 - Vallee Sud Grand Paris	299 300	34 800	23 200	14 400	6 600	2 400	1 000	100
T3 - Grand Paris Seine Ouest	194 700	35 700	31 000	17 500	10 500	5 700	2 600	200
T4 - Paris Ouest La Defense	386 600	66 900	45 100	24 600	16 200	6 900	3 800	1 400
T5 - Boucle Nord de Seine	249 600	51 700	52 900	32 200	12 600	10 100	5 200	3 200
T6 - Plaine Commune	254 000	55 200	39 300	23 700	15 200	4 500	2 900	700
T7 - Paris Terres d'envol	216 200	40 200	44 300	24 100	10 000	3 200	1 300	500
T8 - Est Ensemble	294 700	21 700	24 000	22 000	17 100	8 200	1 700	900
T9 - Grand Paris Grand Est	211 300	41 000	45 500	35 900	18 100	12 500	4 300	600
T10 - Paris Est Marne & Bois	315 700	58 000	51 200	33 600	17 000	12 100	4 300	800
T11 - Grand Paris Sud Est Avenir	223 600	24 900	20 700	13 800	8 200	5 000	1 300	300
T12 - Grand-Orly Seine Bievre	451 400	49 300	51 700	54 300	27 400	12 200	5 400	1 400
Cergy Pontoise	153 500	13 900	12 200	8 400	4 600	2 800	500	400
Coeur d'Essonne Agglomeration	113 100	15 700	16 200	20 700	11 700	5 000	1 800	300
Communaute Paris-Saclay	192 300	31 500	34 000	17 600	7 300	2 500	300	-
Grand Paris Seine & Oise	201 100	52 800	54 800	41 000	23 100	11 200	5 500	1 700
Grand Paris Sud	205 600	31 200	31 500	31 300	12 300	4 300	900	100
Paris Vallee de la Marne	133 000	21 500	26 800	20 200	9 600	4 800	1 900	100
Plaine Vallee	97 500	34 400	18 900	12 800	6 100	2 200	2 500	200
Roissy Pays de France	229 300	40 900	28 100	18 300	11 000	3 700	1 000	100
Saint Germain Boucles de Seine	223 100	28 300	29 700	23 700	15 000	6 100	2 200	1 000
Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines	151 100	20 100	23 200	15 500	7 800	3 600	2 500	-
Val d'Yerres Val de Seine	96 800	16 600	22 800	14 900	10 000	3 400	1 700	300
Val Parisis	107 600	44 800	50 100	26 400	10 100	6 600	2 700	400
Versailles Grand Parc	168 400	25 600	23 700	18 800	12 300	7 700	2 600	1 600

# RAIL TRAFFIC NOISE - STATISTICS PER AGGLOMERATION BY RANGE OF NOISE LEVELS

INDICATOR LDEN

#### PERCENTAGE OF POPULATION



#### **INDICATOR LN**

#### PERCENTAGE OF POPULATION



#### **INDICATOR LDEN > VL\***

#### **AREAS OF EXCEEDANCE**

\* The limit values for the Lden indicator are 73 dB(A) for conventional railways and 68 dB(A) for high speed ones.



### **INDICATOR LN > VL\***

### **AREAS OF EXCEEDANCE**

\* The limit values for the Ln indicator are 65 dB(A) for conventional railways and 62 dB(A) for high speed ones



#### **INDICATOR LDEN > VL\***

#### **POPULATION PER GRID OF 250 m**

\* The limit values for the Lden indicator are 73 dB(A) for conventional railways and 68 dB(A) for high speed ones



### **INDICATOR LN > VL\***

### **POPULATION PER GRID OF 250 m**

\* The limit values for the Ln indicator are 65 dB(A) for conventional railways and 62 dB(A) for high speed ones



#### **INDICATOR LDEN > VL\***

#### **POPULATION PER COMMUNE LEVEL**

\* The limit values for the Lden indicator are 73 dB(A) for conventional railways and 68 dB(A) for high spe**eq** ones



#### **INDICATOR LN > VL\***

#### **POPULATION PER COMMUNE LEVEL**

\* The limit values for the Ln indicator are 65 dB(A) for conventional railways and 62 dB(A) for high speed agnes



# INDICATOR LDEN > VL\* IN PERCENTAGE PER COMMUNE LEVEL

\* The limit values for the Lden indicator are 73 dB(A) for conventional railways and 68 dB(A) for high speed ones



#### **INDICATOR LN > VL\***

### IN PERCENTAGE PER COMMUNE LEVEL

\* The limit values for the Ln indicator are 65 dB(A) for conventional railways and 62 dB(A) for high speed ones



# **RAIL TRAFFIC NOISE - EXCEEDANCE OF WHO GUIDELINES**

INDICATOR LDEN > 54 dB(A)

**POPULATION PER GRID OF 250 m** 



# **RAIL TRAFFIC NOISE - EXCEEDANCE OF WHO GUIDELINES**

INDICATOR LDEN > 54 dB(A)

**POPULATION PER COMMUNE LEVEL** 



entre 40 et 60 % moins de 40 %



# RAIL TRAFFIC NOISE - STATISTICS COMPARISON WITH THE REFERENCE VALUES INDICATOR LDEN POPULATION

	Nombre d'habitants (à la centaine près)	%
< 54 dB(A) (objectif OMS)	8 511 900	84,2%
54-73 dB(A)	1 541 100	15,3%
> valeurs limite*	51 400	0,5%

\* The limit values for the Lden indicator are 73 dB(A) for conventional railways and 68 dB(A) for high speed ones.



### **INDICATOR LN**

### POPULATION

	Nombre d'habitants (à la centaine près)	%
< 44 dB(A) (objectif OMS)	7 822 500	77,4%
44-65 dB(A)	2 199 100	21,8%
> valeurs limite*	82 600	0,8%

\* The limit values for the Ln indicator are 65 dB(A) for conventional railways and 62 dB(A) for high speed ones.



# RAIL TRAFFIC NOISE - STATISTICS PER AGGLOMERATION COMPARISON WITH THE REFERENCE VALUES

### **INDICATOR LDEN**

#### **POPULATION**

Nombre d'habitants (à la centaine près)	< 54 dB(A) (objectif OMS)	54-73 dB(A)	> valeurs limite*			
Métropole du Grand Paris	5 839 600	904 200	32 200			
T1 - Paris	2 032 000	152 900	4 400			
T2 - Vallee Sud Grand Paris	343 200	37 800	700			
T3 - Grand Paris Seine Ouest	248 400	47 700	1 800			
T4 - Paris Ouest La Defense	476 000	71 200	4 200			
T5 - Boucle Nord de Seine	330 900	80 400	6 200			
T6 - Plaine Commune	330 200	62 300	2 900			
T7 - Paris Terres d'envol	283 600	54 800	1 400			
T8 - Est Ensemble	329 600	58 600	2 100			
T9 - Grand Paris Grand Est	276 900	90 100	2 100			
T10 - Paris Est Marne & Bois	400 400	89 800	2 500			
T11 - Grand Paris Sud Est Avenir	258 200	39 000	600			
T12 - Grand-Orly Seine Bievre	530 200	119 600	3 200			
Cergy Pontoise	175 400	20 300	600			
Coeur d'Essonne Agglomeration	138 100	45 100	1 200			
Communaute Paris-Saclay	241 500	44 000	100			
Grand Paris Seine & Oise	289 300	97 600	4 300			
Grand Paris Sud	256 900	59 <b>700</b>	600			
Paris Vallee de la Marne	168 700	48 300	700			
Plaine Vallee	144 100	28 500	1 900			
Roissy Pays de France	288 400	43 300	500			
Saint Germain Boucles de Seine	267 700	59 100	2 300			
Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines	186 200	36 700	1 000			
Val d'Yerres Val de Seine	127 700	37 700	1 000			
Val Parisis	182 000	64 500	2 300			
Versailles Grand Parc	206 200	51 700	2 900			
* Les valeurs limites pour l'indicator Lden sont de 73 dB(A) pour les voies conventionnelles et de 68 dB(A) pour les LGV						

#### **INDICATOR LN**

#### POPULATION

Nombre d'habitants (à la centaine près)	< 44 dB(A) (objectif OMS)	44-65 dB(A)	> valeurs limite*
Métropole du Grand Paris	5 452 100	1 273 400	50 500
T1 - Paris	1 974 300	208 600	6 400
T2 - Vallee Sud Grand Paris	326 400	54 200	1 100
T3 - Grand Paris Seine Ouest	223 000	72 100	2 800
T4 - Paris Ouest La Defense	444 100	102 100	5 200
T5 - Boucle Nord de Seine	290 700	118 400	8 500
T6 - Plaine Commune	298 200	93 600	3 600
T7 - Paris Terres d'envol	247 500	90 500	1 800
T8 - Est Ensemble	312 400	75 200	2 700
T9 - Grand Paris Grand Est	243 600	120 600	4 900
T10 - Paris Est Marne & Bois	361 300	126 200	5 100
T11 - Grand Paris Sud Est Avenir	240 100	56 100	1 600
T12 - Grand-Orly Seine Bievre	490 400	155 800	6 800
Cergy Pontoise	164 400	31 000	900
Coeur d'Essonne Agglomeration	125 300	57 000	2 100
Communaute Paris-Saclay	217 200	68 100	300
Grand Paris Seine & Oise	242 900	141 100	7 200
Grand Paris Sud	229 100	87 200	1 000
Paris Vallee de la Marne	149 900	65 800	2 000
Plaine Vallee	125 600	46 200	2 700
Roissy Pays de France	261 900	69 200	1 100
Saint Germain Boucles de Seine	245 000	81 100	3 100
Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines	<b>167 600</b>	53 800	2 600
Val d'Yerres Val de Seine	109 300	55 100	2 000
Val Parisis	143 800	101 900	3 100
Versailles Grand Parc	188 300	68 200	4 200

\* The limit values for the Ln indicator are 65 dB(A) for conventionnal railways and 62 dB(A) for high speed ones

# RAIL TRAFFIC NOISE - STATISTICS PER AGGLOMERATION COMPARISON WITH THE REFERENCE VALUES

### **INDICATOR LDEN**

**PERCENTAGE OF POPULATION** 



\* The limit values for the Lden indicator are 73 dB(A) for conventionnal railways and 68 dB(A) for high speed ones INDICATOR LN PERCENTAGE OF POPULATION



\* The limit values for the Ln indicator are 65 dB(A) for conventionnal railways and 62 dB(A) for high speed ones



### **INDICATOR DALY**

NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-YEARS LOST PER YEAR



### **STATISTICS**

#### NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-YEARS LOST PER YEAR

ANNÉES DE VIE EN BONNE SANTÉ PERDUE	Du fait de la gêne	Du fait des	τοται
PAR AN	Du lait de la gelle	troubles du sommeil	TOTAL
Métropole du Grand Paris	4 972	8 837	13 809
T1 - Paris	856	1 402	2 258
T2 - Vallee Sud Grand Paris	213	342	555
T3 - Grand Paris Seine Ouest	278	495	773
T4 - Paris Ouest La Defense	446	759	1 205
T5 - Boucle Nord de Seine	473	862	1 334
T6 - Plaine Commune	361	635	996
T7 - Paris Terres d'envol	292	524	816
T8 - Est Ensemble	293	566	859
T9 - Grand Paris Grand Est	457	869	1 326
T10 - Paris Est Marne & Bois	504	889	1 392
T11 - Grand Paris Sud Est Avenir	206	374	580
T12 - Grand-Orly Seine Bievre	595	1 120	1 715
Cergy Pontoise	116	214	329
Coeur d'Essonne Agglomeration	215	421	636
Communaute Paris-Saclay	225	377	602
Grand Paris Seine & Oise	528	1 014	1 542
Grand Paris Sud	288	532	821
Paris Vallee de la Marne	245	432	676
Plaine Vallee	187	337	524
Roissy Pays de France	249	444	693
Saint Germain Boucles de Seine	308	568	876
Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines	191	371	562
Val d'Yerres Val de Seine	194	367	561
Val Parisis	350	641	991
Versailles Grand Parc	284	534	818
ZONE DENSE DE LA RÉGION ÎLE-DE-FRANCE	8 352	15 088	23 440

PER GRID OF 250 m



### **INDICATOR DALY**

NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-YEARS LOST PER YEAR

PER COMMUNE LEVEL



### **THE 50 COMMUNES WITH THE HIGHEST VALUES** NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-YEARS LOST PER YEAR



# INDIVIDUAL HEALTH RISKS FOR ANNOYANCE PER GRID OF 250 m

NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-MONTHS LOST PER INDIVIDUAL DURING A LIFETIME



INDIVIDUAL HEALTH RISKS FOR SLEEP DISTURBANCE PER GRID OF 250 m NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-MONTHS LOST PER INDIVIDUAL DURING A LIFETIME



### **INDIVIDUAL HEALTH RISKS**

PER GRID OF 250 m

NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-MONTHS LOST PER INDIVIDUAL DURING A LIFETIME



### **STATISTICS**

#### NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-MONTHS LOST PER INDIVIDUAL DURING A LIFETIME

MOIS DE VIE EN BONNE SANTÉ PERDUE PAR INDIVIDU AU COURS D'UNE VIE ENTIÈRE	Du fait de la gêne	Du fait des troubles du sommeil	TOTAL
Métropole du Grand Paris	0,7	1,3	2,0
T1 - Paris	0,4	0,6	1,0
T2 - Vallee Sud Grand Paris	0,6	0,9	1,5
T3 - Grand Paris Seine Ouest	0,9	1,7	2,6
T4 - Paris Ouest La Defense	0,8	1,4	2,2
T5 - Boude Nord de Seine	1,1	2,1	3,2
T6 - Plaine Commune	0,9	1,6	2,5
T7 - Paris Terres d'envol	0,9	1,5	2,4
T8 - Est Ensemble	0,8	1,5	2,2
T9 - Grand Paris Grand Est	1,2	2,4	3,6
T10 - Paris Est Marne & Bois	1,0	1,8	2,8
T11 - Grand Paris Sud Est Avenir	0,7	1,3	1,9
T12 - Grand-Orly Seine Bievre	0,9	1,7	2,6
Cergy Pontoise	0,6	1,1	1,7
Coeur d'Essonne Agglomeration	1,2	2,3	3,4
Communaute Paris-Saclay	0,8	1,3	2,1
Grand Paris Seine & Oise	1,4	2,6	3,9
Grand Paris Sud	0,9	1,7	2,6
Paris Vallee de la Marne	1,1	2,0	3,1
Plaine Vallee	1,1	1,9	3,0
Roissy Pays de France	0,8	1,3	2,1
Saint Germain Boucles de Seine	0,9	1,7	2,7
Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines	0,9	1,7	2,5
Val d'Yerres Val de Seine	1,2	2,2	3,4
Val Parisis	1,4	2,6	4,0
Versailles Grand Parc	1,1	2,1	3,1
ZONE DENSE DE LA RÉGION ÎLE-DE-FRANCE	0,8	1,5	2,3

# INDIVIDUAL HEALTH RISKS FOR ANNOYANCE PER COMMUNE LEVEL

NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-MONTHS LOST PER INDIVIDUAL DURING A LIFETIME



INDIVIDUAL HEALTH RISKS FOR SLEEP DISTURBANCE PER COMMUNE LEVEL NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-MONTHS LOST PER INDIVIDUAL DURING A LIFETIME



### **INDIVIDUAL HEALTH RISKS**

### PER COMMUNE LEVEL

NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-MONTHS LOST PER INDIVIDUAL DURING A LIFETIME



### THE 50 COMMUNES WITH THE HIGHEST VALUES

NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-MONTHS LOST PER INDIVIDUAL DURING A LIFETIME



# **RAIL TRAFFIC NOISE – THE 500 PRIORITY GRIDS**

HIGHEST VALUES FOR COMBINED RISKS (COLLECTIVE AND INDIVIDUAL)


### **RAIL TRAFFIC NOISE – IN BRIEF**

Rail noise affects a non-negligible proportion of inhabitants within the densely populated zone of Ilede-France. However, far fewer inhabitants are exposed to this source than to road noise. 16% of inhabitants (1.6 million people) are exposed to levels that exceed 54 dB(A) using the Lden indicator, which is the World Health Organisation's threshold value to avoid consequences on health due to rail noise.

Approximately 51,000 people (0.5% of the population) are exposed to noise levels that exceed one of the regulatory limit values using the Lden indicator (73 dB(A) for conventional lines and 68 dB(A) for high-speed rail lines).

Rail noise falls at night along passenger transport lines but can remain a high along certain freight lines. Approximately 82,600 people (2.8% of the population) are exposed to noise levels that exceed one of the regulatory limit values using the Ln indicator (65 dB(A) for conventional lines and 62 dB(A) for high-speed rail lines), which is more than for the Lden indicator.

The majority of people exposed to rail noise live in the Grand Paris Métropole, with around 62% of people exposed to noise levels that exceed regulatory limit values. The territories most affected by noise pollution from railways are (in descending order): T5 (Boucle Nord de Seine), T9 (Grand Paris Grand Est), T10 (Paris Est Marne et Bois), T12 (Grand Orly Seine Bièvre), T4 (Paris Ouest La Défense), T6 (Plaine Commune), and T3 (Grand Paris Seine Ouest). It is worth noting that more people are affected by noise levels exceeding limit values at night.

Outside the Grand Paris Métropole, it is the Grand Paris Seine et Oise urban community that has the highest proportions of people exposed to noise levels exceeding limit values using Lden (1.1%) and Ln (1.8%), followed by the agglomeration communities of Versailles Grand Parc (1.1% and 1.6% respectively) and Plaine Vallée (1.1% and 1.5%).

In terms of the health impact, rail noise is responsible for 23,440 disability-adjusted life-years lost every year within the densely populated zone of lle-de-France, distributed between the DALYs lost due to annoyance (8,352 DALYs or 36% of the total) and the DALYs lost due to sleep disturbance (15,088 DALYs, or 64% of the total).

63% of these health impacts come from the Grand Paris Métropole, with 13,809 DALY.

The communes that have the highest level of disability-adjusted life-years lost due to rail noise are the 18<sup>th</sup> district of Paris, Saint-Denis, and Versailles.

At an individual level, the evaluations show an average statistical value of 2.3 healthy life-months lost by individual due to rail noise during a lifetime, within the densely populated zone of Ile-de-France. This value can reach as high as 12 months in communes where the average individual risk is highest (Marolles-en-Hurepoix, La Verrière) and up to 24 months within the grids most exposed to noise pollution from rail infrastructure (cf. maps of individual health risks, next page).

Regarding rail noise, the priority grids highlight high levels of noise pollution generated by the dense rail network (in particular the Transilien network, and RER lines C, D, and E) over the whole region, as well as within the Paris city limits, up to the main stations. The priority grids are distributed fairly evenly along all overland rail lines when they cross highly urbanised areas.





### **AIR TRAFFIC NOISE - NOISE LEVELS**

### **INDICATOR LDEN**



Additional data produced by Bruitparif.

### **INDICATOR LN**



Additional data produced by Bruitparif.

## AIR TRAFFIC NOISE - STATISTICS BY RANGE OF NOISE LEVELS INDICATOR LDEN

#### **POPULATION**

	Nombre d'habitants (à la centaine près)	%
> 75	-	0,0%
70-75	200	0,0%
65-70	8 100	0,1%
60-65	71 200	0,7%
55-60	295 800	2,9%
50-55	550 400	5,4%
45-50	628 900	6,2%
< 45	8 549 600	84,6%



### **INDICATOR LN**

### POPULATION

	Nombre d'habitants (à la centaine près)	%
> 70	-	0,0%
65-70	-	0,0%
60-65	100	0,0%
55-60	6 100	0,1%
50-55	96 200	1,0%
45-50	466 000	4,6%
40-45	559 200	5,5%
< 40	8 976 700	88,8%



### AIR TRAFFIC NOISE - STATISTICS PER AGGLOMERATION BY RANGE OF NOISE LEVELS

### **INDICATOR LDEN**

#### **POPULATION**

Nombre d'habitants (à la centaine près)	< 45	45-50	50-55	55-60	60-65	65-70	70-75	> 75
Métropole du Grand Paris	6 144 800	313 100	217 100	63 800	29 800	7 300	200	-
T1 - Paris	2 186 300	2 000	1 000	-	-	-	-	-
T2 - Vallee Sud Grand Paris	360 700	17 600	3 200	200	-	-	-	-
T3 - Grand Paris Seine Ouest	288 400	7 000	2 400	100	-	-	-	-
T4 - Paris Ouest La Defense	551 400	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T5 - Boucle Nord de Seine	248 700	134 300	34 500	-	-	-	-	-
T6 - Plaine Commune	221 700	46 900	109 700	16 700	300	-	-	-
T7 - Paris Terres d'envol	297 100	27 400	10 300	4 800	300	-	-	-
T8 - Est Ensemble	390 300	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T9 - Grand Paris Grand Est	367 300	1 800	-	-	-	-	-	-
T10 - Paris Est Marne & Bois	492 600	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T11 - Grand Paris Sud Est Avenir	234 100	17 300	23 500	16 600	6 300	-	-	-
T12 - Grand-Orly Seine Bievre	506 100	58 800	32 500	25 300	22 900	7 300	200	-
Cergy Pontoise	162 500	33 800	-	-	-	-	-	-
Coeur d'Essonne Agglomeration	171 700	12 800	-	-	-	-	-	-
Communaute Paris-Saclay	126 200	85 000	48 500	21 700	3 600	600	-	-
Grand Paris Seine & Oise	391 100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grand Paris Sud	317 000	300	-	-	-	-	-	-
Paris Vallee de la Marne	206 800	9 100	1 800	-	-	-	-	-
Plaine Vallee	2 300	15 900	94 600	61 700	-	-	-	-
Roissy Pays de France	79 600	24 000	42 000	148 600	37 700	300	-	-
Saint Germain Boucles de Seine	302 900	26 300	-	-	-	-	-	-
Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines	223 900	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Val d'Yerres Val de Seine	142 500	20 600	3 300	-	-	-	-	-
Val Parisis	19 000	86 600	143 100	-	-	-	-	-
Versailles Grand Parc	259 400	1 400	-	-	-	-	-	-

#### **INDICATOR LN**

### POPULATION

Nombre d'habitants (à la centaine près)	< 40	40-45	45-50	50-55	55-60	60-65	65-70	> 70
Métropole du Grand Paris	6 364 200	254 700	127 900	25 500	3 600	100	-	-
T1 - Paris	2 189 300	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T2 - Vallee Sud Grand Paris	381 800	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T3 - Grand Paris Seine Ouest	297 900	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T4 - Paris Ouest La Defense	551 400	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T5 - Boucle Nord de Seine	300 700	110 500	6 200	-	-	-	-	-
T6 - Plaine Commune	240 600	73 800	81 100	-	-	-	-	-
T7 - Paris Terres d'envol	305 000	34 000	400	400	-	-	-	-
T8 - Est Ensemble	390 300	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T9 - Grand Paris Grand Est	369 100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T10 - Paris Est Marne & Bois	492 600	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
T11 - Grand Paris Sud Est Avenir	256 600	17 800	18 900	4 400	-	-	-	-
T12 - Grand-Orly Seine Bievre	588 800	18 600	21 200	20 700	3 600	100	-	-
Cergy Pontoise	196 300	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Coeur d'Essonne Agglomeration	184 500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Communaute Paris-Saclay	223 500	52 500	7 200	2 300	100	-	-	-
Grand Paris Seine & Oise	391 100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grand Paris Sud	317 300	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Paris Vallee de la Marne	217 800	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Plaine Vallee	7 600	20 600	145 700	700	-	-	-	-
Roissy Pays de France	91 400	39 800	130 900	67 700	2 400	-	-	-
Saint Germain Boucles de Seine	284 400	44 800	-	-	-	-	-	-
Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines	223 900	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Val d'Yerres Val de Seine	164 100	2 300	-	-	-	-	-	-
Val Parisis	50 000	144 500	54 300	-	-	-	-	-
Versailles Grand Parc	260 700	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

### AIR TRAFFIC NOISE - STATISTICS PER AGGLOMERATION BY RANGE OF NOISE LEVELS



#### PERCENTAGE OF POPULATION



#### **INDICATOR LN**

#### PERCENTAGE OF POPULATION



### **AIR TRAFFIC NOISE - EXCEEDANCE OF LIMIT VALUES**

### **INDICATOR LDEN > 68 dB(A)**

#### **AREAS OF EXCEEDANCE**



Additional data produced by Bruitparif.

**INDICATOR LN\* > 50 dB(A) AREAS OF EXCEEDANCE** \* In the absence of a limit value for air traffic noise during the night period, we present the results for an exceedance of the value of 50 dB(A) which can be considered as critical level for health.



Map produced by Bruitparif

### **AIR TRAFFIC NOISE - EXCEEDANCE OF LIMIT VALUES**

### INDICATOR LDEN > 55 dB(A)





### INDICATOR LN\* > 50 dB(A)

**POPULATION PER GRID OF 250 m** 

\* In the absence of a limit value for air traffic noise during the night period, we present the results for an exceedance of the value of 50 dB(A) which can be considered as critical level for health.



### **AIR TRAFFIC NOISE - EXCEEDANCE OF LIMIT VALUES**

**INDICATOR LDEN > 55 dB(A)** 

#### **POPULATION PER COMMUNE LEVEL**



POPULATION PER COMMUNE LEVEL

**INDICATOR LN\* > 50 dB(A)** \* In the absence of a limit value for air traffic noise during the night period, we present the results for an exceedance of the value of 50 dB(A) which can be considered as critical level for health.



Health impact of transport noise in the densely populated zone of Ile-de-France region February 2019





### **AIR TRAFFIC NOISE - EXCEEDANCE OF WHO GUIDELINES**

### INDICATOR LDEN > 45 dB(A)

**POPULATION PER GRID OF 250 m** 



### **AIR TRAFFIC NOISE - EXCEEDANCE OF WHO GUIDELINES**

### INDICATOR LDEN > 45 dB(A)

**POPULATION PER COMMUNE LEVEL** 







# AIR TRAFFIC NOISE - STATISTICS COMPARISON WITH THE REFERENCE VALUES INDICATOR LDEN POPULATION

	Nombre d'habitants (à la centaine près)	%
< 45 dB(A) (objectif OMS)	8 549 600	84,6%
45-55 dB(A)	1 179 300	11,7%
> 55 dB(A) (valeur limite)	375 300	3,7%

# **3**,7% **11,7% 4**5 dB(A) (objectif OMS). **4**5-55 dB(A) **4**5-55 dB(A) (valeur limite) **84,6%**

### **INDICATOR LN\***

### POPULATION

\* In the absence of a limit value for air traffic noise during the night period, we present the results for an exceedance of the value of 50 dB(A) which can be considered as critical level for health.

	Nombre d'habitants (à la centaine près)	%
< 40 dB(A) (objectif OMS)	8 976 700	88,8%
40-50 dB(A)	1 025 200	10,1%
> 50 dB(A)	102 300	1,0%



### AIR TRAFFIC NOISE - STATISTICS PER AGGLOMERATION COMPARISON WITH THE REFERENCE VALUES

### **INDICATOR LDEN**

### **POPULATION**

Nombre d'habitants (à la centaine près)	< 45 dB(A) (objectif OMS)	45-55 dB(A)	> 55 dB(A) (valeur limite)
Métropole du Grand Paris	<mark>6 144 800</mark>	530 200	101 100
T1 - Paris	2 186 300	3 000	-
T2 - Vallee Sud Grand Paris	360 700	20 800	300
T3 - Grand Paris Seine Ouest	288 400	9 300	100
T4 - Paris Ouest La Defense	551 400	-	-
T5 - Boucle Nord de Seine	248 700	168 800	-
T6 - Plaine Commune	221 700	156 600	17 100
T7 - Paris Terres d'envol	297 100	37 700	5 100
T8 - Est Ensemble	390 300	-	-
T9 - Grand Paris Grand Est	367 300	1 800	-
T10 - Paris Est Marne & Bois	492 <del>6</del> 00	-	-
T11 - Grand Paris Sud Est Avenir	234 100	40 800	22 900
T12 - Grand-Orly Seine Bievre	506 100	91 300	55 600
Cergy Pontoise	162 500	33 800	-
Coeur d'Essonne Agglomeration	171 700	12 800	-
Communaute Paris-Saclay	126 200	133 500	25 900
Grand Paris Seine & Oise	391 100	-	-
Grand Paris Sud	317 000	300	-
Paris Vallee de la Marne	206 800	11 000	-
Plaine Vallee	2 300	110 500	61 700
Roissy Pays de France	79 600	66 000	186 700
Saint Germain Boucles de Seine	302 900	26 300	-
Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines	223 900	-	-
Val d'Yerres Val de Seine	142 500	23 900	-
Val Parisis	19 000	229 800	-
Versailles Grand Parc	259 400	1 400	-

### **INDICATOR LN**

### POPULATION

Nombre d'habitants (à la centaine près)	< 40 dB(A) (objectif OMS)	40-50 dB(A)	>50 dB(A)
Métropole du Grand Paris	6 364 200	382 600	29 200
T1 - Paris	2 189 300	-	-
T2 - Vallee Sud Grand Paris	381 800	-	-
T3 - Grand Paris Seine Ouest	297 900	-	-
T4 - Paris Ouest La Defense	551 400	-	-
T5 - Boucle Nord de Seine	300 700	116 800	-
T6 - Plaine Commune	240 600	154 900	-
T7 - Paris Terres d'envol	305 000	34 400	400
T8 - Est Ensemble	390 300	-	-
T9 - Grand Paris Grand Est	369 100	-	-
T10 - Paris Est Marne & Bois	492 600	-	-
T11 - Grand Paris Sud Est Avenir	256 600	36 800	4 400
T12 - Grand-Orly Seine Bievre	588 800	39 800	24 400
Cergy Pontoise	196 300	-	-
Coeur d'Essonne Agglomeration	184 500	-	-
Communaute Paris-Saclay	223 500	59 700	2 400
Grand Paris Seine & Oise	391 100	-	-
Grand Paris Sud	317 300	-	-
Paris Vallee de la Marne	217 800	-	-
Plaine Vallee	7 600	166 300	700
Roissy Pays de France	91 400	170 800	70 100
Saint Germain Boucles de Seine	284 400	44 800	-
Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines	223 900	-	-
Val d'Yerres Val de Seine	164 100	2 300	-
Val Parisis	50 000	198 800	-
Versailles Grand Parc	260 700	-	-

## AIR TRAFFIC NOISE - STATISTICS PER AGGLOMERATION COMPARISON WITH THE REFERENCE VALUES

### **INDICATOR LDEN**

### **PERCENTAGE OF POPULATION**



#### **INDICATOR LN**

### PERCENTAGE OF POPULATION





### **INDICATOR DALY**

NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-YEARS LOST PER YEAR

PER GRID OF 250 m



### **STATISTICS**

#### NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-YEARS LOST PER YEAR

ANNÉES DE VIE EN BONNE SANTÉ PERDUE PAR AN	Du fait de la gêne	Du fait des troubles du sommeil	TOTAL
Métropole du Grand Paris	2 453	4 215	6 669
T1 - Paris	10	-	10
T2 - Vallee Sud Grand Paris	57	_	57
T3 - Grand Paris Seine Ouest	26	-	26
T4 - Paris Ouest La Defense	-	-	
T5 - Boucle Nord de Seine	490	1 073	1 563
T6 - Plaine Commune	700	1 583	2 283
T7 - Paris Terres d'envol	140	307	447
T8 - Est Ensemble	-	-	
T9 - Grand Paris Grand Est	4	-	4
T10 - Paris Est Marne & Bois	-	-	
T11 - Grand Paris Sud Est Avenir	304	447	751
T12 - Grand-Orly Seine Bievre	721	805	1 526
Cergy Pontoise	72	-	72
Coeur d'Essonne Agglomeration	27	-	27
Communaute Paris-Saclay	610	584	1 194
Grand Paris Seine & Oise	-	0	0
Grand Paris Sud	1	-	1
Paris Vallee de la Marne	28	0	28
Plaine Vallee	836	1 978	2 814
Roissy Pays de France	1 478	3 134	4 612
Saint Germain Boucles de Seine	59	364	424
Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines	-	-	
Val d'Yerres Val de Seine	64	19	83
Val Parisis	860	1 933	2 793
Versailles Grand Parc	3	-	3
ZONE DENSE DE LA RÉGION ÎLE-DE-FRANCE	6 491	12 227	18 718



### **INDICATOR DALY PER COMMUNE LEVEL** NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-YEARS LOST PER YEAR Roissy Pays de Franc ergy Pontois Val P Grand Paris Seine et Oise Boucles de Seine TI T10 Valle de la M > 1500 années Val d'Yerres Val de Seine entre 1000 et 1500 années entre 750 et 1000 années entre 500 et 750 années entre 400 et 500 années noise annoyance plans for Grand Pa entre 250 et 400 années major airports entre 100 et 250 années entre 50 et 100 années < 50 années

### THE 50 COMMUNES WITH THE HIGHEST VALUES NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-YEARS LOST PER YEAR



## INDIVIDUAL HEALTH RISKS FOR ANNOYANCE PER GRID OF 250 m

NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-MONTHS LOST PER INDIVIDUAL DURING A LIFETIME



INDIVIDUAL HEALTH RISKS FOR SLEEP DISTURBANCE PER GRID OF 250 m NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-MONTHS LOST PER INDIVIDUAL DURING A LIFETIME



### **INDIVIDUAL HEALTH RISKS**

PER GRID OF 250 m

NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-MONTHS LOST PER INDIVIDUAL DURING A LIFETIME



### **STATISTICS**

#### NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-MONTHS LOST PER INDIVIDUAL DURING A LIFETIME

MOIS DE VIE EN BONNE SANTÉ PERDUE PAR INDIVIDU AU COURS D'UNE VIE ENTIÈRE	Du fait de la gêne	Du fait des troubles du sommeil	TOTAL
Métropole du Grand Paris	0,4	0,6	1,0
T1 - Paris	0,0	-	0,0
T2 - Vallee Sud Grand Paris	0,1	-	0,1
T3 - Grand Paris Seine Ouest	0,1	-	0,1
T4 - Paris Ouest La Defense	-	-	-
T5 - Boucle Nord de Seine	1,2	2,6	3,7
T6 - Plaine Commune	1,8	4,0	5,8
T7 - Paris Terres d'envol	0,4	0,9	1,3
T8 - Est Ensemble	-	-	-
T9 - Grand Paris Grand Est	0,0	-	0,0
T10 - Paris Est Marne & Bois	-	-	-
T11 - Grand Paris Sud Est Avenir	1,0	1,5	2,5
T12 - Grand-Orly Seine Bievre	1,1	1,2	2,3
Cergy Pontoise	0,4	-	0,4
Coeur d'Essonne Agglomeration	0,1	-	0,1
Communaute Paris-Saclay	2,1	2,0	4,2
Grand Paris Seine & Oise	-	0,0	0,0
Grand Paris Sud	0,0	-	0,0
Paris Vallee de la Marne	0,1	0,0	0,1
Plaine Vallee	4,8	11,3	16,1
Roissy Pays de France	4,5	9,4	13,9
Saint Germain Boucles de Seine	0,2	1,1	1,3
Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines	-	-	-
Val d'Yerres Val de Seine	0,4	0,1	0,5
Val Parisis	3,5	7,8	11,2
Versailles Grand Parc	0,0	-	0,0
ZONE DENSE DE LA RÉGION ÎLE-DE-FRANCE	0.6	1.2	1.9

# INDIVIDUAL HEALTH RISKS FOR ANNOYANCE PER COMMUNE LEVEL

NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-MONTHS LOST PER INDIVIDUAL DURING A LIFETIME



INDIVIDUAL HEALTH RISKS FOR SLEEP DISTURBANCE PER COMMUNE LEVEL NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-MONTHS LOST PER INDIVIDUAL DURING A LIFETIME



### INDIVIDUAL HEALTH RISKS

PER COMMUNE LEVEL

NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-MONTHS LOST PER INDIVIDUAL DURING A LIFETIME



### THE 50 COMMUNES WITH THE HIGHEST VALUES

NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-MONTHS LOST PER INDIVIDUAL DURING A LIFETIME



### **AIR TRAFFIC NOISE – THE 500 PRIORITY GRIDS**

### HIGHEST VALUES FOR COMBINED RISKS (COLLECTIVE AND INDIVIDUAL)



### **AIR TRAFFIC NOISE – IN BRIEF**

Aircraft noise affects a non-negligible proportion of inhabitants within the densely populated zone of Ilede-France. A similar proportion of the population is exposed to aircraft noise as to rail noise. Just over 15% of inhabitants (nearly 1.6 million people) are exposed to levels that exceed 45 dB(A) using the Lden indicator, which is the World Health Organisation's threshold value to avoid consequences on health due to aircraft noise.

375,000 people (3.7% of the population) in the densely populated zone of Ile-de-France are exposed to noise levels that exceed the regulatory limit value of 55 dB(A) using the Lden indicator. This is around seven times higher than the number of people affected by rail noise using the same indicator. However, it is also around one third of the number of people exposed to road noise levels exceeding the limit value.

At night-time, exposure to aircraft noise decreases, especially around Paris-Orly airport, which has a curfew between 11:30 PM and 6 AM, and around airfields (no night-time flights, except in exceptional cases). However, 11% of the population still lives in housing exposed to aircraft noise levels that exceed 40 dB(A), which is the WHO's night-time noise threshold value for aircraft noise. Although France does not have any regulations on limit values for night-time aircraft noise, more than 102,000 inhabitants (1% of the population) are concerned by night-time levels that exceed 50 dB(A) using the Ln indicator, a value that is considered critical for quality of sleep according to the exposure-response curves recently published by the WHO. Even around Paris-Orly airport, nearly 31,000 people are subjected to noise levels that exceed 50 dB(A) on average between 10 PM and 6 AM, due to noise pollution generated by overflights that occur between 10 PM and the start of the curfew at 11:30 PM.

In terms of the health impact, aircraft noise is responsible for 18,718 disability-adjusted life-years lost every year within the densely populated zone of lle-de-France, distributed between the DALYs lost due to annoyance (6,491 DALYs, or 35% of the total) and the DALYs lost due to sleep disturbance (12,227 DALYs, or 65% of the total).

Contrary to road and rail noise, which are relatively evenly distributed around the territory, air traffic noise is very unevenly distributed. It is concentrated in certain sectors: This is the case in the agglomeration communities of Roissy Pays de France (4,612 DALYs, 25% of the total), Plaine Vallée (2,814 DALYs, 15% of the total), Val Parisis (2,793 DALYs, 15%), Paris-Saclay (1,194 DALYs, 6%), and the territories of T6 - Plaine Commune (2,283 DALYs, 12%), T5 - Boucle Nord de Seine (1,563 DALYs, 8%), T12 - Grand Orly Seine Bièvre (1,526 DALYs, 8%), T11 - Grand Paris Sud Est Avenir (751 DALYs, 4%), and T7 - Paris Terres d'Envol (447 DALYs, 2%).

The cumulated noise pollution from Paris-CDG and Paris-Le Bourget is responsible for 15,008 DALYs lost (80% of the total DALYs), compared with 3,581 DALYs (19%) for Paris-Orly airport and 1% for the other airfields (Paris-Issy-les Moulineaux heliport, Toussusle-Noble airfield, etc.). Disturbances caused by Paris-CDG represent 85% of the DALYs lost from sleep disturbance (compared with 15% for Paris-Orly) due to night-time flights. 71% of DALYs lost due to annoyance come from the northern zone (Paris-CDG and Paris-Le Bourget), with 27% from the southern zone (Paris-Orly), and 2% for the other airfields.

Due to their high population density, it is the communes of Argenteuil, Sarcelles, Epinay-Sur-Seine, and Garges-lès-Gonesse that have the highest levels of healthy life-years lost due to traffic noise per year.

At individual level, the evaluations show an average statistical value of 1.9 healthy life-months lost due to air traffic noise per individual during a lifetime, within the densely populated zone of Ile-de-France. But disparities are very high; this value can reach as much as two years for communes where the average individual risk is the highest (Le Mesnil Amelot, Thieux, Bouqueval) and more than three years within the grids of the territory that have the highest exposure to aircraft noise.

And finally, the health impact of air traffic has a strong impact on the communes of Val d'Oise located on the flight path of Paris-CDG airport, but is also high in the Seine-Saint-Denis department, in the northern sector of T6 (Plaine Commune), in Epinay-sur-Seine, Villetaneuse, Pierrefitte-sur-Seine, and Stains, due to the noise pollution generated by aircraft overflight to and from Paris-Le-Bourget and Paris-CDG. It is worth noting that some of these zones are located outside of the airports' noise annoyance plans. The southern part of Val-de-Marne (Ablon-sur-Seine, Villeneuve-le-Roi, Villeneuve-Saint-Georges, Valenton, Limeil-Brévannes, Boissy-Saint-Léger) also contains a high number of priority grids. And finally, there are some more dispersed zones located in Essonne (Paray Vieille Poste, Wissous, Chilly-Mazarin, Champlan, Longjumeau, Les Ulis) due to the activity of Paris-Orly airport, and in Seine-et-Marne due to overflights to and from Paris-CDG.





### **CUMULATED TRANSPORT NOISE - NOISE LEVELS**

### **INDICATOR LDEN**



Sources : IAU-IdF, ©IGN-2014

### **INDICATOR LN**



Sources : IAU-IdF, ©IGN-2014

/ 98

# CUMULATED TRANSPORT NOISE - STATISTICS BY RANGE OF NOISE LEVELS

### **INDICATOR LDEN**

### POPULATION

	Nombre d'habitants (à la centaine près)	%
> 75	63 900	0,6%
70-75	605 400	6,0%
65-70	1 978 500	19,6%
60-65	2 678 300	26,5%
55-60	3 160 300	31,3%
50-55	1 079 800	10,7%
45-50	324 500	3,2%
< 45	213 700	2,1%



### **INDICATOR LN**

### POPULATION

	Nombre d'habitants (à la centaine près)	%
> 70	23 000	0,2%
65-70	136 400	1,4%
60-65	939 500	9,3%
55-60	2 190 900	21,7%
50-55	2 758 100	27,3%
45-50	2 764 700	27,4%
40-45	847 400	8,4%
< 40	444 200	4,4%



## CUMULATED TRANSPORT NOISE - PER AGGLOMERATION BY RANGE OF NOISE LEVELS

### **INDICATOR LDEN**

#### POPULATION

Nombre d'habitants (à la centaine près)	< 45	45-50	50-55	55-60	60-65	65-70	70-75	> 75
Métropole du Grand Paris	177 100	186 500	553 900	1 838 000	1 857 600	1 614 700	499 500	48 600
T1 - Paris	146 700	72 700	80 000	486 500	626 200	647 700	123 300	6 000
T2 - Vallee Sud Grand Paris	1 600	9 800	37 300	125 500	96 600	79 200	<u>30 900</u>	900
T3 - Grand Paris Seine Ouest	3 500	8 400	24 200	78 500	52 500	92 300	36 300	2 200
T4 - Paris Ouest La Defense	5 400	18 200	48 900	121 800	163 800	146 400	<u>39 600</u>	7 400
T5 - Boucle Nord de Seine	1 200	7 500	33 100	118 700	114 000	95 100	40 600	7 300
T6 - Plaine Commune	3 200	7 300	52 400	128 400	86 900	64 400	47 900	5 000
T7 - Paris Terres d'envol	1 300	9 400	42 100	160 700	83 100	29 700	12 800	800
T8 - Est Ensemble	5 300	11 000	46 800	129 200	104 000	63 000	29 400	1 700
T9 - Grand Paris Grand Est	3 600	13 600	42 700	146 900	81 300	53 600	26 100	1 200
T10 - Paris Est Mame & Bois	3 300	9 700	44 600	106 300	149 200	126 600	44 600	8 300
T11 - Grand Paris Sud Est Avenir	1 200	9 100	38 700	81 000	93 800	62 900	10 500	400
T12 - Grand-Orly Seine Bievre	800	9 800	63 100	154 500	206 200	153 900	57 300	7 400
Cergy Pontoise	3 900	15 000	43 000	80 100	37 100	14 000	2 500	700
Coeur d'Essonne Agglomeration	800	8 600	41 000	73 000	39 200	16 500	4 700	800
Communaute Paris-Saclay	1 200	8 100	45 900	130 800	69 900	23 500	5 700	600
Grand Paris Seine & Oise	5 300	19 100	62 900	143 900	91 400	46 800	18 300	3 300
Grand Paris Sud	5 100	15 500	51 200	130 600	86 800	23 500	4 100	400
Paris Vallee de la Marne	3 600	9 100	37 000	101 200	46 700	15 500	4 400	200
Plaine Vallee	-	400	11 400	85 900	46 300	23 000	6 500	900
Roissy Pays de France	1 500	7 600	23 400	122 100	135 000	35 000	7 000	600
Saint Germain Boucles de Seine	6 300	25 500	70 500	112 600	57 500	41 400	13 500	1 800
Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines	2 000	8 900	45 300	89 100	47 800	25 000	5 200	600
Val d'Yerres Val de Seine	1 400	7 400	35 200	69 200	29 100	17 700	6 000	500
Val Parisis	400	2 500	22 200	103 200	76 400	34 600	8 300	1 200
Versailles Grand Parc	5 000	10 200	36 800	80 700	57 600	47 300	19 600	3 500

### **INDICATOR LN**

### POPULATION

Nombre d'habitants (à la centaine près)	< 40	40-45	45-50	50-55	55-60	60-65	65-70	> 70
Métropole du Grand Paris	305 300	452 100	1 635 100	1 756 700	1 731 700	776 100	102 800	16 200
T1 - Paris	195 400	79 900	419 700	457 200	707 400	298 900	28 300	2 500
T2 - Vallee Sud Grand Paris	8 200	30 400	117 000	104 300	83 200	36 700	1 600	300
T3 - Grand Paris Seine Ouest	9 600	16 800	71 700	57 400	89 700	46 600	5 900	200
T4 - Paris Ouest La Defense	18 300	43 000	110 000	168 200	148 800	52 500	8 900	1 700
T5 - Boucle Nord de Seine	4 800	20 600	102 200	127 300	99 700	50 900	8 400	3 700
T6 - Plaine Commune	8 000	33 600	118 900	102 100	66 100	59 000	6 800	1 000
T7 - Paris Terres d'envol	7 300	33 000	141 200	102 200	38 200	15 800	1 700	500
T8 - Est Ensemble	13 600	38 100	117 400	107 500	71 200	38 000	3 500	1 000
T9 - Grand Paris Grand Est	12 600	35 100	126 700	94 700	61 000	33 000	5 400	600
T10 - Paris Est Marne & Bois	9 900	33 500	94 400	145 800	133 500	57 600	15 500	2 400
T11 - Grand Paris Sud Est Avenir	9 800	37 500	75 700	88 500	69 400	14 400	2 100	300
T12 - Grand-Orly Seine Bievre	7 800	50 600	140 200	201 500	163 400	72 700	14 700	2 100
Cergy Pontoise	17 300	36 300	77 100	42 200	16 500	5 700	900	400
Coeur d'Essonne Agglomeration	6 200	32 000	66 700	43 600	24 300	9 200	2 100	400
Communaute Paris-Saclay	8 600	38 900	122 000	76 700	27 400	10 500	1 300	100
Grand Paris Seine & Oise	19 000	46 800	130 100	108 700	55 500	22 800	6 600	1 700
Grand Paris Sud	13 700	34 300	106 600	95 200	52 100	13 300	1 800	200
Paris Vallee de la Marne	10 300	26 100	84 000	67 700	19 400	8 300	1 900	200
Plaine Vallee	300	2 100	52 100	80 500	26 400	10 200	2 700	200
Roissy Pays de France	6 800	18 600	74 800	165 400	53 400	11 200	1 900	100
Saint Germain Boucles de Seine	26 200	55 900	114 700	66 400	44 700	17 500	2 700	1 000
Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines	9 400	36 000	89 100	57 500	21 800	6 600	3 300	100
Val d'Yerres Val de Seine	6 700	26 300	66 600	31 100	22 400	11 000	1 900	300
Val Parisis	2 100	11 800	70 700	104 200	41 800	14 700	3 000	500
Versailles Grand Parc	12 100	30 300	75 200	62 100	53 600	22 400	3 300	1 700

### CUMULATED TRANSPORT NOISE - PER AGGLOMERATION BY RANGE OF NOISE LEVELS



#### **INDICATOR LN**

#### **PERCENTAGE OF POPULATION**



# CUMULATED TRANSPORT NOISE - EXCEEDANCE OF LIMIT VALUESINDICATOR LDEN > LV ROAD, RAIL OR AIRAREAS OF EXCEEDANCE



Sources : IAU-IdF, ©IGN-2014

#### INDICATOR LN > LV ROAD, RAIL OU AIR\*

#### **AREAS OF EXCEEDANCE**

\* In the absence of a limit value for air traffic noise during the night period, we present the results for an exceedance of the value of 50 dB(A) which can be considered as critical level for health.



Sources : IAU-IdF, ©IGN-2014

#### **CUMULATED TRANSPORT NOISE - EXCEEDANCE OF LIMIT VALUES INDICATOR LDEN > LV ROAD, RAIL OR AIR** PER GRID OF 250 m



**INDICATOR LN > LV ROAD, RAIL OR AIR\* PER GRID OF 250 m** \* In the absence of a limit value for air traffic noise during the night period, we present the results for an exceedance of the value of 50 dB(A) which can be considered as critical level for health.



### **CUMULATED TRANSPORT NOISE - EXCEEDANCE OF LIMIT VALUES**

### **INDICATOR LDEN > LV ROAD, RAIL OR AIR POPULATION PER COMMUNE LEVEL**



### **POPULATION PER COMMUNE LEVEL**

**INDICATOR LN > LV ROAD, RAIL OR AIR \* POPULATION PER COMMUNE LEVE** \* In the absence of a limit value for air traffic noise during the night period, we present the results for an exceedance of the value of 50 dB(A) which can be considered as critical level for health.


# CUMULATED TRANSPORT NOISE - EXCEEDANCE OF LIMIT VALUES

**INDICATOR LDEN > VL** 

IN PERCENTAGE PER COMMUNE LEVEL



#### **INDICATOR LN > VL\***

#### IN PERCENTAGE PER COMMUNE LEVEL

\* In the absence of a limit value for air traffic noise during the night period, we present the results for an exceedance of the value of 50 dB(A) which can be considered as critical level for health.







< 1 000 habitants

# **CUMULATED TRANSPORT NOISE - EXCEEDANCE OF WHO GUIDELINES INDICATOR LDEN > GUIDELINES POPULATION PER COMMUNE LEVEL** Roissy Pays de Franc Val Parisi Grand Paris Seine et Oise St Germain Boucles de Seine T10 Paris - Vall ersailles de la Marn Val d'Yerres Val de Seine > 100 000 habitants entre 80 000 et 100 000 habitants entre 60 000 et 80 000 habitants Grand Paris Sud entre 40 000 et 60 000 habitants entre 20 000 et 40 000 habitants entre 1 000 et 20 000 habitants < 1 000 habitants **INDICATOR LN > GUIDELINES POPULATION PER COMMUNE LEVEL** oissy Pays de Fran R Val P T12 Val d'Yern > 100 000 habitants entre 80 000 et 100 000 habitants entre 60 000 et 80 000 habitants entre 40 000 et 60 000 habitants entre 20 000 et 40 000 habitants entre 1 000 et 20 000 habitants

Health impact of transport noise in the densely populated zone of Ile-de-France region February 2019



# CUMULATED TRANSPORT NOISE - STATISTICS COMPARISON WITH THE REFERENCE VALUES INDICATOR LDEN POPULATION

	Nombre d'habitants (à la centaine près)	%
< objectifs OMS	1 056 000	10,5%
entre objectifs OMS et VL	7 557 500	74,8%
> valeur(s) limite(s)	1 490 800	14,8%



### **INDICATOR LN**

### POPULATION

	Nombre d'habitants (à la centaine près)	%
< objectifs OMS	1 338 800	13,2%
entre objectifs OMS et VL	8 254 600	81,7%
> valeur(s) limite(s)	510 900	5,1%

\* In the absence of a limit value for air traffic noise during the night period, we present the results for an exceedance of the value of 50 dB(A) which can be considered as critical level for health.



# CUMULATED TRANSPORT NOISE - PER AGGLOMERATION COMPARISON WITH THE REFERENCE VALUES

## **INDICATOR LDEN**

### **POPULATION**

Nombre d'habitants (à la centaine près)	< objectifs OMS	entre objectifs OMS et VL	> valeur(s) limite(s)
Métropole du Grand Paris	635 700	5 077 900	1 062 400
T1 - Paris	266 100	1 642 000	281 100
T2 - Vallee Sud Grand Paris	30 100	293 700	58 100
T3 - Grand Paris Seine Ouest	26 900	194 600	76 300
T4 - Paris Ouest La Defense	49 600	409 200	92 600
T5 - Boucle Nord de Seine	18 100	325 400	74 000
T6 - Plaine Commune	26 100	276 800	92 500
T7 - Paris Terres d'envol	29 300	283 900	26 600
T8 - Est Ensemble	39 500	302 900	47 900
T9 - Grand Paris Grand Est	42 400	290 500	36 200
T10 - Paris Est Marne & Bois	39 900	371 000	81 700
T11 - Grand Paris Sud Est Avenir	27 800	219 000	51 000
T12 - Grand-Orly Seine Bievre	39 800	468 800	144 500
Cergy Pontoise	34 700	157 500	4 200
Coeur d'Essonne Agglomeration	29 900	148 200	6 300
Communaute Paris-Saclay	25 100	226 700	33 800
Grand Paris Seine & Oise	65 000	300 800	25 300
Grand Paris Sud	52 600	258 300	6 400
Paris Vallee de la Marne	32 600	178 800	6 400
Plaine Vallee	600	106 000	67 900
Roissy Pays de France	18 100	124 100	190 000
Saint Germain Boucles de Seine	66 200	237 300	25 600
Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines	30 800	185 300	7 900
Val d'Yerres Val de Seine	24 900	132 300	9 100
Val Parisis	4 100	232 700	11 900
Versailles Grand Parc	35 700	191 400	33 600

#### **INDICATOR LN**

#### POPULATION

Nombre d'habitants (à la centaine près)	< objectifs OMS	entre objectifs OMS et VL	> valeur(s) limite(s)*
Métropole du Grand Paris	779 900	5 618 600	377 500
T1 - Paris	278 000	1 775 200	136 100
T2 - Vallee Sud Grand Paris	41 500	324 100	16 200
T3 - Grand Paris Seine Ouest	30 000	251 200	16 600
T4 - Paris Ouest La Defense	65 900	464 000	21 500
T5 - Boucle Nord de Seine	21 300	374 500	21 700
T6 - Plaine Commune	39 700	331 300	24 400
T7 - Paris Terres d'envol	40 400	291 200	8 200
T8 - Est Ensemble	53 700	320 900	15 700
T9 - Grand Paris Grand Est	51 300	305 600	12 200
T10 - Paris Est Marne & Bois	51 300	409 400	31 900
T11 - Grand Paris Sud Est Avenir	46 100	243 600	8 100
T12 - Grand-Orly Seine Bievre	60 700	527 500	64 900
Cergy Pontoise	56 300	138 000	2 000
Coeur d'Essonne Agglomeration	40 000	141 200	3 300
Communaute Paris-Saclay	48 800	231 400	5 400
Grand Paris Seine & Oise	74 600	306 500	10 000
Grand Paris Sud	50 900	262 400	3 900
Paris Vallee de la Marne	<b>39 000</b>	176 300	2 400
Plaine Vallee	2 000	167 300	5 300
Roissy Pays de France	25 000	233 400	73 900
Saint Germain Boucles de Seine	81 900	241 700	5 500
Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines	48 900	171 400	3 500
Val d'Yerres Val de Seine	35 200	126 700	4 500
Val Parisis	10 700	232 700	5 500
Versailles Grand Parc	45 600	207 000	8 100

\* In the absence of a limit value for air traffic noise during the night period, we present the results for an exceedance of the value of 50 dB(A) which can be considered as critical level for health.

# CUMULATED TRANSPORT NOISE - PER AGGLOMERATION COMPARISON WITH THE REFERENCE VALUES

## **INDICATOR LDEN**

**PERCENTAGE OF POPULATION** 



#### **INDICATOR LN**

### PERCENTAGE OF POPULATION



\* In the absence of a limit value for air traffic noise during the night period, we present the results for an exceedance of the value of 50 dB(A) which can be considered as critical level for health.

## INDICATOR DALY FOR ANNOYANCE

PER GRID OF 250 m



### **INDICATOR DALY**

NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-YEARS LOST PER YEAR

PER GRID OF 250 m



## **STATISTICS**

#### NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-YEARS LOST PER YEAR

ANNÉES DE VIE EN BONNE SANTÉ PERDUE	Du fait de la gêne	Du fait des	τοται	
PAR AN	Du fait de la gelle	troubles du sommeil	TOTAL	
Métropole du Grand Paris	30 458	37 758	68 216	
T1 - Paris	8 487	10 085	18 572	
T2 - Vallee Sud Grand Paris	1 569	1 697	3 265	
T3 - Grand Paris Seine Ouest	1 399	1 652	3 051	
T4 - Paris Ouest La Defense	2 411	2 802	5 213	
T5 - Boucle Nord de Seine	2 406	3 441	5 846	
T6 - Plaine Commune	2 370	3 565	5 935	
T7 - Paris Terres d'envol	1 398	1 797	3 196	
T8 - Est Ensemble	1 536	1 853	3 389	
T9 - Grand Paris Grand Est	1 554	1 967	3 520	
T10 - Paris Est Marne & Bois	2 289	2 790	5 079	
T11 - Grand Paris Sud Est Avenir	1 448	1 766	3 215	
T12 - Grand-Orly Seine Bievre	3 589	4 344	7 933	
Cergy Pontoise	687	699	1 386	
Coeur d'Essonne Agglomeration	711	884	1 595	
Communaute Paris-Saclay	1 601	1 735	3 336	
Grand Paris Seine & Oise	1 612	2 064	3 676	
Grand Paris Sud	1 120	1 441	2 561	
Paris Vallee de la Marne	820	978	1 798	
Plaine Vallee	1 493	2 783	4 277	
Roissy Pays de France	2 596	4 442	7 038	
Saint Germain Boucles de Seine	1 243	1 755	2 998	
Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines	809	964	1 774	
Val d'Yerres Val de Seine	692	819	1 511	
Val Parisis	1 907	3 277	5 184	
Versailles Grand Parc	1 086	1 331	2 417	
ZONE DENSE DE LA RÉGION ÎLE-DE-ERANCE	46 837	60 929	107 766	

## INDICATOR DALY FOR ANNOYANCE

PER COMMUNE LEVEL



entre 1000 et 1500 années entre 750 et 1000 années entre 500 et 750 années entre 400 et 500 années entre 250 et 400 années entre 100 et 250 années entre 50 et 100 années < 50 années

## **INDICATOR DALY**

NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-YEARS LOST PER YEAR

PER COMMUNE LEVEL



### THE 50 COMMUNES WITH THE HIGHEST VALUES NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-YEARS LOST PER YEAR



## INDIVIDUAL HEALTH RISKS FOR ANNOYANCE

NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-MONTHS LOST PER INDIVIDUAL DURING A LIFETIME



INDIVIDUAL HEALTH RISKS FOR SLEEP DISTURBANCE PER GRID OF 250 m NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-MONTHS LOST PER INDIVIDUAL DURING A LIFETIME



PER GRID OF 250 m

### **INDIVIDUAL HEALTH RISKS**

PER GRID OF 250 m

NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-MONTHS LOST PER INDIVIDUAL DURING A LIFETIME



## **STATISTICS**

#### NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-MONTHS LOST PER INDIVIDUAL DURING A LIFETIME

MOIS DE VIE EN BONNE SANTÉ PERDUE PAR INDIVIDU AU COURS D'UNE VIE ENTIÈRE	Du fait de la gêne	Du fait des troubles du sommeil	TOTAL
Métropole du Grand Paris	4,5	5,6	10,1
T1 - Paris	3,9	4,6	8,5
T2 - Vallee Sud Grand Paris	4,1	4,4	8,6
T3 - Grand Paris Seine Ouest	4,7	5,6	10,3
T4 - Paris Ouest La Defense	4,4	5,1	9,5
T5 - Boude Nord de Seine	5,8	8,2	14,0
T6 - Plaine Commune	6,0	9,0	15,0
T7 - Paris Terres d'envol	4,1	5,3	9,4
T8 - Est Ensemble	3,9	4,8	8,7
T9 - Grand Paris Grand Est	4,2	5,3	9,5
T10 - Paris Est Marne & Bois	4,7	5,7	10,3
T11 - Grand Paris Sud Est Avenir	4,9	5,9	10,8
T12 - Grand-Orly Seine Bievre	5,5	6,7	12,2
Cergy Pontoise	3,5	3,6	7,1
Coeur d'Essonne Agglomeration	3,9	4,8	8,7
Communaute Paris-Saclay	5,6	6,1	11,7
Grand Paris Seine & Oise	4,1	5,3	9,4
Grand Paris Sud	3,5	4,5	8,1
Paris Vallee de la Marne	3,8	4,5	8,3
Plaine Vallee	8,6	16,0	24,5
Roissy Pays de France	7,8	13,4	21,2
Saint Germain Boucles de Seine	3,8	5,3	9,1
Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines	3,6	4,3	7,9
Val d'Yerres Val de Seine	4,2	4,9	9,1
Val Parisis	7,7	13,2	20,9
Versailles Grand Parc	4,2	5,1	9,3
ZONE DENSE DE LA RÉGION ÎLE-DE-FRANCE	4,6	6,0	10,7

## INDIVIDUAL HEALTH RISKS FOR ANNOYANCE PER COMMUNE LEVEL

NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-MONTHS LOST PER INDIVIDUAL DURING A LIFETIME



**INDIVIDUAL HEALTH RISKS FOR SLEEP DISTURBANCE PER COMMUNE LEVEL** NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-MONTHS LOST PER INDIVIDUAL DURING A LIFETIME



### **INDIVIDUAL HEALTH RISKS**

PER COMMUNE LEVEL

NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-MONTHS LOST PER INDIVIDUAL DURING A LIFETIME



## THE 50 COMMUNES WITH THE HIGHEST VALUES

NUMBER OF HEALTHY LIFE-MONTHS LOST PER INDIVIDUAL DURING A LIFETIME



# **CUMULATED TRANSPORT NOISE – THE 1500 PRIORITY GRIDS**

HIGHEST VALUES FOR COMBINED RISKS (COLLECTIVE AND INDIVIDUAL)



## **CUMULATED TRANSPORT NOISE – IN BRIEF**

People within the densely populated zone of lle-de-France are highly exposed to transport noise throughout the day since nearly 90% of inhabitants (more than 9 million people) are exposed to noise levels that exceed those recommended by the World Health Organisation to avoid the health effects of noise.

This trend can be seen through the many people who are subjected to noise levels that exceed the regulatory limit values for France in application of the noise directive: Nearly 1.5 million inhabitants (14.8% of the population) are exposed to noise levels that exceed at least one limit value for the Lden indicator. Road traffic is the main cause, with 10.8% of inhabitants exposed to excessive road traffic noise. Exposure to noise levels exceeding limit values for aircraft and rail traffic is down (respectively 3.7% and 0.5%), but these two types of nuisances have proportionally higher health risks due to their eventrelated nature (succession of noise peaks).

Noise levels generated by transport at night are falling. However, nearly 87% of the population still lives in accommodation exposed to outside noise levels that exceed one of the nocturnal quality objectives set by the WHO and 510,900 inhabitants (5.1% of the population) are even concerned by nocturnal levels that exceed one the regulatory limit values set for road or rail noise where the value of 50 dB(A) is believed to be critical for aircraft noise.

The majority of people exposed to transport noise (all sources) live in the Grand Paris Métropole, and 71 to 74% of people are exposed to noise levels that exceed the limit values for the Lden and Ln indicators respectively. T3 (Grand Paris Seine Ouest), T6 (Plaine Commune), and T12 (Grand Orly Seine Bièvre) are the territories with the highest proportion of exposed inhabitants within the Grand Paris Métropole, with respectively 26%, 23%, and 22% of their populations exposed to noise levels that exceed one of the limit values for the Lden indicator. In terms of nocturnal exposure to noise, T12 (Grand Orly Seine Bièvre) comes first with 10% of its population exposed to values considered to be critical.

Outside of the Grand Paris Métropole, urban areas significantly affected by airport noise are home to the highest levels of people exposed to noise levels that exceed one of the limit values for Lden. The two urban agglomerations of Roissy-Pays de France and Plaine Vallée have respectively 57% of 39% of their populations exposed to excessive noise levels. Next comes the agglomeration community of Versailles Grand Parc, where the level is 13% due to its high exposure to road noise, just ahead of the agglomeration community of Paris Saclay (12%), which is highly exposed to aircraft noise from the Paris-Orly airport.

In terms of the health impact, transport noise is responsible for the loss of 107,766 disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs) every year within the densely populated zone of the IIe-de-France region, distributed between the DALYs lost due to annoyance (46,837, 43% of the total) and the DALYs lost due to sleep disturbance (60,929, 57% of the total).

Road noise is responsible for 61% of the health impact (65,607 DALYs), followed by rail noise (23,440 DALYs, and 22%), and aircraft noise (18,718, 17%).

63% of these health impacts come from the Grand Paris Métropole, with 68,216 DALY.

A majority of the communes with the highest numbers of healthy life-years lost due to cumulated transport noise are located in the Grand Paris Métropole, but there is also the commune of Versailles, as well as communes in the Val d'Oise department that combine very densely populated areas and significant aircraft noise. The areas where the impact is greatest are the town of Argenteuil, and the 15<sup>th</sup> and 18<sup>th</sup> districts of Paris (cf. map of cumulated health impacts by commune, page 123).

At individual level, the evaluations show an average statistical value of 10.7 healthy life-months lost during a lifetime per individual due to cumulated transport noise, within the densely populated zone of lle-de-France.

There are, however, significant variations within the region, with the impact on healthy life-months lost per inhabitant ranging from 7.1 months to 24.5 months (a ratio of 1 to 3.45) depending on the territory or urban community, and varying from 2.6 months to 38.1 months (a ratio of 1 to 14.65) depending on the commune.

These significant variations highlight the impact of aircraft noise. For example, the healthy life-years lost in the agglomeration communities of Plaine Vallée, Roissy Pays de France, and Val Parisis are twice as high as the territorial average (24.5 and 20.9 healthy life-months lost per individual versus 10.7 months). On average, inhabitants of the Grand Paris Métropole lose 10.1 healthy life-months over their lifetimes - the health impacts per inhabitant ultimately being more moderate in areas concerned only by noise pollution from land transport – with values varying between 8.5 months (T1 - Paris) and 10.3 months (for T3 -Grand Paris Seine Ouest and T10 - Paris Est Marne et Bois). The territories of the Grand Paris Métropole that have the highest individual risks are T6 - Plaine Commune (15 months), T5 - Boucle Nord de Seine (14 months), and T12 - Grand Orly Seine Bièvre (12.2

#### months).

The individual risk can even reach or exceed three healthy life-years lost for communes that combine high exposure to aircraft noise and marked exposure to other noise pollution generated by land transport. This is the case, for example, of the towns of Compans (38.1 months), Ablon-sur-Seine (37.8 months), and Villeneuve-le-Roi (34.3 months). There are also many inhabited 250 m<sup>2</sup> grids in the vicinity of airports and/or along major rail or road infrastructures, where the individual risk can reach or exceed three years (cf. individual health risk maps below).



# **ECONOMIC COST**

The economic cost related to the health impact of environmental noise is useful information for policymakers.

It allows us to better understand the scale of the problem and allows an analysis of the trade-off between the negative externalities of noise pollution with the financial cost of tried and tested noise reduction measures (for example, low-noise road surfaces, anti-noise screens, sound insulation for buildings, acoustic insulation of schools, housing, and hospitals, reduction of traffic speeds, fighting antisocial and noisy behaviour, etc.) and the resulting social benefits (reduction of annoyance and sleep disturbance related to transport noise).

A first attempt to estimate the economic cost of healthy life-years lost due to environmental noise in the European Union was proposed by WHO's Europe bureau in 2013<sup>13</sup>.

## **METHOD OF ESTIMATION**

In the scientific literature, generally speaking, the approaches to estimating the economic cost of disability-adjusted life-years (DALY) are based, on the notion of "willingness to pay" (WTP). These approaches can be used to provide estimations of the economic cost of health effects related to environmental noise.

In the absence of any research or surveys specifically on environmental noise, the WHO suggested a first method for economic estimations specific to the European Union. The method relies on existing research in other fields related to the health impact of environmental factors such as air pollution and chemical risks.

#### Value of statistical life year (VSLY)

In simple terms, the conversion of DALY into a monetary figure consists in multiplying the number of DALYs by the "value of statistical life year" (VSLY).

The process of quantifying the economic cost of the health impact of environmental noise is relatively complex because the VSLY varies considerably, not only depending on the period, but also depending on the country, the region, and GDP, as well as the author of the study (for comparable issues).

The VSLY can also vary significantly depending on the subject of the study. For example, a VSLY for respiratory diseases that result from air pollution may be very different to those related to road accidents. The region being studied has a direct effect on the VSLY due to disparities in income, healthcare services, attitudes towards practically every aspect of life, and social and personal perceptions. As a result, the VSLY found in the scientific literature varies between  $\xi$ 23,706 and  $\xi$ 126,000.

For this evaluation, the WHO used the methodology and hypotheses applied in the European Commission's "Assessment of the Health and environmental benefits of REACH" report (EU policy on chemical products) prepared for the directorategeneral for the environment in April 2012. This report is itself based on general guidelines from the European Commission on the evaluation of health impacts, favouring a monetary evaluation where the scientific understanding allows it. The range of values for different measurement units suggested in the REACH report is  $\xi$ 50,000 to  $\xi$ 100,000 for the economic value of statistical life year (VSLY) in Europe.

#### Specific VSLY for environmental noise

When the WHO published its work in 2013, no other research on making a monetary estimation of the health impact of environmental noise existed, with existing research having a limited scope (limited range of health impacts focusing exclusively on the evaluation of the impact of transport noise - by specific sources of transport<sup>14</sup>).

Based on the values suggested in European research literature evaluating other environmental risks, the WHO decided to apply a generic VSLY of  $\in$ 50,000 for environmental noise. This value is considered to be fairly low and conservative.

This initial exploratory approach does not apply an actualisation rate that depreciates the VSLY depending on age.

# Application of the method to the European Union

For the five health effects of environmental noise

World Health Organization, 2008.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> F. Georges, M-E. Heroux, K. Fong, 'Public health and economic burden of environmental noise', Internoise 2013, Innsbruck, Austria (2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> The global burden of disease: 2004 update. Geneva,

<sup>(</sup>http://www.who.int/healthinfo/global\_burden\_disease/2 004\_report\_update/en/index.html)

studied, the WHO applied the generic VSLY of €50,000 for a DALY. The table below summarises the economic cost of the burden of disease due to environmental noise published by the WHO for the European Union<sup>15</sup>.

Health effect	DALY	Economic cost in € billion
Sleep disturbance	903 000	45,15
Annoyance	654 000	32,70
Cardiovascular disease	60 000	3
Cognitive impairment	45 000	2,25
Tinnitus	21 000	1,05
TOTAL	1 683 000	84,15

# APPLICATION OF THE METHOD TO THE DENSELY POPULATED ZONE OF ILE-DE-FRANCE

The table below summarises the economic cost of the burden of disease due to environmental noise for the densely populated zone of Ile-de-France for the two main health effects studied (annoyance and sleep disturbance), applying the generic VSLY of €50,000 suggested by the WHO for DALY.

Health effect	DALY	Economic cost in € billion
Sleep disturbance	60 929	3,05
Annoyance	46 837	2,34
TOTAL	107 766	5,39

This estimation of the economic cost of the burden of disease due to environmental noise amounts to approximately €5.4 billion for the densely populated zone of Ile-de-France.

It is important to remember that only the costs related to willingness to pay have been taken into account here. It is necessary to add the other costs related to professional absenteeism, healthcare, depreciation of real estate assets, learning difficulties, as well as the consequences of exposure to other sources of noise (neighbours, professional environment, and leisure in particular).

A previous study by Bruitparif<sup>16</sup> in 2016 estimated the social cost of noise in Ile-de-France at more than €16 billion, considering the various items that could be included.

Such studies illustrate the necessity to invest in policies to reduce point source noise and appropriate noise management measures.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> F. Georges, M-E. Heroux, K. Fong, 'Public health and economic burden of environmental noise', Internoise 2013, Innsbruck, Austria (2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Note de synthèse sur le coût social du bruit en lle-de-France, Bruitparif, June 2016.

# **EVOLUTIONS**

The results of the evaluation of the health impact of transport noise can be compared with the previous evaluations carried out by Bruitparif and the lle-de-France regional health watchdog between 2011 and 2015.

In a region with a comparable number of inhabitants (approximately 10 million) despite the creation of and changes to groupings of communes between the two evaluations, the estimation of the number of people exposed to noise levels above the limit values for road noise has fallen by 35%, from 1.7 million to 1.1 million. This development is partly related to the improvement in the quality of strategic noise maps produced (homogeneity and coherence of methods used over the entire territory for the third phase, contrary to the first two phases; and the use of more recent and more representative databases in terms of the acoustic power of vehicles on the road). However, the estimations of the number of people exposed to noise levels that exceed the limit values for rail noise and aircraft noise have not changed significantly between the two periods.

However, estimations of the health impact have increased significantly, in particular for aircraft noise and rail noise due to the use of new exposureresponse relationships recommended by the WHO. The total number of disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs) lost has risen from 75,435 (2015) to 107,766 in 2019; an increase of 43% (see figure below). This also results in a proportional increase in the economic costs associated with disease caused by transport noise, which increased from  $\xi$ 3.8 to  $\xi$ 5.4 billion per year.

The strongest relative increase is for the health impact of aircraft noise, which rose from an estimated 5,074 DALYs in 2015 to 18,718, which is a multiplication by a factor of 3.7.

As for the health impact of rail noise, it has also increased significantly, with 23,440 DALYs in 2019 compared with 6,692 previously; up by a factor of 3.5.

And finally, for road noise, despite a reduction in the most critical exposure to noise between the two phases due to the improvement in estimation methods, the figures on health impacts are still up slightly from 63,669 DALYs to 65,607 in 2019 (+3%). This can be explained in particular by a significant re-evaluation by the WHO of annoyance related to road noise for levels between 45 and 65 dB (A) using the Lden indicator.

Adjusted to the level of an average citizen living within the densely populated zone of Ile-de-France, the healthy life-months lost over a lifetime now reaches 10.7 compared to 7.3 for the 2015 estimation. The regional differences are also significantly exacerbated, with the individual health risk now reaching more than three healthy years lost in sectors that are highly exposed to multiple noise sources, compared to 18 months in the 2015 estimation.



# CONCLUSION

Nearly 1.5 million inhabitants (14.8% of the population) are exposed to noise levels that exceed at least one limit value for the Lden indicator. Road traffic is the main cause, with 10.8% of inhabitants exposed to excessive road traffic noise. Exposure to noise levels exceeding limit values for aircraft and rail traffic is down (respectively 3.7% and 0.5%), but these two types of nuisances have proportionally higher health risks due to their event-related nature (succession of noise peaks).

Thanks to various studies that have been published on the topic at international level, the health impact of noise is now well and truly established. It goes beyond just the annoyance caused. Beyond the effects on the auditory system observed for high noise levels, several extra-auditory effects have also been identified, including sleep disturbance, cardiovascular disease and diminished learning capacity. Studies have also shown that noise is a factor that reinforces social inequality, with underprivileged populations also generally being those most exposed.

In order to raise awareness of this major public health issue, we need to collect and publish quantified data for the region. That is why Bruitparif has evaluated morbidity<sup>17</sup> connected to transport noise within the densely populated zone of Ile-de-France.

Bruitparif used the methodology recommended by the World Health Organisation (WHO), based on the use of the indicator of healthy life-years (DALYs -Disability-Adjusted Life-Years) lost, as well as the latest guidelines on environmental noise published by the WHO in October 2018.

With nearly 108,000 disability-adjusted life-years lost every year within the densely populated zone of Ilede-France, at an economic cost of  $\in$ 5.4 billion per year, the results obtained confirm the trends highlighted by the WHO at European level. Noise pollution is the second-highest cause of morbidity among environmental risk factors in urban environments, behind atmospheric pollution.

Road noise is responsible for 61% of the health impact (65,607 DALYs), followed by rail noise (23,440 DALYs, and 22%), and aircraft noise (18,718, 17%).

63% of these health impacts come from the Grand Paris Métropole, with 68,216 DALY.

These results have been compared with the previous evaluations carried out by Bruitparif and the Ile-de-France regional health watchdog between 2011 and 2015. Estimations have been reviewed significantly upwards (from 75,000 to 108,000 DALYs, +43%), especially for aircraft noise (multiplied by a factor of 3.7) and rail noise (multiplied by a factor of 3.5), due to the use of new exposure-response relationships recommended by the WHO.

Adjusted to the level of an average citizen living within the densely populated zone of Ile-de-France, healthy life-months lost over a lifetime now reach 10.7 compared to 7.3 for the 2015 estimation.

Regional differences are also significantly exacerbated, with the individual health risk now reaching more than three healthy life-years lost in sectors that suffer from exposure to multiple aircraft and land sources, compared to 18 months in the 2015 estimation.

The study conducted by Bruitparif has therefore reevaluated upwards the health and economic impact of noise in the densely populated zone of Ile-de-France and, above all, has provided essential new information for public policymakers: The provision of results by territory, as well as the determination of priority areas. The ambition of this study is therefore to fully contribute to anticipating where to focus resources in the fight against noise pollution, by creating a reference document to help stakeholders prepare the various environmental noise action plans that the competent authorities will have to produce in 2019.

was based on level of annoyance and sleep disturbance caused by exposure to transport noise that can be estimated in the population.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>The morbidity of a population is defined as the number of sick people or the number of diseases within a given population, at a given time. In this report, the evaluation

# **APPENDIX**

# **RESULTS PER COMMUNE**

	Population	DALX	DALX	DALX	DALY	Risque	Risque	Risque	Risque
Entité	(source :	ROUTE	FER	AIR	TOT	individuel	individuel	individuel	individuel
	densibati IAU IdF)					ROUTE	FER	AIR	TOT
Zone dense de la région lle-de-France	10 104 322	65 607	23 440	18 718	107 766	6,5	2,3	1,9	10,7
Métropole du Grand Paris	6 776 007	47 738	13 809	6 669	68 216	7,1	2,0	1,0	10,1
T1 - Paris	2 189 273	16 304	2 258	10	18 572	7,5	1,0	0,0	8,5
PARIS I DARIS 2	22 404	135	-	-	135	7,8	0,0	0,0	7,8 6.1
PARIS 3	35 485	252	-	-	252	7.1	0,0	0,0	7.1
PARIS 4	28 057	218	0	-	218	7,8	0,0	0,0	7,8
PARIS 5	60 579	430	10	-	440	7,1	0,2	0,0	7,3
PARIS 6	42 495	321	-	-	321	7,6	0,0	0,0	7,6
PARIS 7	56 958	399	0	-	399	7,0	0,0	0,0	7,0
PARIS 8	39 766	332	45	-	377	8,4	1,1	0,0	9,5
PARIS 9	59 830	475	4	-	479	7,9	0,1	0,0	8,0
PARIS 10 PARIS 11	94 705	1 113	89	-	1 112	8,4	0,9	0,0	9,3
PARIS 12	138 424	987	123	-	1 110	7.1	0,0	0.0	8.0
PARIS 13	175 499	1 265	202	-	1 467	7,2	1,2	0,0	8,4
PARIS 14	134 868	985	314	-	1 299	7,3	2,3	0,0	9,6
PARIS 15	231 121	1 625	267	4	1 896	7,0	1,2	0,0	8,2
PARIS 16	167 831	1 338	33	6	1 378	8,0	0,2	0,0	8,2
PARIS 17	166 999	1 334	331	-	1 666	8,0	2,0	0,0	10,0
PARIS 18	196 412	1 506	563	-	2 069	7,7	2,9	0,0	10,5
PARIS 19	181 107	1 290	270	-	1 255	7,1	1,5	0,0	δ,/ 71
T2 - Vallee Sud Grand Paris	381 789	2 654	555	57	2 265	7,1	1.5	0,0	86
ANTONY	61 279	391	182	29	601	6.4	3.0	0.5	9,8
BAGNEUX	37 632	253	47	-	300	6,7	1,3	0,0	8,0
BOURG-LA-REINE	19 662	151	38	-	189	7,7	1,9	0,0	9,6
CHATENAY-MALABRY	32 343	203	4	3	211	6,3	0,1	0,1	6,5
CHATILLON	32 344	226	36	-	262	7,0	1,1	0,0	8,1
CLAMART	51 822	337	62	3	402	6,5	1,2	0,0	7,8
FONTENAY-AUX-ROSES	22 933	132	12	-	144	5,8	0,5	0,0	6,3
LE PLESSIS-ROBINSON	26 015	179	0	23	202	6,9	0,0	0,9	7,8
MALAKOF	47 826	442	7	-	570 AAQ	9.3	0.1	0,0	9.4
SCEAUX	19 138	123	13	0	136	6,4	0,7	0,0	7.1
T3 - Grand Paris Seine Ouest	297 852	2 252	773	26	3 051	7,6	2,6	0,1	10,3
BOULOGNE-BILLANCOURT	110 842	906	5	3	914	8,2	0,0	0,0	8,3
CHAVILLE	18 276	118	158	-	276	6,5	8,6	0,0	15,1
ISSY-LES-MOULINEAUX	63 279	512	192	22	727	8,1	3,0	0,4	11,5
MARNES-LA-COQUETTE	1 614	11	2	-	13	6,7	1,0	0,0	7,8
MEUDON	44 104	293	184	1	478	6,6	4,2	0,0	10,8
SEVRES	22 412	104	110	0	2/4	7,3	4,9	0,0	12,2
VILLE-D'AVRAY	10 714	53	112	-	63	4,9	1.0	0,0	5.9
T4 - Paris Ouest La Defense	551 407	4 008	1 205	-	5 213	7.3	2.2	0.0	9.5
COURBEVOIE	86 562	659	313	-	972	7,6	3,6	0,0	11,2
GARCHES	18 222	111	25	-	135	6,1	1,4	0,0	7,4
LA GARENNE-COLOMBES	27 049	207	121	-	328	7,7	4,5	0,0	12,1
LEVALLOIS-PERRET	63 404	480	107	-	586	7,6	1,7	0,0	9,3
NANTERRE	88 399	572	242	-	813	6,5	2,7	0,0	9,2
NEUILLY-SUR-SEINE	60 223	510	3	-	513	8,5	0,1	0,0	8,5
RUEL_MALMAISON	44 /03	404	36	-	500	5,0	0.5	0,0	6.8
SAINT-CLOUD	29 594	219	125		343	7.4	4.2	0.0	11.6
SURESNES	45 993	305	134	-	439	6,6	2,9	0,0	9,6
VAUCRESSON	8 585	45	4	-	49	5,3	0,5	0,0	5,7
T5 - Boucle Nord de Seine	417 507	2 949	1 334	1 563	5 846	7,1	3,2	3,7	14,0
ARGENTEUIL	101 116	575	363	1 270	2 208	5,7	3,6	12,6	21,9
ASNIERES-SUR-SEINE	80 450	612	259	13	885	7,6	3,2	0,2	11,0
BOIS-COLOMBES	28 040	185	200	-	385	6,6	7,1	0,0	13,7
COLOMBES	28 140 82 697	403	252	- 126	595	8,0	2,3	0,0	10,2
GENNEVILLIERS	41 211	332	27	15	374	8.1	0.7	0.4	9.1
VILLENEUVE-LA-GARENNE	24 853	197	0	129	326	7,9	0,0	5,2	13,1
T6 - Plaine Commune	395 414	2 656	996	2 283	5 935	6,7	2,5	5,8	15,0
AUBERVILLIERS	73 232	499	39	-	538	6,8	0,5	0,0	7,3
EPINAY-SUR-SEINE	53 416	318	147	800	1 265	6,0	2,8	15,0	23,7
LA COURNEUVE	36 910	253	136	-	389	6,9	3,7	0,0	10,6
L'ILE-SAINT-DENIS	7 004	45	48	29	123	6,5	6,9	4,2	17,6
PIERREFIT IE-SUR-SEINE	28 112	149	58	446	1 529	5,3	2,1	15,9	23,2
SAINT-DENIS	46 447	758	57		421	7,3	4,2	5,5	9.1
SAINT-OUEN	33 540	196	44	472	712	5,8	1,3	14,1	21,3
VILLETANEUSE	12 826	74	26	196	296	5,8	2,0	15,3	23,1

	Population	DALV	DAIX	DALV	DAIN	Risque	Risque	Risque	Risque
Entité	(source :	ROUTE	EER	AIR	TOT	individuel	individuel	individuel	individuel
	densibati IAU IdF)	ROOTE	FER	AIR	101	ROUTE	FER	AIR	тот
T7 - Paris Terres d'envol	339 841	1 932	816	447	3 196	5,7	2,4	1,3	9,4
AULNAY-SOUS-BOIS	81 488	479	188	20	686	5,9	2,3	0,2	8,4
DRANCY	65 043	376	172	-	547	5,8	2,6	0,0	8,4
DUGNY	10 549	62	-	132	194	5,9	0,0	12,5	18,4
LE BLANC-MESNIL	50 660	300	100	85	484	5,9	2,0	1,7	9,6
LE BOURGET	13 595	111	55	-	166	8,2	4,1	0,0	12,3
SEVRAN	48 440	258	202	-	459	5,3	4,2	0,0	9,5
TREMBLAY-EN-FRANCE	34 839	172	83	66	321	4,9	2,4	1,9	9,2
VILLEPINTE	35 228	175	17	145	337	5,0	0,5	4,1	9,6
T8 - Est Ensemble	390 306	2 530	859	-	3 389	6,5	2,2	0,0	8,7
BAGNOLET	33 706	207	-	-	207	6,1	0,0	0,0	6,1
BOBIGNY	46 601	275	305	-	579	5,9	6,5	0,0	12,4
BONDY	52 657	334	239	-	573	6,4	4,5	0,0	10,9
LE PRE-SAINT-GERVAIS	18 026	125	-	-	125	6,9	0,0	0,0	6,9
LES LILAS	22 450	156	-	-	156	7,0	0,0	0,0	7,0
MONTREUIL	101 760	610	22	-	633	6,0	0,2	0,0	6,2
NOISY-LE-SEC	37 879	262	237	-	500	6,9	6,3	0,0	13,2
PANTIN	51 485	401	48	-	450	7,8	0,9	0,0	8,7
ROMAINVILLE	25 743	160	7	-	167	6,2	0,3	0,0	6,5
T9 - Grand Paris Grand Est	369 128	2 191	1 326	4	3 520	5,9	3,6	0,0	9,5
CLICHY-SOUS-BOIS	28 911	163	0	-	163	5,6	0,0	0,0	5,6
COUBRON	4 510	21	-	-	21	4,6	0,0	0,0	4,6
GAGNY	38 560	213	256	-	469	5,5	6,6	0,0	12,2
GOURNAY-SUR-MARNE	6 408	36	-	-	36	5,7	0,0	0,0	5,7
LE RAINCY	13 765	84	26	-	110	6,1	1,9	0,0	8,0
LES PAVILLONS-SOUS-BOIS	20 715	140	42	-	182	6,8	2,0	0,0	8,8
LIVRY-GARGAN	41 391	238	80	-	318	5,8	1,9	0,0	7,7
MONTFERMEIL	24 420	130	0		130	5,3	0,0	0,0	5,3
NEUILLY-PLAISANCE	20 229	118	77	-	195	5,8	3,8	0,0	9,7
NEUILLY-SUR-MARNE	32 712	184	234	-	418	5,6	7,2	0,0	12,8
NOISY-LE-GRAND	62 674	379	108	4	491	6,1	1,7	0,1	7,8
ROSNY-SOUS-BOIS	40 519	259	212	-	471	6,4	5,2	0,0	11,6
VAUJOURS	6 239	45	3	-	48	7,2	0,5	0,0	7,7
VILLEMOMBLE	28 075	181	287	-	468	6,4	10,2	0,0	16,7
T10 - Paris Est Marne & Bois	492 624	3 687	1 392	-	5 079	7,5	2,8	0,0	10,3
BRY-SUR-MARNE	15 215	96	138	-	233	6,3	9,1	0,0	15,4
CHAMPIGNY-SUR-MARNE	75 000	518	213	-	731	6,9	2,8	0,0	9,8
CHARENTON-LE-PONT	28 833	289	207	-	496	10,0	7,2	0,0	17,2
FONTENAY-SOUS-BOIS	53 038	312	61	-	374	5,9	1,2	0,0	7,1
JOINVILLE-LE-PONT	17 535	134	41	-	176	7,7	2,4	0,0	10,0
LE PERREUX-SUR-MARNE	32 169	230	119	-	350	7,2	3,7	0,0	10,9
MAISONS-ALFORT	52 474	426	197	-	623	8,1	3,8	0,0	11,9
NOGENT-SUR-MARNE	30 815	228	94	-	322	7,4	3,1	0,0	10,5
SAINT-MANDE	22 333	205	16	-	221	9,2	0,7	0,0	9,9
SAINT-MAUR-DES-FOSSES	74 785	518	128	-	646	6,9	1,7	0,0	8,6
SAINT-MAURICE	14 425	149	26	-	175	10,3	1,8	0,0	12,1
VILLIERS-SUR-MARNE	27 253	172	113	-	285	6,3	4,1	0,0	10,5
VINCENNES	48 750	408	40	-	448	8,4	0,8	0,0	9,2
T11 - Grand Paris Sud Est Avenir	297 806	1 884	580	751	3 215	6,3	1,9	2,5	10,8
ALFORTVILLE	44 031	307	257	-	564	7,0	5,8	0,0	12,8
BOISSY-SAINT-LEGER	16 241	89	27	248	364	5,5	1,7	15,3	22,4
BONNEUIL-SUR-MARNE	16 351	115	49	2	166	7,0	3,0	0,1	10,2
CHENNEVIERES-SUR-MARNE	18 050	103	45	-	148	5,7	2,5	0,0	8,2
CRETEIL	88 875	682	66	-	748	7,7	0,7	0,0	8,4
LA QUEUE-EN-BRIE	11 271	62	-	0	63	5,5	0,0	0,0	5,6
LE PLESSIS-TREVISE	18 842	99	1	-	99	5,2	0,0	0,0	5,3
LIMEIL-BREVANNES	18 991	107	21	315	444	5,6	1,1	16,6	23,4
MANDRES-LES-ROSES	4 380	22	0	-	22	5,0	0,1	0,0	5,1
MAROLLES-EN-BRIE	4 994	21	2	60	82	4,2	0,4	11,9	16,4
NOISEAU	4 662	23	-	3	26	4,9	0,0	0,7	5,7
ORMESSON-SUR-MARNE	9 838	51	8	-	59	5,2	0,8	0,0	6,0
PERIGNY	2 268	8	-	0	8	3,7	0,0	0,0	3,7
SANTENY	3 675	17	0	14	31	4,6	0,0	3,7	8,3
SUCY-EN-BRIE	25 835	131	96	89	315	5,1	3,7	3,4	12,2
VILLECRESNES	9 504	47	8	20	76	5,0	0,9	2,1	8,0
T12 - Grand-Orly Seine Bievre	653 060	4 692	1 715	1 526	7 933	7,2	2,6	2,3	12,2
ABLON-SUR-SEINE	5 156	30	58	107	195	5,8	11,3	20,8	37,8
ARCUEIL	19 457	159	32	-	191	8,2	1,7	0,0	9,8
ATHIS-MONS	30 390	178	139	97	414	5,9	4,6	3,2	13,6
CACHAN	27 666	190	15	-	206	6,9	0,6	0,0	7,4
CHEVILLY-LARUE	18 515	133	-		133	7,2	0,0	0,0	7,2
CHOISY-LE-ROI	39 036	331	244	19	594	8,5	6,3	0,5	15,2
FRESNES	25 118	169	-	-	169	6,7	0,0	0,0	6,7
GENTILLY	17 463	153	3		156	8,8	0,2	0,0	8,9
IVRY-SUR-SEINE	57 070	470	184	-	654	8,2	3,2	0,0	11,5
JUVISY-SUR-ORGE	14 202	106	96	1	202	7,5	6,7	0,0	14,3
LE KREMLIN-BICETRE	26 284	235	-	-	235	9,0	0,0	0,0	9,0
L'HAY-LES-ROSES	29 792	199	-	-	199	6,7	0,0	0,0	6,7
MORANGIS	11 697	62	3	40	104	5,3	0,3	3,4	8,9
ORLY	20 583	140	99	81	321	6,8	4,8	4,0	15,6
PARAY-VIEILLE-POSTE	6 936	46	-	79	124	6,6	0,0	11,3	17,9
RUNGIS	5 635	39	0	26	65	6,9	0,1	4,6	11,6
SAVIGNY-SUR-ORGE	36 950	232	170	2	404	6,3	4,6	0,1	10,9
THIAIS	29 492	216	6	11	234	7,3	0,2	0,4	7,9
VALENTON	11 997	67	12	195	274	5,6	1,0	16,3	22,9
VILLEJUIF	55 128	406	-	-	406	7,4	0,0	0,0	7,4
VILLENEUVE-LE-ROI	18 278	107	140	379	626	5,9	7,6	20,8	34,3
VILLENEUVE-SAINT-GEORGES	30 719	228	216	490	934	7,4	7,1	16,0	30,4
VIRY-CHATILLON	31 107	193	80	-	273	6,2	2,6	0,0	8,8
VITRY-SUR-SEINE	×4 391	602	216		819	(1	2.5	0.0	4./

Health impact of transport noise in the densely populated zone of Ile-de-France region February 2019

	Population	DALY		<b>DA</b> 1 <b>Y</b>		Risque	Risque	Risque	Risque
Entité	(source :	DALY	DALY	DALY	DALY	individuel	individuel	individuel	individuel
	densibati IAU IdF)	ROUTE	FER	AIR	тот	ROUTE	FER	AIR	тот
Cerry Pontoise (CA)	196 297	984	329	72	1 386	5.0	17	0.4	71
cergy rontoise (ck)	754	504	525		2 300	3,5	1,7	0,4	1,±
BOISEMUN	/54	3	-	0	3	4,5	0,0	0,1	4,0
CERGY	62 235	303	51	0	355	4,9	0,8	0,0	5,7
COURDIMANCHE	6 786	31	-	-	31	4,6	0,0	0,0	4,6
ERAGNY	16 184	72	59	30	161	4,4	3,6	1,9	9,9
JOUY-LE-MOUTIEF	16 469	70	-	36	106	4,2	0,0	2,2	6,4
MAURECOURT	4 244	20	29	-	48	4,6	6,8	0,0	11,4
MENUCOURT	5 245	18	-		18	3,4	0,0	0,0	3,4
NEUVILLE-SUB-OISE	1 508	8	1	3	12	55	0.6	22	83
	15 500	72	-		72	47	0,0	2,2	47
USIN	15 584	/3	-	-	/3	4,7	0,0	0,0	4,7
PONTOISE	29 024	163	44		206	5,6	1,5	0,0	7,1
PUISEUX-PONTOISE	447	3	-	-	3	7,0	0,0	0,0	7,0
SAINT-OUEN-L'AUMONE	22 104	144	146	-	290	6,5	6,6	0,0	13,1
VAUREAL	15 713	77	-	2	79	4,9	0,0	0,1	5,0
Coour d'Essenne Agglemeration (CA)	184.460	922	636	27	1 595	5.1	2.4	01	97
coeur d'essonne Aggiomeration (CA)	10,000	333	030		100	5,1	3,4	0,1	0,7
ARPAJON	10 380	/1	24	5	100	0,9	2,3	0,5	9,7
AVRAINVILLE	725	3	-	-	3	4,8	0,0	0,0	4,8
BRETIGNY-SUR-ORGE	22 525	124	198		322	5,5	8,8	0,0	14,3
BREUILLET	8 272	36	9	5	51	4,4	1,1	0,6	6,1
BRUYERES-LE-CHATEL	3 147	16	0	7	23	5.0	0.0	2.3	7.3
CHEPTAINVILLE	1 904	7	4		12	3.8	23	0.0	61
CHEI TAINVIEL	5 202	21		1	42	5,0	2,5	0,0	0,1
EGLI	5 293	31	11	1	43	5,8	2,1	0,2	8,1
FLEURY-MEROGIS	9 080	48	-	-	48	5,3	0,0	0,0	5,3
GUIBEVILLE	727	3	0	-	3	4,6	0,1	0,0	4,8
LA NORVILLE	4 101	17	10	-	27	4,1	2,5	0,0	6,6
LE PLESSIS-PATE	3 992	18	-	-	18	4,6	0,0	0,0	4,6
LEUVILIE-SUR-ORGE	4 097	20	-	-	-20	4.9	0.0	0.0	4.9
	6 500	24	0		-24	5.2	0.1	0,0	5.2
	0 302	34	0		34	5,2	0,1	0,0	3,3
MAROLLES-EN-HUREPOIX	4 /09	20	67	-	87	4,2	14,1	0,0	18,4
MORSANG-SUR-ORGE	20 822	110	21	-	131	5,3	1,0	0,0	6,3
OLLAINVILLE	4 368	19	0	8	27	4,4	0,0	1,8	6,2
SAINTE-GENEVIEVE-DES-BOIS	33 992	168	79	-	247	4,9	2,3	0,0	7,3
SAINT-GERMAIN-LES-ARPAJON	9 068	42	9	0	52	4.6	1.0	0.0	5.7
SAINT-MICHEL-SUB-ORGE	20.017	95	149		244	4.8	7.4	0.0	12.2
	20017	22	14J	-	244	4,0	7,4	0,0	12,2
VILLEIMUISSUN-SUR-ORGE	0 843	32	51	-	83	4,7	7,5	0,0	12,2
VILLIERS-SUR-ORGE	3 895	15	3	-	19	4,0	0,9	0,0	4,9
Communaute Paris-Saclay (CA)	285 571	1 540	602	1 194	3 336	5,4	2,1	4,2	11,7
BALLAINVILLIERS	3 773	19	-	1	20	5,2	0,0	0,1	5,3
BURES-SUR-YVETTE	9 580	45	10	19	74	4.7	1.1	1.9	7.7
CHAMPLAN	2 / 38	18	9	50	77	75	3.7	20.4	31.5
	10.014	106	40	140	209	5.0	2.7	7.0	46.3
CHILLY-MAZARIN	18 314	100	49	142	298	5,8	2,7	7,8	10,3
EPINAY-SUR-ORGE	10 058	55	64	-	118	5,4	6,3	0,0	11,8
GIF-SUR-YVETTE	20 651	90	11	-	101	4,4	0,5	0,0	4,9
GOMETZ-LE-CHATE	2 413	13	-	9	22	5,3	0,0	3,7	9,0
IGN	10 097	52	44	-	96	5,1	4,3	0,0	9,5
LA VILLE-DU-BOIS	7 073	31	-	3	35	4.4	0.0	0.5	4.9
	22 604	111	0	259	260	47	0.0	11.0	15.7
	23 004		0	2,55	303	4,7	0,0	11,0	13,7
LINAS	0 3 3 4	39	-	1	39	0,1	0,0	0,1	0,2
LONGJUMEAL	21 238	131	27	116	274	6,2	1,3	5,5	12,9
MARCOUSSIS	7 766	45	0	22	67	5,7	0,0	2,9	8,6
MASSY	40 194	229	217	72	519	5,7	5,4	1,8	12,9
MONTLHERY	6 718	43	-	-	43	6,4	0,0	0,0	6,4
NOZAY	4 655	21	-	16	36	4.4	0.0	3.4	7.8
OPSAN	16 229	92	16	77	195	57	1.0	4.7	11.4
	10 233	170	10	122	100	5,7	1,0	4,7	11,4
PALAISEAU	30 198	1/3	105	122	400	5,7	3,5	4,0	13,3
SACLAY	3 040	16	-	0	16	5,4	0,0	0,0	5,4
SAINT-AUBIN	688	3	-	-	3	4,5	0,0	0,0	4,5
SAULX-LES-CHARTREUX	4 974	26	0	62	88	5,2	0,1	12,4	17,8
VAUHALLAN	1 966	9	-	-	9	4,3	0,0	0,0	4,3
VERRIERES-LE-BUISSON	15 534	67	21	-	88	4.3	1.4	0.0	5.7
VILLERON-SUR VVETT	9.465	52	17	126	206	5.6	1.9	14.4	21.9
VILLEDON-SOR-TVETTE	3 400		1/	150	200	4.2	1,0	16.0	21,0
VILLEJUS	2 200	9	U	3/	40	4,5	0,0	10,8	21,1
VILLIERS-LE-BACLE	11/3	5	-	0	5	4,4	0,0	0,2	4,6
WISSOUS	5 189	38	11	51	100	7,4	2,2	9,8	19,3
Grand Paris Seine & Oise (CU)	391 150	2 133	1 542	0	3 676	5,5	3,9	0,0	9,4
ACHERES	19 415	103	59	0	163	5,3	3,1	0,0	8,4
ANDRES	12 001	63	115	-	177	5.2	9.6	0.0	14.8
ARNOUVILI F-LES-MANTES	831	4		-	4	4.8	0.0	0.0	4.8
AUDEDCENTUUS	11 007		1			5.1	0,0	0,0	5.2
AUBERGEINVILLE	11 00/	01	1	-	02	3,1	0,1	0,0	5,2
AUFFREVILLE-BRASSEUII	583	2	-	-	2	4,0	0,0	0,0	4,0
AULNAY-SUR-MAULDRE	1 127	4	7	-	12	3,8	6,6	0,0	10,3
BOINVILLE-EN-MANTOIS	304	1	-	-	1	4,4	0,0	0,0	4,4
BOUAFLE	2 128	10	-	-	10	4,9	0,0	0,0	4,9
BREUIL-BOIS-ROBERT	703	3	-	-	3	4,6	0,0	0,0	4,6
BRUFII -FN-VFXIN	690	3	-	-	3	4.1	0.0	0.0	4.1
BUCKELA	1 2 2 5 0	13	5		-17	5.2	2.2	0,0	7.2
BUCHELAY	2 238	12	5	-	1/	5,2	2,2	0,0	1,3
CARRIERES-SOUS-POISS	14 103	77	11	-	88	5,4	0,8	0,0	6,2
CHANTELOUP-LES-VIGNES	9 377	51	46	-	96	5,4	4,9	0,0	10,3
СНАРЕТ	1 172	6	-	-	6	4,9	0,0	0,0	4,9
CONFLANS-SAINTE-HONORINE	34 692	176	232	-	408	5,1	6,7	0,0	11,8
DROCOURT	491	2	-	-	2	4,7	0,0	0,0	4,7
FCOUEVILL	3 826	16	-	-	16	4.3	0.0	0.0	4.3
EDONE	6 252	24	22		-56	5.4	3.5	0.0	8.0
EPONE	0 233		22			3,4	3,5	0,0	7.4
EVECQUEMON	//8	3	2	-	6	4,4	3,0	0,0	7,4
FAVRIEUX	145	1	-	-	1	6,9	0,0	0,0	6,9
FLACOURT	143	0	-	-	0	3,0	0,0	0,0	3,0
FLINS-SUR-SEINE	2 490	15	0	-	15	6,1	0,0	0,0	6,1
FOLLAINVILLE-DENNEMONT	1 821	8	-	-	8	4,5	0,0	0,0	4,5
FONTENAY-MAUVOISIN	433	1	-	-	1	3,1	0,0	0,0	3,1
FONTENAY-SAINT-PER	996	4	-	-	4	4.3	0.0	0.0	4.3

	Population	DALV	DALV	DALV	DALV	Risque	Risque	Risque	Risque
Entité	(source :	ROUTE	FER	AIR	TOT	individuel	individuel	individuel	individuel
	densibati IAU IdF)	2	0			ROUTE	FER	AIR	TOT
GAILLON-SUR-MONICIENT	680	3	24	-	50	4,1	0,0	0,0	4,1
GOUSSONVILLE	604	2			2	3,5	5,5	0,0	3,5
GUERNES	1 009	4	0	-	4	3,6	0,0	0,0	3,7
GUERVILLE	2 015	7	0	-	7	3,6	0,1	0,0	3,7
GUITRANCOURT	637	2	-	-	2	3,9	0,0	0,0	3,9
HARDRICOURT	2 078	13	12	-	25	6,1	6,0	0,0	12,1
HARGEVILLE		2	- 16	-	36	4,4	0,0	0,0	4,4 8.0
IAMBVILLE	765	20	- 10	-	2	2,6	0.0	0,0	2.6
JOUY-MAUVOISIN	531	2	0	-	2	4,0	0,1	0,0	4,1
JUMEAUVILLE	577	2	-	-	2	4,3	0,0	0,0	4,3
JUZIERS	3 760	19	27	-	46	4,9	7,2	0,0	12,2
	620	3	2	-	5	4,3	3,6	0,0	7,9
	792	2	-	-	2	2,7	0,0	0,0	2,1
LES ALLUETS-LE-ROI	1 194	6	-	-	6	4,9	0,0	0,0	4,9
LES MUREAUX	30 357	189	86	-	275	6,2	2,8	0,0	9,1
LIMAY	15 909	100	47	-	147	6,3	3,0	0,0	9,2
MAGNANVILLE	5 571	26	-	-	26	4,8	0,0	0,0	4,8
MANTES-LA-JOLIE	42 030	247	174	-	421	5,9	4,1	0,0	10,0
MEDAN	18714	108	15	-	21	5,8 4,2	3,2	0,0	9,0 14.6
MERICOURT	390	2	-	-	2	4,3	0,0	0,0	4,3
MEULAN	8 762	52	51	-	103	6,0	5,8	0,0	11,8
MEZIERES-SUR-SEINE	3 443	17	14	-	32	5,0	4,2	0,0	9,2
MEZY-SUR-SEINE	1 919	8	12	-	20	4,2	6,3	0,0	10,5
	319	1	-	-	1	3,6	0,0	0,0	3,6
MOUSSEAUX-SUR-SEINE	616	2	-	-	2	3.4	0,0	0,0	3.4
NEZEL	998	6	9	-	15	5,6	9,0	0,0	14,6
OINVILLE-SUR-MONTCIENT	1 111	5	-	-	5	4,2	0,0	0,0	4,2
ORGEVAL	5 794	27	0	-	27	4,6	0,0	0,0	4,6
PERDREAUVILLE	591	2	1	-	3	3,2	1,1	0,0	4,3
POISSY	37 361	252	147	-	399	6,8	3,9	0,0	10,7
BOLLEBOISE	405	2	-		21	4,9	0.0	0,0	5.0
ROSNY-SUR-SEINE	5 433	31	59	-	90	5,7	10,9	0,0	16,6
SAILLY	ý 375	2	-	-	2	4,8	0,0	0,0	4,8
SAINT-MARTIN-LA-GARENNE	883	3	-	-	3	3,8	0,0	0,0	3,8
	594	2	-	-	2	3,9	0,0	0,0	3,9
TESSANCOURT-SUR-AUBETTE	944	5	72	-	122	3, / 5 2	0,0	0,0	3,7
VAUX-SUB-SEINE	4 824	29	40	-	69	6.0	8.4	0,0	14.4
VERNEUIL-SUR-SEINE	15 071	68	61	-	129	4,5	4,0	0,0	8,6
VERNOUILLET	9 241	47	63	-	110	5,0	6,8	0,0	11,9
VERT	768	3	-	-	3	4,3	0,0	0,0	4,3
VILLENNES-SUR-SEINE	5 056	22	41	-	63	4,3	8,1	0,0	12,4
	9 279	1740	021		2 501	<b>3,3</b> 4.5	2,0	0,0	0,1 45
CESSON	8 195	30	76	-	106	3,7	9,2	0,0	12,9
COMBS-LA-VILLE	21 218	93	128	1	221	4,4	6,0	0,0	10,4
CORBEIL-ESSONNES	40 652	234	125	-	359	5,8	3,1	0,0	8,8
COURCOURONNES	13 860	90	17	-	107	6,5	1,2	0,0	7,8
ETIOLLES	5 3 103	13	5	-	257	4,3	0,9	0,0	5,2
GRIGNV	26 410	153	42	-	195	5.8	1,2	0,0	7.4
LE COUDRAY-MONTCEAUX	4 563	24	12	-	36	5,2	2,6	0,0	7,8
LIEUSAINT	10 205	76	23	-	99	7,5	2,3	0,0	9,8
LISSES	7 154	41	0	-	41	5,7	0,0	0,0	5,7
MOISSY-CRAMAYEL	16 668	125	64	-	189	7,5	3,9	0,0	11,3
	500	24	1	-	2	2,2	1,5	0,0	3,7
REAU	1 1 051	5	1	-	6	5,1	0,7	0,0	5,8
RIS-ORANGIS	27 228	168	83	-	250	6,2	3,0	0,0	9,2
SAINT-GERMAIN-LES-CORBEIL	7 092	34	1	-	35	4,8	0,2	0,0	5,0
SAINT-PIERRE-DU-PERRAY	8 071	35	0	-	35	4,4	0,0	0,0	4,4
	5 093	18	- 150	-	18	3,5	0,0	0,0	3,5
SOISY-SUR-SFINE	2/ 948	28	152	-		4.0	1.7	0,0	5.6
TIGERY	2 516	13	-	-	13	5,0	0,0	0,0	5,0
VERT-SAINT-DENIS	6 963	32	5	-	37	4,6	0,7	0,0	5,2
VILLABE	4 835	27	11	-	37	5,5	2,2	0,0	7,7
Paris Vallee de la Marne (CA)	217 786	1 093	676	28	1 798	5,0	3,1	0,1	8,3
	4 137 2/ 207	20	13	-	33	4,9	3,1	0,0	8,0 5 7
CHAINESSON-WARNE	52 421	262	244	2	508	5,0	4,7	0,0	9,7
COURTRY	5 920	25	-	-	25	4,3	0,0	0,0	4,3
CROISSY-BEAUBOURG	i 2 135	10	-	4	14	4,8	0,0	1,9	6,7
EMERAINVILLE	7 488	42	14	5	61	5,6	1,9	0,7	8,1
LOGNES	14 843	82	36	6	124	5,5	2,4	0,4	8,4
	35 117	80	57	- 4	90 246	5,3	1.6	0,0	7.0
ROISSY-EN-BRIE	22 142	105	172	7	284	4,8	7,8	0,3	12,8
TORCY	22 154	108	14	1	123	4,9	0,6	0,0	5,6
VAIRES-SUR-MARNE	12 042	49	101		150	4.1	8.4	0.0	12.5

	Population	<b>2</b> 41¥		DALK	DAIN	Risque	Risque	Risque	Risque
Entité	(source :	DALY	DALY	DALY	DALY	individuel	individuel	individuel	individuel
	densibati IAU IdF)	ROUTE	FER	AIR	101	ROUTE	FER	AIR	тот
Plaine Vallee (CA)	174 548	939	524	2 814	4 277	5,4	3,0	16,1	24,5
ANDILLY	2 573	13	-	42	55	5,2	0,0	16,3	21,5
ATTAINVILLE	1 847	11	0	24	36	6,0	0,0	13,2	19,3
BOUFFEMONT	5 794	28	13	7	49	4,8	2,3	1,3	8,4
DEUIL-LA-BARRE	20 828	116	119	371	606	5,6	5,7	17,8	29,1
DOMONT	14 458	68	45	165	278	4,7	3,1	11,4	19,3
ENGHIEN-LES-BAINS	12 153	68	87	210	365	5,6	7,2	17,3	30,0
EZANVILLE	8 956	55	18	132	205	6,1	2,0	14,8	22,9
GROSLAY	/81/	42	31	152	226	5,4	4,0	19,5	28,9
MARGENCY	2 865	14	-	45	59	4,9	0,0	15,6	20,5
MOISSELLES	10/5	15	-	12	20	7,1	0,0	11,0	18,7
MONTEIGNON	12 704	72	- 47	252	22	5,5	0,0	10.0	21,4
MONTMORENCY	20 512	109	47	2.55	371	5.2	3,4	17.9	20,9
PISCOP	654	4	- 4	12	-476	5.9	0,2	18.4	24.3
SAINT-BRICE-SOUS-FORET	14 173	83	42	260	386	5.9	3.0	18.4	27.2
SAINT-GRATIEN	19 888	107	67	318	492	5.4	3.4	16.0	24.8
SAINT-PRIX	7 409	37	16	113	165	5,0	2,1	15,3	22,3
SOISY-SOUS-MONTMORENCY	17 176	90	34	291	415	5,2	2,0	16,9	24,2
Roissy Pays de France (CA)	332 245	1 733	693	4 612	7 038	5,2	2,1	13,9	21,2
ARNOUVILLE-LES-GONESSE	13 212	71	45	291	407	5,4	3,4	22,1	30,9
BONNEUIL-EN-FRANCE	800	5	-	13	18	6,2	0,0	16,4	22,6
BOUQUEVAL	. 322	2	-	8	9	5,1	0,0	24,2	29,3
CHENNEVIERES-LES-LOUVRES	332	3	2	4	9	8,5	5,8	12,1	26,4
CLAYE-SOUILLY	10 944	66	3	-	69	6,1	0,3	0,0	6,3
COMPANS	684	3	7	15	26	4,8	10,8	22,5	38,1
DAMMARTIN-EN-GO <sup>2</sup> 图LE	7 923	52	-	53	105	6,5	0,0	6,7	13,3
ECOUEN	7 342	40	4	142	186	5,5	0,5	19,3	25,3
EPIAIS-LES-LOUVRES	82	0	-	2	2	5,5	0,0	22,7	28,2
FONTENAY-EN-PARISIS	1 901	10	-	8	18	5,2	0,0	4,0	9,2
FOSSES	9 588	38	4	-	43	4,0	0,5	0,0	4,5
GARGES-LES-GUNESSE	39 342	204	66	695	965	5,2	1,/	1/,/	24,6
GOUSSAINVILLE	23 013	141	62	520	795	3,5	3,3	17.6	31,1
GRESSY	924	45	2	0	730	4,5	19	0.5	72
	2 034	10	-	41	51	4.7	0.0	20.3	25.0
LE MESNIL-AMELOT	764	5	-	19	24	6.7	0.0	24.6	31.3
LE MESNIL-AUBRY	921	5	-	17	22	5,7	0,0	18,4	24,1
LE PLESSIS-GASSOT	77	0	-	2	2	4,2	0,0	20,4	24,7
LE THILLAY	3 949	18	2	88	109	4,7	0,6	22,3	27,6
LONGPERRIER	2 351	11	-	28	39	4,7	0,0	11,9	16,6
LOUVRES	8 943	43	39	93	175	4,8	4,3	10,4	19,5
MARLY-LA-VILLE	5 469	26	0	-	26	4,7	0,0	0,0	4,7
MAUREGARD	292	1	-	7	8	4,4	0,0	22,6	27,0
MITRY-MORY	18 301	84	124	116	324	4,6	6,8	6,4	17,7
MOUSSY-LE-NEUF	2 797	11	6	0	17	3,8	2,2	0,1	6,1
MOUSSY-LE-VIEUX	1 042	5	-	14	19	4,7	0,0	13,3	18,0
OTHIS	6 481	27	6	-	32	4,1	0,9	0,0	5,0
PUISEUX-EN-FRANCE	3 339	14	1	2	1/	4,1	0,4	0,7	5,2
ROISSY-EN-FRANCE	2 6/3	16	1	62	/9	6,0	0,2	23,3	29,5
SAINT MARC	2 754	19	22	77	117	0,1	10,0	2,1	21.2
SAINT-WARE	2 554	10			11/	4,5	0.0	20,5	45
SARCELLES	58 441	315	127	1 1 5 6	1 598	5.4	2.2	19.8	27.4
SUBVILLIERS	3 700	26	0	-	26	7.1	0.0	0.0	7.2
THIEUX	791	4	3	19	26	5.6	3.3	24.2	33.1
VAUDHERLAND	91	1	-	2	3	10,3	0,0	23,3	33,5
VEMARS	2 168	10	4	1	15	4,8	1,6	0,6	7,0
VILLENEUVE-SOUS-DAMMARTIN	615	4	-	14	17	5,7	0,0	22,1	27,8
VILLEPARISIS	23 806	118	72	-	189	4,9	3,0	0,0	8,0
VILLERON	722	4	1	1	6	5,5	2,0	1,2	8,6
VILLIERS-LE-BEL	25 843	154	0	510	664	6,0	0,0	19,8	25,7
Saint Germain Boucles de Seine (CA)	329 169	1 698	876	424	2 998	5,2	2,7	1,3	9,1
AIGREMONT	1 077	4	-	-	4	4,1	0,0	0,0	4,1
BEZONS	27 774	134	18	103	254	4,8	0,6	3,7	9,2
CARRIERES-SUR-SEINE	15 083	70	79	-	149	4,6	5,2	0,0	9,9
CHAMBOURCY	5 789	25		-	25	4,3	0,0	0,0	4,3
	29 524	153	/	-	160	5,2	0,3	0,0	5,4
EOLIDOUEUN	A 11A	17	1	-	10	4.2	0,0	0,0	4.5
HOLIULES	30 844	138	156		205	4,2	5.1	0.0	9.6
LE MESNIL-I F-RO	6 414	29	100	-	29	4.5	0.1	0.0	4.6
LE PECO	16 542	112	11	-	123	6,8	0,7	0,0	7,5
LE PORT-MARLY	4 550	33	5	-	38	7,3	1,0	0,0	8,3
LE VESINET	15 931	91	15	-	106	5,7	1,0	0,0	6,7
L'ETANG-LA-VILLE	4 823	19	11	-	30	3,8	2,3	0,0	6,1
LOUVECIENNES	7 211	34	20	-	54	4,7	2,8	0,0	7,5
MAISONS-LAFFITTE	22 656	109	167	73	349	4,8	7,4	3,2	15,4
MAREIL-MARLY	3 501	14	4	-	18	4,1	1,2	0,0	5,2
MARLY-LE-RO	16 499	76	45	-	121	4,6	2,7	0,0	7,3
MONTESSON	15 274	76	0	-	76	5,0	0,0	0,0	5,0
SAINT-GERMAIN-EN-LAYE	40 525	226	36	-	262	5,6	0,9	0,0	6,5
SARTROUVILLE	51066	286	299	248	833	5,0	5,9	4,9	16,3

	Population	DALY	DALX	DALY	DALY	Risque	Risque	Risque	Risque
Entité	(source :	DALY	DALT	DALY	DALT	individuel	individuel	individuel	individuel
	densibati IAU IdF)	ROUTE	FER	AIR	101	ROUTE	FER	AIR	TOT
Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines (CA)	223 917	1 212	562	-	1 774	5,4	2,5	0,0	7,9
COIGNIERES	4 358	30	27	-	57	6,9	6,2	0,0	13,1
ELANCOURT	27 021	149	29	-	179	5,5	1,1	0,0	6,6
GUYANCOURT	28 078	149	1	-	151	5.3	0.0	0.0	5.4
LA VERRIERE	6 048	40	75	-	114	6.6	12.4	0.0	18.9
LES CLAYES-SOUS-BOIS	17 404	94	102	-	195	5.4	5.9	0.0	11.2
MAGNY-LES-HAMEAUX	8 878	38		-	38	4.3	0.0	0.0	4.3
MAUREPAS	18 836	101	22	-	123	5.4	12	0,0	6.5
MONTIGNY-I E-BRETONNELIX	33 179	185	71	-	255	5,4	2.1	0,0	77
	20.629	153	64		235	5,0	2,1	0,0	7.0
TRADDES	27 701	171	129		300	6.2	4.7	0,0	10.8
VILLEDBELLY	0 970	1/1	125	-	96	0,2	4,7	0,0	0.0
	11 015	50	45	-	50	4,4	4,5	0,0	6,6
Volsins-Le-BRETONNEOX	11915	35	-	-	39	4,5	0,0	0,0	4,9
Val d Terres Val de Seine (CA)	166 392	867	261	85	1 511	5,2	3,4	0,5	9,1
BOUSSY-SAINT-ANTOINE	6 304	35	34	-	69	5,6	5,5	0,0	11,0
BRUNOY	25 300	125	100	-	225	4,9	4,0	0,0	8,9
CROSNE	8 796	48	24	39	111	5,5	2,7	4,5	12,7
DRAVEIL	28 333	145	14	-	159	5,1	0,5	0,0	5,6
EPINAY-SOUS-SENART	12 142	63	42	-	105	5,2	3,4	0,0	8,6
MONTGERON	22 572	140	97	6	243	6,2	4,3	0,3	10,8
QUINCY-SOUS-SENART	7 872	36	70	-	106	4,6	8,8	0,0	13,5
VIGNEUX-SUR-SEINE	26 328	130	57	10	196	4,9	2,2	0,4	7,4
YERRES	28 745	144	123	28	295	5,0	4,3	1,0	10,3
Val Parisis (CA)	248 779	1 400	991	2 793	5 184	5,6	4,0	11,2	20,9
BEAUCHAMP	8 462	42	38	49	130	5,0	4,5	5,8	15,3
BESSANCOURT	7 118	33	28	-	61	4,7	3,9	0,0	8,6
CORMEILLES-EN-PARISIS	22 467	115	122	288	525	5,1	5,4	12,8	23,4
EAUBONNE	22 759	126	47	356	529	5,6	2,1	15,6	23,3
ERMONT	26 368	171	183	395	748	6,5	6,9	15,0	28,4
FRANCONVILLE	32 351	173	132	441	745	5,3	4,1	13,6	23,1
FREPILLON	2 658	18	4	-	22	6,6	1,5	0,0	8,1
HERBLAY	25 270	112	126	227	466	4,4	5,0	9,0	18,4
LA FRETTE-SUR-SEINE	4 521	21	41	54	115	4,6	9,1	11,8	25,6
LE PLESSIS-BOUCHARD	7 575	45	28	112	185	6,0	3,7	14,7	24,4
MONTIGNY-LES-CORMEILLES	18 608	114	62	226	402	6,1	3,3	12,2	21,6
PIERRELAYE	6 705	43	42	9	94	6,4	6,2	1,4	14,0
SAINT-LEU-LA-FORET	14 273	80	46	174	300	5,6	3,2	12,2	21,0
SANNOIS	23 879	171	66	364	600	7,2	2,7	15,3	25,2
TAVERNY	25 765	136	28	99	263	5.3	1.1	3.8	10.2
Versailles Grand Parc (CA)	260 731	1 595	818	3	2 417	6.1	3.1	0.0	9.3
BAILLY	3 879	24	0		24	62	0.1	0.0	6.3
BIEVRES	5 219	35	19	3	57	6.8	3.6	0,6	11.0
BOIS-D'ARCY	13 626	79	1		80	5.8	0.1	0,0	5.0
BOUGIVAL BOUGIVAL	8422	45	5		51	5.5	0.6	0,0	6.1
BUCCONTAC	5 261	27		_	27	5,5	0,0	0,0	5 1
CHATEAUEORT	1 401	7	-	-		3,1	0,0	0,0	3,1
	12 501	76	-	-	122	4,5	0,0	0,0	4,9
	12 331	21	40	-	122	0,1	3,0	0,0	5,0
	20 762	100	23	-	55	5,0	5,1	0,0	0,9
LA CELLE-SAINT-CLOUD	20 /63	109	14	-	124	5,3	0,7	0,0	6,0
LE CHESNAY	29 095	188	-	-	188	0,5	0,0	0,0	0,5
LES LOGES-EN-JOSAS	1 519	/	2	-	9	4,4	1,5	0,0	5,8
NOISY-LE-ROI	/ 880	49	12	-	61	6,2	1,5	0,0	1,1
RENNEMOULIN	121	1	-	-	1	4,4	0,0	0,0	4,4
ROCQUENCOURT	3 243	28	-	-	28	8,5	0,0	0,0	8,5
SAINT-CYR-L'ECOLE	17 320	121	119	-	240	7,0	6,9	0,0	13,9
TOUSSUS-LE-NOBLE	910	4	-	-	4	4,3	0,0	0,0	4,3
VELIZY-VILLACOUBLAY	19 644	165	2	-	167	8,4	0,1	0,0	8,5
VERSAILLES	85 937	519	405	-	924	6,0	4,7	0,0	10,8
VIROFLAY	15 864	80	168	-	248	5,0	10,6	0,0	15,6

(bruitparif.fr)

# HEALTH IMPACT OF TRANSPORT NOISE IN THE DENSELY POPULATED ZONE OF ILE-DE-FRANCE REGION

**FEBRUARY 2019** 

**BRUIT**PARIF

CENTRE D'ÉVALUATION TECHNIQUE DE L'ENVIRONNEMENT SONORE EN ILE-DE-FRANCE

> Axe Pleyel 4 – B104 32 boulevard Ornano 93200 Saint-Denis FRANCE

> > +33 1 83 65 40 40

demande@bruitparif.fr

